

INTEGRATION OF KNOWLEDGE: AN OVERVIEW OF THE PARTICIPATION AT THE FACULTY OF QURANIC AND SUNNAH STUDIES, UNIVERSITI SAINS ISLAM MALAYSIA

Muhammad Fawwaz Muhammad Yusoff

Faculty of Quranic and Sunnah Studies, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia

Email: fawwaz@usim.edu.my

Published online: 31 October 2025

To cite this article: Muhammad Fawwaz Muhammad Yusoff. 2025. Integration of knowledge: An overview of the participation at the Faculty of Quranic and Sunnah Studies, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia. *Kajian Malaysia* 43(2): 61–80. <https://doi.org/10.21315/km2025.43.2.4>

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.21315/km2025.43.2.4>

ABSTRACT

The goal of this research is to show how the many methodologies, approaches, notions, and contributions under the Faculty of Quranic and Sunnah Studies (FPQS), Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia (USIM) have enormous potential for students, teachers, educators, academicians, and scholars in Islamic studies to illuminate the integration of knowledge. This article outlines FPQS's academic endeavours and focuses on interests that signify the framework for Muslims' understanding of epistemology. Rather than being merely of historical interest, the article argues that FPQS's coordination is a valuable starting point for developing a contemporary discourse on al-Quran and sunnah studies in Malaysia and the Muslim world. The present paper takes it a step further, proposing that integration of knowledge in an academic ambiance can be achieved through the collective enterprise of the epistemology of scholastic traditions of Islam, university and national policies, as well as the aspirations and practices of faculties.

Keywords: al-Quran, sunah, integration of knowledge, Islamic education, academic programme, Malaysian qualifications agency, outcome-based education

INTRODUCTION

At the British Association for Islamic Studies (BRAIS) Conference 2017, held at the University of Chester, the author received Ziauddin Sardar's latest book, titled *Rethinking Reform in Higher Education: From Islamisation to Integration of Knowledge*, in person. This book is one of the International Institute of Islamic Thought's (IIIT) most recent publications, an endeavour by scholars affiliated with the organisation to map a new educational terrain for Muslims. Syed

Naquib al-Attas, Seyyed Hossein Nasr, Ismail Faruqi, and their fellow founders of the International Institute of Islamic Thought and Civilisation (ISTAC), Imperial Iranian Academy of Philosophy, IIIT, and many others from their generation attempted to solve the education issue faced by Muslim societies in the 1970s and 1980s. Ziauddin Sardar and Jeremy Henzell-Thomas continued their work by updating their efforts, instead of the earlier legacy of Islamisation of knowledge, Sardar and Henzell-Thomas (2017) suggested a 'departure' towards integration of knowledge. They cast aside the previous paradigm, while making only rudimentary remarks on the shortcomings that need such a shift, possibly to avoid unnecessary squabbles. Sardar has remained a critique of Faruqi's Islamisation of Knowledge (IOK) project, the squabble has been pushed away after his death. IIIT seems to have abandoned IOK. In the foreword section, the integration of knowledge is characterised as 'revealed knowledge with human efforts in knowledge production' as well as fulfilling the call for 'a new paradigm rooted in the Quranic worldview and an epistemology based on the doctrine of *tawhīd* (the oneness of God) and one's responsibility to God, one's soul, humankind, all created beings, and the natural world.' Surprisingly, the writing makes no intricate emphasis on education in Muslim countries or Muslim institutions or schools in other countries, in other words, Islamic education. The account encompasses a broad range of topics, including the question of what education is for—training or intellectual formation—and the challenge that rapid technological development has posed to universities in recent decades, culminating in demands to overhaul traditional university institutions. Sardar places a premium on the global complexity that now pervades all human efforts, including learning, and leads to the rising concern that previous ideas about how to approach education may have become obsolete.

