

Manuscript Title Critical Determinants of the Competitiveness of the Ghanaian Construction Industry

Authors Abdul Manaan Osman and Liu Yi Sheng

Submitted Date 20-Jul-2021 (1st Submission)

Accepted Date 21-Mar-2022

DOI <https://doi.org/10.21315/jcdc-07-21-0110>

EARLY VIEW

CRITICAL DETERMINANTS OF THE COMPETITIVENESS OF THE GHANAIAN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

Abdul Manaan OSMAN^{1,2} and Liu Yi Sheng³

1. Department of Engineering Management, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing, China
2. Department of Building Technology, Tamale Technical University, Tamale, Ghana
3. Department of Engineering Management, School of Economics and Management, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing, China

Email: oabdul-manan@tatu.edu.gh

Abstract. Construction industry's contribution to the development of nations has been well documented in the literature. Some authors argue that the construction industry offers one of the most significant avenues through which infrastructure is provided for almost every sector of an economy. Yet, studies focusing on the development of the construction industry are replete with problems regarding the numerous competitiveness determinants to consider, not only from where and whom to collect the data but also the type and range of data. This study investigates the essential factors influencing the competitiveness of the Ghanaian Construction Industry (GCI) using Michael Porter's Diamond framework. Factor analysis revealed four underlying constructs that determine the competitiveness of the GCI. These include Industrial Resources Availability, Construction Business Strategies and Project Management, Stakeholders' Demands and Performance, and Government Role and Industry Development Policy. The study highlights that (1) more emphasis should be placed on macro-variables of GCIs at the national level and (2) senior managers in GCI should integrate advanced management processes and techniques in construction business management to improve upon their performances. In addition, the results from the current study may help inform and direct government policies towards repositioning and engendering the competitiveness of the GCI, while providing international construction firms entering the GCI with firsthand information about the performance of GCI.

Keywords: competitiveness; construction; firms; Ghana; industry; Porter's Diamond Model.

1. INTRODUCTION

The construction industry's contribution to national development has been well documented (Arthur-Aidoo et al. 2018). Being, perhaps, the only avenue through which infrastructure is provided for almost every sector of the economy – health, transportation, education, industry, telecommunications and housing, sports – its contribution to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and employment for most economies worldwide has been unanimously acknowledged (Atuahene and Baiden 2018; Claver et al. 2003). This unbreakable connection of the construction industry to other sectors of the economy highlights its critical position to achieve sustainable economic growth (Anaman and Osei-Amponsah 2007).

Indeed, between 2016 and 2017, the GCI accounted for 13.7% of Ghana's GDP and employed 3% of the active workforce (Ghana Statistical Service 2018).

Besides, Fitch Solutions (2021) envisages that the sector will maintain a strong trajectory over ten years between 2019 and 2028, owing to a comparably favourable business climate, steady economic growth, and a stable political landscape.

Despite this promising outlook and high ranking (7th in terms of value, estimated at US\$ 9 billion, and 6th rank based on market attractiveness) enjoyed by the GCI within Sub-Saharan Africa (Yeboah 2021), its performance is still below expectations. Compared to the Namibian and South African construction industries – 1st and 2nd most attractive construction industries, respectively, (Yeboah 2021) - the GCI trails abysmally in the global effort to improve its performance and competitiveness (Ofori-Kuragu et al. 2016). Consequently, this culture of underperformance creates exclusivity for a few large construction firms, mostly foreign-owned, to win the bulk of important projects in Ghana (Chileshe and Yirenkyi-Fianko 2012). Given that a competitive domestic industry produces firms for the global construction market (Zhao & Shen 2008), few or no Ghanaian firms operating in the international arena may indicate the low competitiveness of the GCI.

Moreover, the industry is less innovative, more labor-intensive, and less productive. Industry-related reasons for this development include unfavourable business climate, lack of government support (Gyadu-Asiedu et al. 2013), intense competition due to a large number of firms in the industry (Ofori-kuragu et al. 2016), stiff competition from foreign firms (Assibey-Mensah 2015), and changing employer and owner needs (Chow 1990, cited in Betts and Ofori 1992)

Indeed, apart from Anaman and Osei-Amponsah (2007), who studied the link between the GCI and the macro-economy and its policy implications, the

structure of the GCI has not been studied in-depth that could be beneficial to construction business managers. Resultantly, the emphasis of research on project performance has depicted a 'short-term orientation' of the construction industry (Dansoh 2005).

Furthermore, extant studies concerned with construction industry development are replete with problems regarding the numerous competitiveness determinants to consider, from where and whom to collect the data and the type and range of data required (Fox and Skitmore 2007; Momaya 1998).

The aforementioned facts aim at contextualising and establishing the need for this study and not initiating a complete benchmark investigation between the GCI and the Namibian and/or South African construction industries. Therefore, the objective of the current research is to use Porter's Diamond Model to obtain critical factors that can engender the competitiveness of the GCI. The research results may assist the local contractors in formulating their competitive strategies and assist international construction firms with providing firsthand information about the constructing industry in Ghana. The results might also inform and direct government policies, especially regarding the growth and competitiveness of the construction industry in Ghana.

2. COMPETITIVENESS: DEFINITION, THEORIES, AND MEASUREMENT

2.1 Definition of Competitiveness

Competitiveness is an omnibus construct with multi-level perspectives. Though the researchers have not yet reached any consensus on a standard definition of the competitiveness construct (Lu et al. 2008), meaning and coverage of competitiveness have garnered some consensus from scholars. In this paper, the competitiveness of an entity is defined as its ability to become the preferred stakeholder choice among its competitors for goods, services, and investment. Therefore, the competitive construction industry must work at maximum efficiency, create high-quality products, generate wealth for stakeholders (investors, workers, tax revenue for government), duly playing its crucial role as a superior contributor to GDP. In addition, the competitive construction industry must development the ability to retain and attract more investment and talents compared to other sectors of the economy and uphold sustainable construction for the general good of society.

2.2 Competitiveness Theories and Measurement

This study encompasses and reviews various theoretical and empirical literature on competitiveness. A vital question in this literature is how competitiveness is achieved by a firm or a particular industry within a country.

Previous studies have drawn on two major theoretical perspectives in answering this question: Porter's Diamond framework (Porter 1980; 1981) and the resource-based view (RBV) of a firm (Barney 1991). The Diamond framework of competitiveness argues that market structure and industry factors affect the firm strategy and performance determinants. This framework elucidates how countries develop their industries for successful international competition based on four main factors, namely (i) factor conditions, (ii) demand conditions, (iii) firm strategy, structure and rivalry, (iv) related and supporting industries and (v) government policy and chance events.

Building on the insights of Porter's (1990) framework, Cho (1994) developed a nine-factor theory regrouping Porter's (1990) factors into two primary constructs: physical factors and human factors. Acknowledging the role of both these factors in competitive advantage, Cho (1994) argues that human factors utilise and manage the physical elements to obtain a competitive advantage. However, Rugman and D'Cruz (1993) had earlier contributed to the theme with the 'Double Diamond' Model and highlighted corporate strategy and process as a source of competitive advantage in a global context.

