

(ii) I has the most stable chair conformation because all the three methyl substituents are located at the equatorial positions in its more stable conformation. There is very minimum 1, 3 – diaxial interactions. The more stable chair conformation for II has only two methyl substituents in the equatorial positions.



(c) (i)  $CH_3 CH_2 C(CH_3)_2 > CH_3 CH CH(CH_3)_2 > CH_2 CH_2 CH (CH_3)_2$  C > E > DDecreasing stabilization of radical by hyperconjugation in the order  $3^{\circ}>2^{\circ}>1^{\circ}$ 

(ii) 
$$\begin{array}{c} CH_{3} \\ CH_{3} \\ CH_{3} \\ CH_{3} \\ CH_{3} \end{array} \xrightarrow{CH_{2} CH_{2} CH_{3}} \\ CH_{3} \\ CH_{2} \\ CH_{3} \\ CH_{2} \\ CH_{3} \\ CH_{2} \\ CH_{3} \\ CH_{2} \\ CH_{3} \\ C$$

3 different chlorination products

Relative amount of each product = no. of H x relative reactivity

$$I : 9 x 1 = 9II : 2 x 3.8 = 7.6III : 3 x 1 = 3$$

 $\therefore$ % of each :

I : 
$$\frac{9}{9+7.6+3} \times 100\% = 45.9\%$$

II : 
$$\frac{7.6}{19.6} \times 100\% = 38.8\%$$

III : 
$$\frac{3}{19.6} \times 100\% = 15.3\%$$

2 (a)

 $CH_3Br < CH_3 CH_2 CH_2 I < CH_3 CH Br CH_3 < CH_3 CHI CH_3 < (CH_3)_3 CI$ The rate of an  $S_N1$  reaction is determined by the first step, i.e. the ionization of the substrate (R-X) to give the carbocation. The more stable the carbocation the faster it is formed because the transition state leading to it is more stable (c.f. Hammond's Postulate). On the basis that 3° carbocations are more stable than 2° carbocations and 2° is more stable than 1°, which more stable than  $CH_3^+$ , and that  $\Gamma^-$  is a btter leaving group than Br<sup>-</sup> which is a better leaving group than Cl<sup>-</sup> (basicity of halides ions decreases according to  $Cl^- > Br^- > I^-$ ), the above order of reactivity is predicted.



An E2 elimination is expected and this only occurs via a trans-periplanar conformation.

- (c)  $(CH_3 CH_2)_2N$  is the better choice because it is a strong bulky base (i.e. a sterically hindered base and nucleophile) as compared to  $NH_3$ . It would be easier for the triethylamine to abstract out the H to form the alkene than for it to undergo a substitution reaction as compared to  $NH_3$ .
- (d) The heat of hydrogenation of cyclobutene is exothermic . Being higher in value, than that of cyclopentene shows that the reaction occurs more readily. This is because a four-membered ring with a double bond has extra ring strain by virtue of the small ring and also the 90° bond angles compress the angles of the sp<sup>2</sup>-hybird carbons (normally 120°) more than they compress the sp<sup>3</sup>-hybird angles (normally 109.5°) in cyclobutane. The extra ring strain in cyclobutene makes its double bond more reactive than a typical double bond.



 $^{\Theta}$ OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> is a strong bulky base/sterically hindered base that will

direct the reaction mechanism to E2.  $\Theta$  OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> cannot function as a nucleophile in the S<sub>N</sub>2 displacement of Br<sup>-</sup> from the 1-bromo-1methylcyclohexane, but will function as a base attracting a  $\beta$ -H, from the more accessible  $\beta$ -carbon. The actual product is





CH<sub>3</sub>OH is a weak nucleophile (unlike CH<sub>3</sub>O<sup>-</sup>). If conversion of the bromide to an ether is to occur, the process will have to involve ionization of the bromide to a carbocation first.  $25^{\circ}$  is room temperature. Reaction would most likely not occur as the first step involves the formation of a carbocation which is a highly endothermic step. Since there is very little energy to break the C-Br bond, the process would be very, very slow or impossible to occur.



OH is a strong base but it is not bulky. It would therefore give the more highly substituted alkene as the major product i.e.



3. (a)  $CH_3$ CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> CH₃ BH<sub>3</sub>.THF R R/ ▲CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> н.,,,,,,,  $H_2O_2, OH$ H<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C ΗÓ CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> (3R, 4R)-4-methyl-3-hexanol (3S,4S)-4-methyl-3-hexanol (Z)-3-methyl-3-hexene

Hydroboration-oxidation is a anti Markovnikov addition of  $H_2O$  to an alkene. The stereochemistry of addition is syn. The two products are a pair of enantiomers,

(b) Unknown F will have the carbon skeleton the same as G and with two double bonds conjugated to each other as seen by the oxidative cleavage. The structure of F is







more stable carbocation  $(3^{\circ})$ 

- 4. (a) (i) A and B are constitutional isomers A and C are constitutional isomers B and C are diastereomers (cis and trans) C and D are enantiomers
  - (ii) A is achiralB is achiralC is chiralD is chiral
  - (iii) C and D alone are optically active
  - (iv) A and B
  - (v) B > AB > CC and D are the same
  - (vi) B
  - (vii) No. It will be a racemic mixture.
  - (viii) Yes as only C is optically active.

(b) (i) e.e. 
$$= \frac{10}{24} \times 100\% = 41.7\%$$

(ii)  $[\alpha]$  = observed specific rotation

$$\frac{[\alpha]}{24^{\circ}} \times 100\% = 80\%$$
$$[\alpha] = \frac{80}{100} \times 24^{\circ}$$
$$= 19.2^{\circ}$$