Substantially, a community, a country, or a civilisation passes on the accumulated skills, knowledge, and wisdom of the past to future generations through education. Education guarantees a society's perseverance as a separate entity by preserving its cultural identity and historical impetus. It provides a worldview through which a community attempts to solve issues, define social connections and economic activity, create meaning for itself, push the boundaries of knowledge, and continue to exist as a living organism. In the contemporary Muslim world, *tarbiyah* is the most commonly used term to denote 'education.' Other essential terms are *ta'lim* (teaching) and *ta'allum* (learning), *tadrīs* ('more advanced' instruction), and *ta'dīb* (tutoring or educating), which leads to *ādāb* (correct comportment, courtesy, culture, refined speech, literature, correct ethical attitudes, and many other concepts), whether it be a matter of doctrine, path of initiation, religious practice or of comportment towards any kind of being. Since modern educational stages are subdivided into at least eight levels according to the International Standard Classification Education (ISCED) (UNESCO Institute for Statistics 2012), the Muslim nations treat each of them accordingly through the formulation and integration of the essential Islamic elements and key concepts. The treatment of different

levels of knowledge and various ways of integrating disciplines appears to be a recurring topic at the higher education level. Naturally, the core knowledge at the university level is formulated before any other level of education. Al-Attas (1993) asserts that “this core knowledge, integrated and composed as a harmonious unity and designed at the university level as a model structure and content for the other levels, must invariably be reflected in successively simpler forms at the secondary and primary levels of the educational system.”

SCOPE AND METHODS

This article undertakes an exploratory analysis of the integration of knowledge in the academic programmes offered by the Faculty of Quranic and Sunnah Studies (FPQS) of Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia (USIM). The present study builds on the general overview of FPQS on linking the philosophy of USIM to the formulation of an integrated curriculum as facilitated by the quality assurance body, the Malaysian Qualification Agency (MQA). This is, however, not a comprehensive history of the FPQS, nor of the academic faculty members. This article aims to highlight the most significant aspects of integrating knowledge within the Islamic intellectual tradition and the contemporary academic environment, specifically in relation to the FPQS. The contexts and trajectories of religious and educational change often differ in other modern Muslim societies. Indeed, in Malaysia, the content, objectives, and operational mechanics of Islamic studies are major topics in current policy at both public and national levels. This article sought to explore epistemological discourse by examining the cross-cutting relations between the disciplines of knowledge and the sacred foundation of the Holy Quran, as well as the traditions of the Holy Prophet, within the integrated curriculum of modern Muslim higher educational institutions.

GLIMPSES FROM THE CONCEPT OF INTEGRATION OF KNOWLEDGE IN MUSLIM HISTORY

The discourse of integration of knowledge in much of the Malaysian educational and cultural sphere focuses upon the advancement of the Islamisation legacy to adapt and further extend towards the worldview of Muslims. Islamisation is generally understood as an intellectual and methodological outlook or a process to Islamise in terms of faith, belief, or worldview, and accordingly, it is applied to human beings (for the different conceptions of Islamisation, see Al-Attas 1993; Al-Faruqi 1982; Rahman 1988; Abu Sulayman and al-Faruqi 1989; Sardar 1989; Al-Alwani 1995). Speaking in more broad terms, 20th-century Muslim scholars or philosophers concur with the epistemic viewpoint that all scientific endeavours are conducted within a set of principles, ideas, and assumptions

about the nature of scientific issues. These are not just methodological ideas, though they speak to the core nature of the scientific endeavour. The scientific descriptions of physical reality come after humans' basic assumptions about existence. As a result, a meaningful conception of the relationship between Islam and nature or science in general must begin with identifying these guiding ideas and assumptions.

According to Al-Attas (1979), the solution to this issue is to employ a holistic approach to education that recognises the human person as *al-insān al-kāmil* (the universal being or the 'perfect human'). Al-Attas (1979) contends that knowledge should be imparted in any institution in a way that respects the integrity and universality of human beings. Likewise, in his view on the Islamisation of knowledge or the Islamisation of contemporary knowledge, the definition constitutes its methodology. Thus, the process may be broken down into its component parts, which are the verification process and the infusion process, respectively. Here, al-Attas (1993) postulates the philosophical basis for the purpose and aims of education when he asserts that "for the establishment of an integrated core-knowledge in the educational system, it seems to me to recollect the essential character of the Islamic vision of Reality. In the same way that the Islamic vision of reality is centred on being, so is the Being viewed in Islam as a Hierarchy from the highest to the lowest." This inception is similar to that found in Nasr (1993), who states that "only when the contour of the Islamic conception of the cosmos is clearly delineated will the Muslims be able to absorb and integrate those elements of foreign sciences that are in conformity with the spirit of their tradition into their own worldview."