Other models for measuring competitiveness are the Asset-Potential-Performance (APP) framework (Ambastha and Momaya 2004; Momaya, 1998) and the Competitiveness Triangle (Lall 2001).

Following the above theoretical arguments, several researchers have investigated factors that impact the competitiveness of firms within an industry (Ambastha and Momaya 2004; Buckley et al. 1988; Chang et al. 2017; Deng et al. 2013; Deng et al. 2014; El-Diraby et al. 2006; Ericsson et al. 2005; Flanagan et al. 2005)

However, a firm's competitive advantage depends on several key competitiveness factors of its local industry (Rugman and D'Cruz 1993). Thus, arguably, to engender competitiveness of the firm, factors that govern the competitiveness of the operational arena of the firm need further investigation.

This Outside-in approach enables a firm to diagnose the factors affecting competition in the industry and its underlying causes and then develop suitable strategies to sustain competitiveness. This approach is in tandem with Porter's Diamond framework which has been used to study various industries including construction. According to Ericsson et al. (2005), the Diamond framework is the most established, criticised, and adopted framework of competitiveness. The model has been employed successfully to analyse several industries such as hospitality (Wu et al. 2007), education (Curran 2000), and tourism (Bobirca and Cristureanu 2008). Deng et al. (2013) employed the

framework in the construction industry to analyse the Chinese construction industry. Betts and Ofori (1994) found strategic planning in construction useful. Moreover, Oz (2001) adopted the same framework for the Turkish construction industry. Therefore, the model was chosen as the conceptual framework to obtain factors for the competitiveness of the GCI.

Admittedly, whilst competitiveness factors may be common for the construction industries across different countries, there may undoubtedly be some particular factors for specific countries due to variability in economic, social, and cultural conditions (Ye et al. 2009).

Indeed, authors such as Korkmaz and Messner (2008) and Ambastha and Momaya (2004) argue that without changing strategies, products, and services, sustaining competitive advantage will be difficult due to the dynamically changing global market forces and the general business environment.

Besides, construction products "exist in the internationally interdependent marketplace", and construction firms consider both local and international markets when developing their strategies (Martek and Chen 2014). Therefore, results from this research will inform international construction firms about what strategies to deploy when entering the construction industries of developing countries (Lu et al. 2013).

Based on Porter's Diamond Framework, this research draws upon and modifies several factors from extant literature to empirically investigate principal components of the competitiveness of GCI.

Indeed, Ghana's context is unique regarding a long, unbreakable political stability in Sub-Sahara Africa, an open market system, and the recent influx of foreign construction firms into the country. Therefore, this study offers a subtle and distinct explanation of factors that will accelerate the construction industry's competitiveness and can act as good reference for government policy, provide a better understanding of the construction industry for the existing firms, and give valuable information for new entrants to the construction industry.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Since the nature of this study is exploratory, a two-level approach was employed to obtain the determinants of competitiveness of the construction industry. Firstly, through a literature review and based on Porter's Diamond framework, several determinants of competitiveness were collected and administered to specialists in the construction industry. These specialists consisted of ten industry experts and five experts in academia. These experts were responsible to suggest other suitable factors and help modify those from

the literature to suit the Ghanaian context. Subsequently, 400 questionnaires were administered to construction industry professionals in both private and public organisations by trained High National Diploma (HND) Building Technology graduates in Accra, Kumasi, and Tamale between December 2020 and February 2021. One hundred and sixty questionnaires were answered and returned to the authors. Due to the low number of responses, a telegram platform was created and its link was shared among industry stakeholders. This allowed the respondents to join and answer the questions developed using Microsoft forms willingly. Respondents who had participated in the initial round were disqualified from answering the questionnaire again. At the end of March 2021, 76 additional responses were received, giving a total of 236. However, two responses were incomplete, and thus 234 were retained for further analysis (response rate of 59%).

The questionnaire had three main sections. The introductory part had a concise description of the competitiveness of the construction industry. Directives/ guidelines on answering the questionnaire were given with assurance that data sought from respondents would be used for only research purposes. The respondents were given a choice to withdraw from answering the questions any time they deemed fit. The second part sought information about respondents' characteristics such as qualification, affiliation, and professional registration status. Finally, years of experience and their primary duty in the organisation were requested from the respondents.

Based on the literature (e.g., Porter 1980; 1981; Barney 1991), the last part of the questionnaire asked respondents to rate how significant 38 predetermined factors (**Table 1**) affect the competitiveness of the GCI, using a Likert scale of 1-5. Where 1 denotes Negligible, 2 – Not important, 3 – Neutral, 4 – Important, and 5- Extremely important.

Table1. Competitiveness Factors of the Construction Industry

No.	MEASURED VARIABLE	No.	MEASURED VARIABLE
Factor Conditions		Firm Strategy, Structure, and Rivalry	
1.	Availability of cheap and experienced personnel (Ericsson et al.,2005; Deng et al., 2013)	21.	Competition intensity (Porter, 1990; Deng et al., 2013)
2.	General employee working conditions (Ericsson et al., 2005; Deng et al., 2013)	22.	Market concentration (Chiang et al., 2001)
3.	Health and safety culture (Orozco et al., 2014; Mengistu and Mahesh, 2020)	23.	Corporation related corruption (Deng et al., 2013)
4.	Continuous professional development of industry workforce (Fox and Skitmore, 2007; Deng et al., 2013)	24.	Joint-venture practices (Porter, 1990; Deng et al., 2013)
5.	Stable currency and exchange rate regime (Fox and Skitmore, 2007)	25.	Power of Trade unions (Fox and Skitmore, 2007)
6.	Cost of credit from financial institutions (Fox and Skitmore, 2007; Deng et al., 2013)	26.	Project management competencies (Manaan et al.,2014)
7.	Machinery and equipment availability (Mengistu and Mahesh, 2020)	27.	General business management practices (Orozco et al., 2014; Tansey et al., 2014)
8.	General Information Technology use in the industry (Premdilip and Uma, 2020)	28.	Firms' strategic perspectives (Dikmen and Birgönül, 2002; Kale and Arditi, 2002; Oyewobi et al., 2019)
9.	Geographical location and political stability (Fox and Skitmore, 2007)	29.	Firms' internal research and development activities (Orozco et al., 2014)
10.	Availability of Cheap and quality materials (El-Diraby et al., 2006; Fox and Skitmore, 2007; Danso et al., 2021)	30.	Power of construction professional associations (Fox and Skitmore, 2007)
Demand Conditions		Related and Supporting Industries	
11.	Quality of construction research and education (Ofori, 1993; Ericsson et al., 2005)	31.	Performance of Mass Housing/Real Estate sector (Ahadzie et al., 2008; Manaan et al.,2014)
12.	Complex customer demands (Porter, 1990; Deng et al., 2013)	32.	Performance of Architecture and Engineering Design consultants (Ofori,2012; Ling et al., 2012)
13.	Size and structure of the industry (Porter, 1990; Ofori, 1993; Deng et al., 2013; Mokhtariani et al., 2017)	33.	Performance of Sub-contractors and material suppliers (Badu et al., 2012)
14.	High Infrastructure deficit (Deng et al., 2013)	34.	Favourable Equipment and Machinery hire/purchasing conditions (Mengistu and Mahesh,2020)
Government		35.	ICT industry (Premdilip and Uma, 2020)
15.	Procurement practices (Mengistu and Mahesh, 2020; Oyewobi et al.,2019)	Chance Events	
16.	Stable macro-economic regime (Ofori, 1993; Deng et al., 2013; Assibey-Mensah, 2015)	36.	Opening of profitable sub-regional market centres within ECOWAS. (Ofori 1993; Fox and Skitmore, 2007)
17.	Deliberate construction industry development policy (Ofori 1993, 2012; Ofori-Kuragu et al., 2016; Dansoh et al., 2017)	37.	Joint ventures between foreign companies with financial muscle (Fox and Skitmore, 2007)
18.	Presence of a central agency responsible for the construction industry (Ofori, 1993, 2012; Fox and Skitmore, 2007; Ofori-Kuragu et al., 2016; Dansoh et al., 2017)	38.	Introduction of favourable regulation by the government for the construction sector. (Ofori 2012; Fox and Skitmore, 2007)
19.	Tax discounts and rebates (Badu et al.,2012)		
20.	Investment in Research and Development of Building and Road Research institutes (Ofori 2012)		

The sample adequacy, internal reliability, and consistency measures of the questionnaire were assessed using Cronbach's alpha (α). As shown in **Table 2**, Cronbach alpha was >0.80 for each construct, indicating the reliability of the questionnaire.

Table 2. Reliability and Internal Consistency of Measured Constructs.

Construction Industry's Competitiveness Factor	Cronbach's Alpha (α)
Factor conditions	0.913
Demand conditions	0.850
Government	0.849
Firm strategy, structure and Rivalry	0.870
Related and supporting industries	0.841
Chance events	0.867

Source: Author's computation from field data, 2021.

3.1 Data Analysis

Overall, 234 valid responses were imported into the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 for analysis. Due to a large number of factors and the overall aim of investigating the most critical competitiveness factors, 38 factors were ranked based on the relative importance index (RII) (Holt 2014). The RII is frequently used to analyse survey data in construction management research (Holt 2014). The RII is expressed as under in Eq. (1)

$$RII = \frac{\sum w}{AN} = \frac{5n_5 + 4n_4 + 3n_3 + 2n_2 + n_1}{5N}$$

Where w is the weighting as assigned on Likert's scale by each respondent within a range of 1 to 5 (1 = Negligible, 2 = Not important, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Important, and 5 = Very Important); A denotes the highest weight (here it is 5), and N represents the total number in the sample. The results of RII are expressed in simple percentages, with factors scoring at least 70% considered critical and retained for further analysis (**see Table 3**). Twenty-four factors scored at least 70% and were included for factor analysis, a statistical technique used to identify relatively few variables which may reflect relationships between several interrelated factors (Bartlett 1950; Pallant 2005).

The factor analysis consists of five steps starting with assessing the suitability of the data set for factor analysis, factor extraction, determination of the suitable number of factors to extract, rotation method to use, and interpretation and labeling of extracted factors (Williams et al. 2010). First, 24 critical factors were subjected to a suitability test to ensure the suitability of the data set for factor

analysis. Although the sample size is essential in factor analysis, opinions and several guiding rules of thumb vary; Tabachnick and Fidell (2019) suggest at least 300 cases for factor analysis. Contrastively, Hair (2011) opines that sample sizes should be ≥ 100 to allow for factor analysis.

Pallant (2005) argues that "the larger, the better". Since 234 respondents were used in this study, the Hair (2011) rule of thumb was adopted. However, other researchers argue in favour of the sample size and the ratio of variables to cases. The literature suggests a proportion of 10 cases to 1 variable or at least 5 cases to 1 variable. In this study, 234 cases and 24 variables also met this criterion.

Further, the correlation matrix was also constructed to assess the correlation among variables to determine their suitability for factor analysis. Following Tabachnick and Fidell (2019), the correlation matrix was inspected using a correlation coefficient of ≥ 0.30 . Thus, the correlation matrix of variables used was calculated and their relative strengths captured by factor loading were also determined. Next, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy (Kaiser 1970) and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity (Bartlett 1950) were performed to determine the accuracy and suitability of the data set for factor analysis. Regarding factor extraction, there are numerous ways to extract factors, including Principal Components Analysis (PCA), Principal Axis Factoring (PAF), Image Factoring, Maximum Likelihood, Alpha Factoring, and Canonical. However, PCA and PAF are widely used and are most common in literature (Williams et al. 2010).

TABLE 3. Critical Competitiveness Factors

Competitiveness Factors	Negligible	Not Important	Neutral	Important	Extremely Important	TOTAL	SAMPLE SIZE (N)	A*N	RII
Availability of cheap and experienced personnel	33	13	31	79	78	858	234	1170	73%
General employee working conditions	19	23	30	96	66	869	234	1170	74%
Health and safety culture	28	19	14	78	95	895	234	1170	76%
Continuous professional development of industry workforce	25	16	22	80	91	898	234	1170	77%
Stable currency and exchange rate regime	5	14	38	88	89	944	234	1170	81%
Cost of credit from financial institutions	9	12	40	88	85	930	234	1170	79%
Machinery and equipment availability	25	11	27	83	88	900	234	1170	77%
Availability of Cheap and quality materials	32	16	40	88	58	826	234	1170	71%
Quality of construction research and education	10	19	31	91	83	920	234	1170	79%
Complex customer demands	13	29	43	74	75	871	234	1170	74%
Size and structure of the industry	15	25	32	99	63	872	234	1170	75%
Stable macro-economic regime	10	16	26	121	61	909	234	1170	78%
Deliberate construction industry development policy	18	15	24	84	93	921	234	1170	79%
Presence of a central agency responsible for the construction industry	11	20	45	68	90	908	234	1170	78%
Investment in Research and Development of Building and Road Research institutes	17	11	31	93	82	914	234	1170	78%
Competition intensity	9	20	37	104	64	896	234	1170	77%
Market concentration	8	14	44	110	58	898	234	1170	77%
Power of trade unions	8	10	46	72	98	944	234	1170	81%
Project management competencies	4	10	34	74	112	982	234	1170	84%
General business management practices	2	14	28	122	68	942	234	1170	81%
Firms' strategic perspectives	2	12	38	96	86	954	234	1170	82%
Performance of Architecture and Engineering Design consultants	11	9	21	110	83	947	234	1170	81%
Performance of Sub-contractors and material suppliers	2	17	21	104	90	965	234	1170	82%
Introduction of favourable regulation by the government for the construction sector.	18	54	43	24	95	826	234	1170	71%

Source: Author's computation from field data, 2021

However, we employed PCA for extracting the factors since the differences between PCA and PFA are often insignificant. The scree plot and parallel analysis were done to supplement the factor extraction. The results of the factor analysis are presented in the next section for further discussion.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Background of the respondents

Table 4 shows the socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents. Out of 234 valid responses, about half (50.5%) attained post-graduate degrees. Most of the respondents were General Managers (20.1), Project Managers (5.6%), Quantity Surveyors (16.2%), Architects (17.5%), and Engineers (13.7%). More than half (53%) had ≥ 10 years of working experience in the construction industry. Also, 62.1% of them worked in the construction industry either as consultants or contractors, with 23.9% being sub-contractors and suppliers in the industry.