Principally, these scholars commenced with the tendency to formulate the Islamisation of knowledge at a very exquisite level of abstraction. While there are points of disagreement between scholars of this generation, certainly there is also a common goal, which is made obvious from the sacred mission of Prophet Muhammad SAW in the realisation of one's *'ubudiyyah* (servanthood) and *istikhlāf* (vicegerency). Furthermore, the Islamisation of knowledge projects has continuously emphasised the foundation of both the epistemological and methodological elements of reform and revival initiatives in Islamic civilisation. The epistemological dimension, which deals with the theoretical acumen of the human mind, is concerned with making correct distinctions between the different levels of thought, namely facts, ideas, principles, and theories when recognised from a wide-ranging Islamic viewpoint. Meanwhile, the methodological dimension is intertwined with the practical aspect of human thought, encompassing thinking styles, research methodologies, and motivations or external factors that drive action.

As such, modern educators and scholars have paid great attention to their articulations of concepts and ideas, and in their quoting of relevant Quranic

verses and *hadis* (prophetic tradition) to amplify the Muslim community by showing how Islam approaches natural phenomena and scientific concepts (Hassan 2017). On the whole, it is challenging to draw a line between written revelation and the constructed universe as a source of knowledge. Quite clearly, the ‘two readings’ of written revelation or the Quran and cosmos are extensively discussed among classical and medieval Muslim scholars to obtain the wisdom and guidance that people need. Islamic science and the intellectual perspectives cultivated within Islam have long been viewed in a hierarchical framework, ultimately leading to the knowledge of the One, *tawhīd*, the substance of all knowledge (Nasr 1976). Needless to say, Muslim intellectual authorities sought to integrate a new discipline into the Islamic scheme of the hierarchy of knowledge whenever confronted with sciences initially developed by other civilisations. This is also why the greatest Muslim scholars, such as al-Shāfi‘ī (Lowry 2007), al-Fārābī (Bakar 1998), Ibn Sīnā (Pormann 2013), al-Ghazālī (Lumbard 2019), Ibn al-‘Arabī (Arif 2002), and many others were concerned with the question of the classification of the sciences. According to these scholars, the fact that all disciplines stem from a single divine source is the foundation for the ultimate unity and integration of knowledge. To some extent, the question of epistemology is the product of the various orientations and opinions among scholars who addressed this topic.

On the other hand, by going through a brief overview of contemporary epistemic or educational thought in Islam, major methodological matters, and the objectives of education are explained by Muslim scholars or educators. Following Bigliardi’s (2014) and Stenberg’s (1996) classifications, Usamah Hasan (Muslim World Science Initiative 2016) modified a 5-part taxonomy that sought to reconcile Islam with modern science since the 20th century. In his conclusive writing *Muslim Responses to Science’s Big Questions: Summary Report*, Usama highlights (1) the Sacred Science school of Seyyed Hossein Nasr, (2) the Islamic science school (Islamisation of Knowledge) of Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas and Isma‘il Raji al-Faruqi, (3) the Universal Science school of Abdus Salam, (4) the Miraculous Scientific Content of the Qur’an of Maurice Bucaille, Abdul Majeed al-Zindani, Zaghoul el-Naggar, (5) the New Generation’s Reconciliast school of Mehdi Golshani, Basil Altaie, Bruno Guiderdoni, Nidhal Guessoum, and many others to provide a historical perspective on the Islam-Science discourse of this era. Usama ascribes himself to the New Generation’s Reconciliast school, which has sympathised with both Islam and science, and hence seems to find reconciliation between the two rather than simply a capitulation of one to the other through the scientific method. Although the recognition of this New Generation’s Reconciliast school is distinct from the Universal Science school of Abdus Salam, it is not outlandish to see them most of the time as a transcultural endeavour that is neither ‘Islamic’ nor ‘Western,’ especially on the question of evolution (Muslim World Science Initiative 2016; Bakar 2020). Accordingly, the taxonomy would have been more consistent if the author had addressed Islamic science of al-Attas and Sacred Science of Nasr schools in a similar vein, because

both schools provided a more comprehensive critique of the secular-materialist orientation of natural sciences and the philosophical claims of scientism, as well as their proposal of science based on sacred Islam teachings on nature and the cosmos.