Table 4. Background of Respondents.

Classification	Frequency	Percentage
<i>Affiliation</i>		
Building and Civil Engineering Contractor	68	29.1
Architecture and Engineering Consultant	60	25.6
Government Agency	19	8.1
Private Developer	19	8.1
Educational/ Research Institution	12	5.1
Sub-Contractor / Supplier	56	23.9
<i>Primary Role in the Organisation</i>		
Project Manager	13	5.6
Architect	41	17.5
Quantity Surveyor	38	16.2
Civil/Structural Engineer	32	13.7
Academic	35	15
General Manager	47	20.1
Other	28	12

Construction Industry Competitiveness

Professional Affiliation		
Ghana Institution of Architects	66	28.8
Ghana Institution of Engineers	42	16.1
Institution of Engineering and Technology	46	17.8
Ghana Institution of Surveyors	50	19.5
Other	25	13.6
Freelance	5	4.2
Highest Qualification		
Post-Graduate (MSc/Phd)	118	50.5
Bachelors (BSc) / HND / Others	116	49.5
Work Experience (in years)		
less than 5	32	8.5
5-10	79	37.3
11- 15	76	28
16 - 20	24	14.4
> 20	23	11.9

4.2 Factor Analysis

In addition, the results of one-way within groups analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed a statistically significant difference in opinions among Building and Civil Engineering contractors, Architecture and Engineering Consultants, Government Agencies, Private Developers, Educational or Research Institutions, and Sub-contractors or Suppliers for only 4 out of the 38 competitiveness factors ($p < 0.05$). These factors were "*Continuous professional development of industry workforce*" ($p = 0.004$), "*Stable currency and exchange rate regime*" ($p = 0.001$), "*Complex customer demands*" ($p = 0.025$), and "*Introduction of favourable regulation by the government for the construction sector*" ($p = 0.008$). The difference in opinion may be due to the nature of the business establishment of respondents and their operational targets. For instance, while clients are increasingly transferring contract risks to the consultants and contractors, both try to mitigate those risks, leading to disagreements regarding some of the clients' demands.

Regarding the RII, 38 factors are expressed as simple percentages. The highest-rated factor was "*project Management Competencies*" with 84%, while the lowest factor – "*opening up of profitable sub-regional markets within ECOWAS*" scored 50%. Thus, factors scoring $\geq 70\%$ were considered critical and hence retained for further analysis. Factors with the variance of opinions based on respondent's affiliation all scored above the 70%, were included in the factor analysis. In all, 24 competitiveness factors scored at least 70% and were retained for factor analysis. A preliminary test to check the suitability of the data revealed that the sample of 234 was adequate for factor analysis.

Further, the correlation table reveals that the correlation matrix coefficients are at least 0.30. Furthermore, the KMO test revealed a measure of sampling adequacy of 0.803, exceeding the recommended value of 0.6 (Kaiser 1970). Bartlett's Test of Sphericity (Bartlett 1950) achieved statistical significance (Approx. chi-square = 2887.461, df. = 276, $p < 0.000$). These results suggest that the correlation matrix is not an identity matrix. The PCA revealed five components with eigenvalues > 1 , explaining 22.98, 17.82, 9.67, 8.69, and 5.18% of the variance, respectively. The drawback of depending only on the eigenvalues is that it sometimes leads to retaining too many components (Pallant 2005).




Figure 1. Scree Plot

Therefore, the scree plot (**Figure 1**) and parallel analysis (**Table 5**) were further conducted. Consequently, four PCAs were retained with eigenvalues >1 and ranged between 2.09 and 5.52 on average.

Table 5. Comparison of Eigenvalues from Principal Component Analysis and corresponding Criterion Values from Parallel Analysis.

Component number	Actual value from pca	Criterion value from parallel analysis	Decision
1	5.516	1.6369	Accept
2	4.289	1.5265	Accept
3	2.32	1.4489	Accept
4	2.085	1.3816	Accept
5	1.242	1.3198	Reject

Table 6. Extract of Rotated Factor Loadings

Construction industry competitiveness factors	Components				Descriptive statistics	
	C1	C2	C3	C4	Mean	Std. Dev

1. Continuous professional development of industry workforce	.851			3.84	1.30
2. Health and safety culture	.835			3.82	1.36
3. Availability of cheap and experienced personnel	.822			3.67	1.36
4. General employee working conditions	.802			3.71	1.21
5. Stable currency and exchange rate regime	.742			4.03	0.99
6. Machinery and equipment availability	.711			3.85	1.27
7. Cost of credit from financial institutions	.633			3.97	1.04
8. Availability of Cheap and quality materials	.630			3.53	1.31
9. Firms' strategic perspectives		.795		4.08	0.90
10. General business management practices		.763		4.03	0.85
11. Market concentration		.759		3.84	0.98
12. Project management competencies		.735		4.20	0.96
13. Competition intensity		.735		3.83	1.05
14. Power of trade unions		.675		4.03	1.05
15. Size and structure of the industry			.817	3.73	1.16
16. Quality of construction research and education			.788	3.93	1.09
17. Complex customer demands			.755	3.72	1.20
18. Performance of Sub-contractors and material suppliers			.573	4.03	1.01
19. Performance of Architecture and Engineering Design consultants			.570	4.05	1.01
20. Presence of a central agency responsible for the construction industry			.809	3.88	1.15
21. Deliberate construction industry development policy			.800	3.94	1.20
22. Stable macro-economic regime			.780	3.88	1.01
23. Investment in Research and Development of Building and Road Research institutes			.774	3.91	1.15
EigenValues	5.516	4.289	2.32	2.09	
% Variance explained (Total = 59.20)	22.98	17.87	9.67	8.67	

Notes: KMO and Bartlett's Test = 0.803 (Approx. Chi-Square = 2887.461, df. = 276, p < 0.000); Cronbach's coefficient (α = 0.810); Extraction Method: Principal Axis Factoring; Rotation Method: Varimax Rotation with Kaiser Normalisation; Rotation converged in 5 iterations

For detailed discussions, these components were subjectively renamed as (i) C1- Industrial Resource Availability (ii) C2-Construction Business Strategies and Project Management (iii) C3-Stakeholders' Demands and Performance (iv) C4-Government Role and Industry Development Policy. In the following sections, these factors are discussed.

5. DISCUSSIONS

5.1 C1- Industrial Resource Availability

As shown in **Table 6**, the first factor (C1) accounted for 22% of the variance explained and encapsulated eight aspects assumed to enhance the competitiveness of the GCI. These constructs include continuous professional development of industry workforce, health and safety culture, availability of

cheap and experienced personnel, general employee working conditions, stable currency and exchange rate regime, machinery and equipment availability, cost of credit from financial institutions, and availability of cheap and quality materials. Ideally, a firm's resource has been noted as a strong determinant of its survival among other firms. This has been irrespective of the conditions within the industry (Porter 1980,1981; Barney 1991). Barney (1991) observed that with resources at its disposal, a firm could conceive and implement strategies to improve efficiency and effectiveness. Porter (1980; 1981) also argued that resources are the central pillars upon which a firm draw its strengths and weaknesses. The primary resources for stakeholders in the GCI include human, material, plant, and capital.

Unsurprisingly, given the labor-intensive nature of the GCI, general labor-related issues featured well in component F1. For example, the lack of skilled labor has been observed by Offei-Nyako et al. (2014). They cited inconsistent or insufficient pay, low motivation, and a general lack of interest among young people in construction trades as the main reasons for this development. In addition, due to the lack of machinery and the industry's failure to adopt the latest construction technology, Boadu et al. (2020) expressed concerns over industry's over-reliance on labor-intensive construction methods and the consequent implications on health and safety. Thus, we propose a two-way solution. First, a concerted effort to mobilise the youth to take up technical and vocational education through government policy.

Secondly, the Ministry of Employment and Labour relations, Ghana in consultation with the Ghana Employers Association and the engineering-related professional associations should thoroughly streamline and harmonise employee working conditions of the sector. While the former approach may increase enrolment in technical and vocational education, including construction, the latter may attract and retain talents in the GCI. This finding corroborates Ng et al., (2017) assertion that indigenous professionals in construction and allied engineering constitute a great asset. For both developed and developing countries, the role of the skilled labor force for the construction industry's competitiveness has been confirmed by Deng et al. (2013) for China in particular and globally by Fox and Skitmore (2007) and Ofori (1993).

Further, the availability and cost of credit is another factor influencing the GCI's competitiveness. For example, recent developments in the GCI indicate that clients hardly make advance payments to contractors. There is evidence of stalled projects due to clients' inability to pay or pay on time (Gyadu-Asiedu et al. 2013). This development affects the liquidity of contractors and leads to default to banks and financial institutions. Consequently, credit from banks to

contractors becomes expensive due to the increased risk of default (Fox and Skitmore, 2007). The Government of Ghana (GoG) is the biggest employer in the construction industry and has part of the funding challenges outlined in the present paper. As the client, the GoG can resort to public-private-partnerships such as Build, Operate Transfer (BOT) to finance infrastructure projects. In addition, the country established the Ghana Infrastructure Investment Funds in 2014, with the overall aim "to mobilise, manage, coordinate and provide financial resources for investment in a diversified portfolio of infrastructure projects in Ghana for national development (GIIF, 2017 pp 4)".

Perhaps it is the most opportune time all infrastructure projects are channeled through a board whose mandate includes sourcing funds for critical infrastructure. This will ensure that need-based projects are implemented, not on political expediency. It will also curb the practice where public officials initiate projects without a clearly defined source of funds and payment mechanism. GoG can also set up the defunct Bank for Housing and construction as was in the past. The Bank's mandate will be to offer to finance to contractors at reduced interest rates. To avoid default by contractors, all payments will be channeled through this bank. These two approaches can reduce the incidence of non-budgeted projects and provide reliable financing for contractors.

Another factor that loaded significantly on this construct is the availability of cheap and quality materials. Though there have been numerous efforts by the Building and Road Research Institute (BRRI) of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) to introduce new materials to the GCI, the process has been inhibited by problems with industry-wide adoption and lack of government support (Adjei 2009). Moreover, even where materials are available, frequent price fluctuation and supply bottlenecks render project planning difficult and unviable (Danso et al. 2021; Napoleon et al. 2018). While indigenous alternatives are not readily available, developing nations including Ghana embrace conventional and imported materials, which leads to high construction costs (Ofori, 1993). Thus, for the GCI to become competitive, materials and component manufacturing and supply should be streamlined while government emphasises investment in research and development of local materials. This finding is congruent with (Mengistu and Mahesh 2020), who assert that material shortages severely constrain Ethiopia's building industry.

5.2 C2 – Construction Business Strategies and Project Management

C2 group includes firms' strategic perspectives, general business management practices, market concentration, project management competencies,

competition intensity, and the power of trade unions. However, it is important to note that firms' strategic perspectives recorded the highest factor loading, which is plausible for several reasons. Indeed, most firms in Africa operate despite multiple and interactive challenges posed by the social, political, economic, and competitive environment. This is particularly true in Ghana, where bureaucracies at all levels of a project, delays in payments, shoddy work, poor enforcement of regulations, and improper monitoring of construction and cost overruns have been cited key challenges (Anaman and Osei-Amponsah 2007; Eyiah 2004).

Dansoh et al. (2017), for instance, reported that Ghana is bedeviled with problems including poor economic management, environmental dilapidation, and erratic supply of power to the industry, which, in turn, have resulted in adverse outcomes in the construction industry. Given such challenges, firms must respond based on their strategic perspectives through which competitive advantage could be achieved and performance increased. In essence, construction firms, guided by their goals and tactics, will need to explicitly define how they want to compete. Then by internal and external assessment of their strengths and weakness and opportunities and threats from the industry, they can gauge what can be achieved successfully. Thus, the core competencies of the firm will then be tailored to emphasise strategies of either cost leadership, differentiation or focus, or a combination.

In addition, project management competencies have been a cardinal determinant of project success, the success of construction firms, and the industry as a whole. Indeed, Bredillet et al. (2010) have established a positive correlation between a nation's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and project management development. Accordingly, Mana'an et al. (2014) documented that the performance of project managers is average which lends credence to the relatively "undeveloped project management environment in the country". Consequently, the competencies of project management practitioners must improve for a competitive GCI.

5.3 C3- Stakeholders' Demands and Performance

The third component (C3) is defined by six elements, namely size and structure of the industry, quality of construction research and education, complex customer demands, the performance of subcontractors and material suppliers, performance of architecture and engineering design consultants, the introduction of favourable regulation by the government for the construction sector. Among these attributes, the size and structure of the industry have the highest factor loading. Like other construction industries in other developing countries, the GCI is fragmented with low-level collaboration among

stakeholders (Ofori-Kuragu et al 2016a; b). Indeed, improving cooperation among stakeholders can lead to developing industry-best practices and culture (Fox and Skitmore 2007) and a shared goal of performance measures that can improve industry competitiveness (Mengistu and Mahesh, 2020).

Thus it is clear that a cohesive industry can lead to improved performance of the entire construction value chain – material producers, suppliers, and engineering consultants. It can also improve professionalism through industry/academia collaboration and curriculum development and enhance the adoption of new technology, new management techniques, and the wholesale acceptance of industry laws and regulations. In Ghana, the traditional method, where design and construction are separated, remains the most common method of construction procurement (Ling et al. 2012). Pre-contract and post-contract services performance of design and supervision consultants certainly influences project delivery. The emergence of industry characteristics as a relevant factor in competitiveness is plausible because industry characteristics influence construction technology and its potential for advancement. These characteristics also affect the operation of researchers and industry professionals, production technology, and consequently, technological competitiveness of the industry (Nam and Tatum 1988)

5.4 C4 - Government Role and Industry Development Policy

Government roles are factors that the construction firms and related entities have no control over. Table 4 shows that C4 is governed by four elements: the presence of a central agency responsible for the construction industry, deliberate construction industry development policy, stable macroeconomic regime, and investment in research and development of building and road research institutes. Among these factors, 'the presence of a central agency responsible for the construction industry appears to be the most relevant factor contributing to industry competitiveness in Ghana with a factor loading of 0.81.