By and large, to avoid the dangerous dichotomy that results from a superficial 'harmony' between the Islamic perspective and the modern sciences, which can be seen so often in the writings of contemporary apologists, Muslims must bring to light the Islamic conception of scientific nature. In his *Methodology of epistemological integration: Essentials of Islamic methodology*, Malkawi (2014) aspired to provide an intellectual framework for Islamic methodology with the intention of realising experiential instruction in the deliberate research about knowledge in a range of disciplines. He argued that, since it is difficult to distinguish (1) a realm that is natural and material, (2) social and human, and still another that is spiritual, psychic, and emotional, linking the concept of methodology with the evolution of the various sciences is fundamental in the sphere of human endeavours. Malkawi points out that the concept of methodology mostly parallels what has been recognised in the history of science and philosophy, in both ancient Greek civilisation and Arab-Islamic civilisation, as the 'science of logic.' Essentially, the primary thesis of Malkawi is that a genuinely Islamic approach to the study of any phenomenon, whether anthropological, social, physical, or biological, is characterised by its intrinsic capacity to integrate both scientific theory and advancements in applied science developed by individuals. There is one exception; however, these advancements cannot be based on any fundamental concepts that contradict the solitary sources of knowledge: divine revelation and psychological, physical, and social reality. Malkawi's (2014) work, which falls within the areas of epistemology that deal with educational theory and the study of knowledge, aims to move beyond academic debate to practical application in all major disciplines of scientific investigation. It is particularly aimed at Muslim scientists and educators from all disciplines who seek a unified set of principles and a clearly defined fundamental worldview, inspired by the Quran and sunah, from which to ignite intellectual and material growth in modern Muslim society.

Meanwhile, over the past decade, the work of Bakar (2019) on 'knowledge synthesis' has done much to evoke concern among higher education institutions regarding Islamic science, modern science, and postmodern science. It is important to note here that Bakar (2019) defined Islamic science as the entire body of scientific knowledge that was produced and cultivated in Islamic civilisation since its foundation in the first century of Hijrah or the seventh century Common Era (CE). Meanwhile, modern science means 'the science that originated in the West in the early 17th century CE and that is based on Newtonian mechanistic philosophy of nature and epistemological principles of rationalism and empiricism.' Bakar (2019) echoed theological, philosophical, and

scientific reasons why there is an urgent need for a synthesis between these sciences. Islamic science, founded on its teachings, became synthetic as a result of the religion's synthesising spirit. According to Bakar (2019), from the entire process of knowledge synthesis in question, from sifting through the heritage in both Islamic science and modern science right up to reinterpretation of the selected ideas, and further on to integration of these reinterpreted ideas into the *tawhīdic* epistemological framework until the synthesis is completed, the sifting phase appears to be the most physically and mentally demanding. Therefore, as he asserts in his Postmodern Synthesis of Islamic Science and Modern Science project, it is necessary to prioritise several areas of study, such as Islamic and modern biomedicine, since the domain of knowledge synthesis is so broad.