Indeed, many authors have bemoaned the challenges in construction industry reform and capacity improvement due to the absence of an authority to oversee the industry in Ghana (Dansoh et al. 2017; Ofori-Kuragu et al. 2016; Ofori 2012). For instance, Ahadzie (2009) asserted that it is almost impossible to have a competitive construction industry without a clear-cut development plan spearheaded by a constitutional body. He, therefore, proposed a Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) to oversee the policy formulation and implementation that will engender local construction capacity. Thus, the authority can undertake a robust and empirically grounded study based on the

prevailing situation and the available resources to reveal many aspects of the industry's problems and proffer solutions. Such kind of study by the authority should be subject to periodic reviews to gauge progress and forecast future issues for in-time solutions. Thankfully, the Construction Industry Development Authority (CIDA) bill was submitted to the government of Ghana for consideration. However, at the time of writing this paper, it remains unratified, as bemoaned by Dansoh et al. (2017).

6. CONCLUSION

The research described in this paper built on existing literature and expert opinions to assemble 38 factors formulating the competitiveness of the construction industry in Ghana.

Out of 38 factors, 24 had RII values of $\geq 70\%$ and were taken through factor analysis. The factor analysis revealed four fundamental components formulating the competitiveness of the GCI. These components are Industrial Resource Availability, Construction Business Strategies and Project Management, Stakeholders' Demands and Performance and finally, Government Role and Industry Development Policy. Overall, these underlying constructs explained 59.2% of the total variance. Notably, apart from Construction Business Strategies and Project Management, the other three components refer to the operational environment of construction firms. Perhaps, as a country, more emphasis should be placed on the macro-variables of the GCI in Ghana. Indeed, this dovetails into the concern for an agency to oversee the construction industry. Furthermore, it lends credence to the critical role government has to play in ensuring micro-economic stability as a whole and in shaping the construction research agenda, setting and enforcing standards and norms, and engendering effective strategies for a conducive and dynamic local construction industry.

Further, at the firm level, senior managers in the construction industry should integrate advanced management processes and techniques in construction business management to improve performance not only in product cost, product quality, and enhanced delivery period but also in human resource management, health and safety and sustainability.

Though the factors highlighted in this paper are specifically for the GCI, the study could be replicated for other countries, especially in Africa. Given that this approach targeted the industry as a whole, it is suggested that future research on competitiveness should target specific participants of the industry such as

contractors, architecture and engineering consultants, real estate companies, and facilities managers.

REFERENCES

- Adjei D. 2009. Production of Affordable but Quality Housing for the Low-Income Urban Dweller. Promoting the Use of Local Building Materials. Building and Road Research Institute, Ghana.
- Ahadzie, D.K (2009). Ghana in need of a construction industry agenda <https://www.ghanaweb.com/GhanaHomePage/features/Ghana-In-Need-Of-Construction-Industry-Development-Agenda-170462> (assessed on 9th June 2021).
- Ahadzie, D. K., Proverbs, D. G. and Olomolaiye, P. O. (2008) 'Critical success criteria for mass house building projects in developing countries', *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(6), pp. 675–687. doi: 10.1016/j.ijproman.2007.09.006.
- Ambastha, A., and Momaya, K. (2004). Competitiveness of firms: review of theory, frameworks, and models. *Singapore Management Review*, 26(1), pp. 45–62. Retrieved from http://www.faracididattica.it/files/dott_-_ajitabh_et_al_2004.pdf.
- Anaman, K. A., and Osei-Amponsah, C. (2007). Analysis of the causality links between the growth of the construction industry and the growth of the macroeconomy in Ghana. *Construction Management and Economics*, 25(9), pp. 951–961. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190701411208>.
- Arthur-Aidoo, B. M., Aigbavboa, C. O., and Thwala, W. D. (2018). Exploratory factor analysis on drivers of firm's growth among construction SMEs in Ghana. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development*, 10(1), pp. 20–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20421338.2017.1380932>.
- Assibey-mensah, G. O. (2015). Ghana's Construction Industry and Global Competition, A research Note. *Journal of Black Studies*, 39(6), pp. 974–989. <https://doi.org/10.2307/40282610>
- Atuahene, B. T., and Baiden, B. K. (2018). Organizational culture of Ghanaian construction firms. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 18(2), pp. 177–188. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2017.1301043>.
- Badu, E., Edwards, D. J. and Owusu-Manu, D. (2012). Trade credit and supply chain delivery in the Ghanaian construction industry: Analysis of vendor interactions with small to medium enterprises. *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology*, 10(3), pp. 360–379. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17260531211274729>.

- Barney, J. (1991). Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), pp. 99–120.
- Bartlett, M. S. (1950). Tests of Significance in Factor Analysis. *British Journal of Statistical Psychology*, 3(2), pp. 77–85. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8317.1950.tb00285.x>.
- Betts, M., and Ofori, G. (1992). Strategic planning for competitive advantage in construction. *Construction Management and Economics*, 10(6), pp. 511–532. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446199400000029>.
- Boadu, E. F., Wang, C. C., and Sunindijo, R. Y. (2020). Characteristics of the construction industry in developing countries and its implications for health and safety: An exploratory study in Ghana. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(11), pp. 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17114110>.
- Bobirca, Ana & Cristureanu, Cristiana. (2008). Analyzing Romania's competitiveness as a tourism destination. *Advances in Hospitality and Leisure*. 4. 75-99. 10.1016/S1745-3542(08)00004-0.
- Bredillet, C., Yatim, F., and Ruiz, P. (2010). Project management deployment: The role of cultural factors. *International Journal of Project Management*, 28(2), pp. 183–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2009.10.007>.
- Buckley, P. J., Pass, C. L., and Prescott, K. (1988). Measures of international competitiveness: A critical survey. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 4(2), pp. 175–200. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.1988.9964068>.
- Chang, R.-D., Zuo, J., Soebarto, V., Zhao, Z.-Y. and Zillante, G. (2017), "Dynamic interactions between sustainability and competitiveness in construction firms: A transition perspective", *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, Vol. 24 No. 5, pp. 842-859. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-01-2016-0025>.
- Chiang, Y. H., Tang, B. S. and Leung, W. Y. (2001) 'Market structure of the construction industry in Hong Kong', *Construction Management and Economics*, 19(7), pp. 675–687. doi: 10.1080/01446190110067046.
- Chileshe, N. and Boadua Yirenkyi-Fiako, A. (2012) 'An evaluation of risk factors impacting construction projects in Ghana', *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology*, 10(3), pp. 306–329. doi: 10.1108/17260531211274693.