LINKING THE EDUCATIONAL ETHOS OF UNIVERSITI SAINS ISLAM MALAYSIA TO PLANNED IMPLEMENTATION

This article proceeds from the overview of epistemological discussion to the practice of higher education institutions, which arises from the same worldview that formed the basis of the development of Muslim contemporary education. As mentioned earlier, the splendid intellectual culture of knowledge enhancement evolved in respect to the impact of many highly inspiring Quranic verses or prophetic traditions upon the hearts and souls of Muslims who were counselled to engage themselves in practical design, reflective thinking, and deep contemplation about the many aspects of the natural order and Muslim affairs. The style in which a Muslim tertiary educational institution or university is designed is a deliberate attempt to dedicate and sustain the pursuit, integration, and advancement of all branches of knowledge. Before discussing how the FPQS as an academic institution engages with knowledge integration, it is essential to situate the FPQS under the umbrella of USIM. Through the philosophy, 'The integration of *naqlī* (revealed) and *'aqlī* (rational) (INAQ) knowledge and virtues are the bedrock of an outstanding generation and knowledgeable society,' USIM outlines its vision to achieve 'a distinguished Islamic Science Higher Education Institution' and the mission 'to produce competent intellectuals, leaders and professionals based on the Muslim intellectual traditions, noble values of religion and culture for the universal good.' The objectives of USIM as proclaimed on its website comprise the following: (1) to advocate and channel Islamic Education into the mainstream of National Education; (2) to acculturate the cohesion between theory and practice within every graduate; (3) to produce Muslim scholars with integrated education, capable of leading a multicultural society, and have high potential to spearhead national development; (4) to explore and revive outstanding Islamic scholarship tradition appropriate to the environment and current technologies; (5) to supply a human resource that firmly embraces Islamic values and is capable of interacting and communicating effectively in society.

In realising this philosophy, USIM has also tailored several initiatives, including a university policy, to accomplish the integration of knowledge. These initiatives include several recent policy document references such as *Polisi pengajaran dan pembelajaran berteraskan integrasi ilmu naqlī dan ‘aqlī* USIM (Teaching and learning based on the integration of naqlī and ‘aqlī knowledge policy) (USIM 2018), *Kaedah penerapan dan pengiraan integrasi INAQ dalam program akademik USIM* (The method of implementation and evaluation of the INAQ in USIM academic programme) (USIM 2020), *INAQ di USIM* (INAQ in USIM) (USIM 2021), and others. Given USIM’s emphasis on INAQ, for example, concerning teaching and learning, a section under the Centre of Excellence for Teaching and Learning (CETL) called *bahagian dasar integrasi ilmu naqlī dan ‘aqlī* (INAQ policy section) manages all related matters. The 2018 policy document places strong emphasis on the process of executing the foundational USIM philosophy, underlining the definition of the INAQ Knowledge, which encompasses six different higher education qualifications, i.e., doctoral degree, master’s degree, postgraduate diploma, bachelor’s degree, diploma, and *tamhidi* (foundation). This policy document is rich in underlying principles, visionary ideas, and practical suggestions for integrating knowledge. Regarding the implementation of its ecosystem into an academic programme, the policy committee outlines four *mustawā* (levels) of approaches: *al-ta’ṣīl* (reformulation), *al-muqāranah* (comparison), *al-takyīf* (adaptation), and *al-takāmul* (integration). Furthermore, the implementation ecosystem of INAQ aims: (1) to create a new corpus of knowledge based on academic discipline; (2) to produce experts who will be able to master both *naqlī* and ‘*aqlī* knowledge conjointly in unifying conceptual and translational aspects of knowledge; (3) to publish high-impact academic writing as a textual and referential source of academic programmes; (4) to produce integrative graduates and future Muslim laureates in line with *graduan integrasi ilmu naqlī dan ‘aqlī* (GIINA – naqlī and ‘aqlī knowledge integration graduate); (5) to establish USIM as a reference centre of INAQ.

This 2018 policy document only discusses the specific core philosophy of integrating knowledge. Hence, all academic programmes offered by faculties must also adhere to existing codes of practice and other policies established by the university level, the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia, the Malaysian Qualifications Agency (MQA), and professional bodies involved in the recognition of programmes. Primarily due to its national authority, it is self-evident that the academic programmes at USIM must adhere to certain standards set by the MQA. The entity is responsible for serving as the reference point for national qualifications criteria and standards, along with a vision to establish an internationally recognised system of quality assurance. Likewise, the repercussions of MQA’s position towards Malaysia’s higher education essentially aim to advocate outcome-based education (OBE) as the basis for all national higher education providers. Concurrently, in the context of Islamic studies or theologically driven educational institutions, OBE has attracted growing criticism, especially on the close connection between OBE

and Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, which is perceived as a 'foreign' educational framework and worldview. For instance, an experience shared by the Department of Qur'an and Sunnah Studies, Kulliyyah of Islamic Revealed Knowledge and Human Sciences, International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM) in formulating its programme learning outcome revealed that the department vocalised an extreme challenge to integrate the principles of *maqāṣid al-Qur'an wa al-Sunnah* (objectives of the Quran and *sunah*) and the operational mechanics of OBE since OBE "does not appear to be in total harmony with the ethos of the field" (Khairil Husaini 2020). As an important point for the distinct philosophical foundation, Khairil Husaini (2020) asserted that "Bloom's Taxonomy was not constructed with consideration and instrumentalisation of religious ideals such as spiritual goals, scriptural memorisation, interfaith commitment, and so on."