- Cho, D.-S. (1994). A dynamic approach to international competitiveness: the case of Korea, *Journal of Far Eastern Business*, 1(1), 17-36
- Cho, D.S., and Moon, C.H. (2000) *From Adam Smith to Michael Porter: Evolution of competitiveness theory*, World Scientific Publishing Company Ltd., Singapore
- Claver, E., Molina, J. F., and Tarí, J. J. (2003). Strategic groups and firm performance: The case of Spanish house-building firms. *Construction Management and Economics*, 21(4), pp. 369–377. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144619032000111250>.
- Curran, P. J. (2000). "Competition in UK higher education: Competitive advantage in the research assessment exercise and Porter's diamond model." *Higher Educ. Q.*, 54(4), 386–410.
- Dansoh, A. (2005). Strategic planning practice of construction firms in Ghana. *Construction Management and Economics*, 23(2), pp. 163–168. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144619042000241435>.
- Danso, H., Obeng-Ahenkora, N. K., and Manu, D. (2021). Prices trend of selected building and construction materials on Ghanaian construction market: 2011–2016. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 21(3), pp. 284–291. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2018.1531809>.
- Dansoh, A., Samuel, F., and Daniel, O. (2017). A Conceptual Review of the Challenges in Construction Industry Reform. Procs 6th International Conference on Infrastructure Development (ICIDA) in Africa, (April), 152–160. Kumasi, Ghana: Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology. Retrieved from www.icida.knust.edu.gh.
- Deng, F., Liu, G., and Jin, Z. (2013). Factors Formulating the Competitiveness of the Chinese Construction Industry: Empirical Investigation. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 29(4), pp. 435–445. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ME.1943-5479.0000161](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000161).
- Deng, X., Sui, P.L., Qiming, L., and Xianbo, Z. (2014). Developing Competitive Advantages in Political Risk Management for International Construction Enterprises. pp. 1–10. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)CO.1943-7862.0000836](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0000836).
- Dikmen, I. and Birgönül, M. T. (2002) 'Strategic Perspective of Turkish Construction Companies', *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 19(1), pp. 33–40. doi: 10.1061/(asce)0742-597x(2003)19:1(33).

- El-Diraby, T. E., Costa, J., and Singh, S. (2006). How do contractors evaluate company competitiveness and market attractiveness? The case of Toronto contractors. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 33(5), pp. 596–608. <https://doi.org/10.1139/l06-017>.
- Ericsson, S., Henricsson, P., and Jewell, C. (2005). Understanding construction industry competitiveness: the introduction of the Hexagon framework. Proceedings of the 11th Joint CIB International Symposium Combining Forces - Advancing Facilities Management and Construction through Innovation, 13-16 June, Helsinki, Finland., 188–202.
- Eyiah, A. (2004). Regulation and Small Contractor Development: A Case of Ghana (No. 80).
- Field, A. P. (2005). *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS (2nd Edition)*. London, Sage .
- Flanagan, R., et al. (2005). Measuring construction competitiveness in selected countries, Final Report. In the Research Team at the University of Reading.
- Flanagan, R., et al. (2007). Competitiveness in construction: a critical review of research. *Construction Management and Economics*, 25(September), pp. 989–1000. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190701258039>.
- Fox, P., and Skitmore, M. (2007). Factors facilitating construction industry development. *Building Research and Information*, 35(2), pp. 178–188. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09613210600980192>.
- Francisco A. Orozco., Alfredo F. Serpell., Keith R. Molenaar, and Eric Forcael (2014). Modeling Competitiveness Factors and Indexes for Construction Companies: Findings of Chile. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 140(4). [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)CO](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO).
- Ghana Infrastructure Investment Fund (2017). Investment Policy Statement; Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning, Accra, Ghana
- Ghana Statistical Service. 2017 Annual Gross Domestic Product; Ghana Statistical Service: Accra, Ghana, 2018
- Green, S. D., et al. (2008). On the discourse of construction competitiveness on the discourse of construction competitiveness. *Building Research and Innovation*, 36(5), pp. 526–435. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09613210802076666>.
- Gyadu-asiedu, W., Danso, H., and Asubonteng, J. A. (2013). Assessment of the Performance of Local and Foreign Road Construction Firms in Ghana AND

- FOREIGN ROAD CONSTRUCTION FIRMS IN. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 13(4), pp. 53–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2013.10878229>.
- Hair, J. F. (2011). Multivariate Data Analysis: An Overview. In *International Encyclopedia of Statistical Science*, pp. 904–907. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04898-2_395.
- Henricsson, J. P. E., et al. (2004). Rethinking Competitiveness for the Construction Industry. *Association of Researchers in Construction Management*, 1(September, 2004), pp. 335–342.
- Holt, G. D. (2014). Asking questions, analysing answers: Relative importance revisited. *Construction Innovation*, 14(1), pp. 2–16. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CI-06-2012-0035>.
- Kaiser, H. F. (1970). A second-generation little jiffy. *Psychometrika*, 35(4), pp. 401–415. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02291817>.
- Kale, S. and Arditi, D. (2002) 'Competitive Positioning in United States Construction Industry', *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 128(3), pp. 238–247. doi: 10.1061/(asce)0733-9364(2002)128:3(238).
- Keats, B. W., and Bracker, J. S. (1988). Toward a Theory of Small Firm Performance: A Conceptual Model. *American Journal of Small Business*, 12(4), pp. 41–58. <https://doi.org/10.1177/104225878801200403>.
- Korkmaz, S., and Messner, J. I. (2008). Competitive Positioning and Continuity of Construction Firms in International Markets. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 24(4), pp. 207–216. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0742-597X\(2008\)24:4\(207\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0742-597X(2008)24:4(207))
- Lall, S. (2001) Comparing National Competitive Performance: An Economic Analysis of World Economic Forum's Competitiveness Index. Working Paper No. 61, Queen Elizabeth House, Oxford
- Ling, F. Y. Y., Li, S., Low, S. P., and Ofori, G. (2012). Mathematical models for predicting Chinese A/E/C firms' competitiveness. *Automation in Construction*, 24, pp. 40–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2012.02.016>.
- Liu, G. W., and Jin, Z. G. (2007). "Evaluating the industrial competitiveness of Chinese construction industry." *Int. Econ. Cooperat.*, 7(1), 26–30 (in Chinese).

- Lu, W., Shen, L., and Yam, M. C. (2008). Critical Success Factors for Competitiveness of Contractors: China Study. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 134(12), pp. 972–982. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9364\(2008\)134:12\(972\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9364(2008)134:12(972)).
- Manaán, O.A., Ahadzie D.K., Panford J.K., and Proverbs, D.G. (2014). Competency-based evaluation of project managers' performance in mass house building projects in Ghana – the fuzzy set theory approach. *Journal of Science and Technology (Ghana)*, 34(1), 46. <https://doi.org/10.4314/just.v34i1.5>.
- Martek, I., and Chen, C. (2014). Competitive Strategies Associated with Particular Localization Patterns Adopted by Implanted Architecture, Engineering, and Construction Firms in China. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 30(4), 05014004. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ME.1943-5479.0000232](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000232).
- Masakure, O., Cranfield, J., and Henson, S. (2008). The Financial Performance of Non-farm Microenterprises in Ghana. *World Development*, 36(12), pp. 2733–2762. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2007.12.005>.
- Mengistu, D. G., and Mahesh, G. (2020). Challenges in developing the Ethiopian construction industry. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development*, 12(4), pp. 373–384. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20421338.2019.1654252>.
- Mokhtariani, M., Sebt, M. H. and Davoudpour, H. (2017) 'Characteristics of the Construction Industry from the Marketing Viewpoint: Challenges and Solutions', *Civil Engineering Journal*, 3(9), pp. 701–714. doi: 10.21859/cej-03097.
- Momaya, K. (1998). Evaluating International Competitiveness at the Industry Level. *Vikalpa*, 23(2), pp. 39–46. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0256090919980206>.
- Nam, C. H., and Tatum, C. B. (1988). Major characteristics of constructed products and resulting limitations of construction technology. *Construction Management and Economics*, 6(2), pp. 133–147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446198800000012>.
- Napoleon Kuebutornye, D. K., Eugene, Ayoakateng S., Joseph, Asubonteng A., Asigri, Tom., and Alubokin, Anthony A. (2018). Effects of material management techniques on construction project success: Perspective of

- material managers in northern region of Ghana. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, 7(5), pp. 183–188.
- Offei-Nyako, K., Fugar, F.D.K., Adinyira E., Osei-Tutu, E. (2014). Skilled Artisanal Availability in the. *Covenant Journal of Research in the Built Environment*, 1(1), pp. 1–9.
- Ofori, G. (1993). Research on construction industry development at the crossroads. *Construction Management and Economics*, 11(3), pp. 175–185. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446199300000017>.
- Ofori, G. (2012). Developing the construction industry in Ghana: the case for a central agency (Vol. 25).
- Ofori-kuragu, J. K., Baiden, B. K., and Badu, E. (2016a). Key Performance Indicators for Project Success in Ghanaian Contractors. *International Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 5(1), pp. 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijcem.20160501.01>.
- Ofori-Kuragu, J. K., Owusu-Manu, D. G., and Ayarkwa, J. (2016b). The case for a construction industry council in Ghana. *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries*, 21(2), pp. 131–149. <https://doi.org/10.21315/jcdc2016.21.2.7>.
- Oyewobi, L. O., Windapo, A. O., Rotimi, J. O.B. and Jimoh, R. A (2019) 'Determining strategic clusters and performance of construction organizations in South Africa', *International Journal of Construction Management*. Taylor & Francis, 19(1), pp. 46–56. doi: 10.1080/15623599.2017.1382084.
- Öz, Ö. (2001) 'Sources of competitive advantage of Turkish construction companies in international markets', *Construction Management and Economics*, 19(2), pp. 135–144. doi: 10.1080/01446190010009988.
- Pallant, J. (2005). *SPSS Survival Manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS for Windows (Version 12) (2nd Edition)*. New South Wales, Australia: Allen & Unwin.
- Pedhazur E. and Schemelkin, L. (1991). *Measurement, Design and Analysis*, Hillsdale NJ: Erbaum.
- Porter, M. E (1981). The contributions of industrial organization to strategic management. *Academy of Management Review*, 6(4), pp. 609–620.

- Porter, E. M. (1980). *Competitive Strategy*. Free Press, 1(2), pp. 12–17.
- Porter, E. M. (1990). *The Competitive Advantage of Nations*. Free Press, New York.
- Premdilip, Aiswarya & Uma, R. . (2020) 'Analysing the Role of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in Construction Industry', *International Research Journal of Engineering and technology* (July), pp. 2404–2409.
- Rugman, Alan M. and D'Cruz, J. R. (1993). The “double diamond” model of international competitiveness: the Canadian experience. *Management International Review*, 33(Special Issue), pp. 17–39. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>.
- Shen, L., Wei-Sheng L., & Michael C. H. Y. (2006). Contractor Key Competitiveness Indicators: A China Study. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 132(4), pp. 416–424. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9364\(2006\)132:4\(416\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9364(2006)132:4(416))
- Shiu-tong Thomas Ng, James Ming Wah Wong, Yat Hung Chiang, and Patrick Tsun Ip Lam (2017). Improving the competitive advantages of construction firms in developed countries. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Municipal Engineer*, 171(4), pp. 234–244. <https://doi.org/10.1680/jmuen.17.00002>.
- Somiah, M. K., Aigbavboa, C. O., and Thwala, W. D. (2020a). Critical success factors militating against competitive advantage of indigenous construction firms in developing countries. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development*, 12(2), pp. 187–194. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20421338.2019.1625583>.
- Somiah, M. K., Aigbavboa, C. O., and Thwala, W. D. (2020b). Critical Success Strategies for Competitive Advantage of Indigenous Construction Firms in Developing Countries: A Ghana Study. *Global Business Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972150920907258>.
- Somiah, M. K., Aigbavboa, C., and Thwala, W. D. (2020c). Rethinking the Attributes for Competitive Advantage of Indigenous Construction Firms in Developing Countries: A Ghana Study. *International Journal of Construction Education and Research*, 8771. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15578771.2020.1739179>.
- Stevens, J. P. (1992). *Applied Multivariate Analysis for Social Sciences* (2nd Edition), Hillsdale NJ; Erlbaum.
- Tabachnick, B. G., and Fidell, L. S. (2019). *Using Multivariate Statistics* (7th Edition)

- Tan, Y., Shen, L., and Langston, C. (2012). Competition Environment, Strategy, and Performance in the Hong Kong Construction Industry. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 138(3), pp. 352–360. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)co.1943-7862.0000407](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0000407).
- Tansey, P., Spillane, J. P. and Meng, X. (2014) 'Linking response strategies adopted by construction firms during the 2007 economic recession to Porter's generic strategies', *Construction Management and Economics*, 32(7–8), pp. 705–724. doi: 10.1080/01446193.2014.933856.
- Weisheng Lu; Anita M. M. Liu; Steve Rowlinson; and S. W. Poon (2013). Sharpening Competitive Edge through Procurement Innovation: Perspectives from Chinese International Construction Companies. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 139(3), pp. 347–351. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)CO.1943-7862](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862).
- Williams, B., Onsmann, A., and Brown, T. (2010). Exploratory factor analysis: A five-step guide for novices. *Journal of Emergency Primary Health Care*, 8(3), pp. 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.33151/ajp.8.3.93>.
- Wu, C. R., Lin, C. T., and Chen, H. C. (2007). "Optimal selection of location for Taiwanese hospitals to ensure a competitive advantage by using the analytic hierarchy process and sensitivity analysis." *Build Environ.*, 42(3), 1431–1444. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2005.12.016
- Ye, K., Lu, W., and Jiang, W. (2009). Concentration in the international construction market. *Construction Management and Economics*, 27(12), pp. 1197–1207. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190903222429>.
- Ye, K., Shen, L., & Tan, Y. (2010). Response strategies to the competition in the Chinese construction market. *Construction Management and Economics*, 28(2), pp. 115–124. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190903473774>.
- Yeboah, Charles Nixon. (2021 August, 30). Construction Industry: Ghana ranked 7th in value, 6th in attractiveness – Report. <https://www.myjoyonline.com/construction-industry-ghana-ranked-7th-in-value-6th-in-attractiveness-report/?param=>
- Zhao, Z. Y. and Shen, L. Y. (2008) 'Are Chinese contractors competitive in international markets?', *Construction Management and Economics*, 26(3), pp. 225–236. doi: 10.1080/01446190801905380.