Nonetheless, all Islamic studies departments, faculties, colleges, and universities in Malaysian higher education have considered these matters in their endeavour to design the curriculum framework and formulate its programme objectives. By using this standard curriculum design and the terminologies of the Malaysian Qualifications Framework (MQF), the method of implementation and evaluation of the INAQ in USIM academic programme (USIM 2020) document proposes to all faculties in USIM how to apply and evaluate INAQ in the curriculum design of an academic programme, as well as to develop new activities in teaching and learning together by reviewing the current curriculum. The document essentially offers a step further in the INAQ direction, corresponding with the MQA's framework. The method that was developed is expected to play a guiding role, especially for USIM academics to apply and evaluate INAQ in the curriculum structure of an academic program in line with the vision, mission, and philosophy of USIM.

PROSPECT DIRECTION OF THE INTEGRATION OF KNOWLEDGE

The above segment has demonstrated the extent to which USIM's philosophy on integrating knowledge in the academic programme can be perceived. Apart from the university authority, i.e., the *rahman*, the FPQS represents another juncture at which academic discipline – interestingly, within the circles of the contemporary academic programme – established the philosophy. Indeed, the integration of knowledge is a recurring theme in this article. Although we indicated in the earlier section that we are concerned not so much with an epistemological and philosophical discussion of knowledge, but more with the contemporary academic endeavours designed to be applied to a structure of order that depicts the Islamic educational system, it is nevertheless essential to know what the Islamic epistemological context involves and implies. Also, since Muslims believe that all knowledge comes from Allah and the vision of

FPQS is to ‘uphold the Quran and *sunah* as the spirit of excellence in knowledge and humanity,’ the engagement with the centre of fundamental knowledge is certainly a clear depiction encompassing epistemological and philosophical discourse.

The study of the Quran and *sunah*, or Islamic sciences in general, varies widely in Muslim societies and does not necessarily correlate with general literacy and educational achievement. Suppose in the previous section, the aim was to examine the link between USIM’s philosophy on the integration of knowledge of Islamic intellectual tradition, in this section and beyond. In that case, the goal is instead to focus on the academic discipline of the subject at hand within the context of FPQS. It is to present the traditional teachings of FPQS as it encounters various entities within a higher education setting in disciplines such as educational theory, specifically OBE, as well as the integrative academic programmes offered by the FPQS. Being a comprehensive framework, the MQA (2013) does not provide detailed guidance on how to integrate distinct academic disciplines. As far as the Programme Standards: Islamic Studies is concerned, the MQA has set three approaches to the programmes as follows: (1) standalone approach; (2) combination approach, in which Islamic studies features as major; and (3) combination approach in which Islamic studies features as minor. If any of these approaches to the programme standards are selected, it is to offer the necessary closely related discipline to be integrated. For instance, in the case of two FPQS bachelor’s degrees, the Bachelor of Quranic Studies with Multimedia with Honours and the Bachelor of Sunnah Studies with Information Management with Honours, both offer Quranic studies and Sunnah studies, which are featured as major. Both bachelor’s degrees are among two of four undergraduate academic programmes at FPQS’s disposal, while the remaining two are the Bachelor of Quranic and Sunnah Studies with Honours and the Bachelor of *Qira’at* Studies with Honours, a standalone Islamic studies course. The FPQS introduces the general information and aspirations for the Bachelor of Quranic Studies with Multimedia with Honours (QM) on its webpage as follows:

The Qur’ān is the main source of knowledge in Islam. It covers various aspects of knowledge such as theology, law, history, science, and others. However, it is believed that the main area of Quranic studies is only related to the Qur’ān itself and issues related to the laws and Islamic understanding. Thus, most graduates in this field are not able to interact with the current development of science and technology. This has led to the stagnation of new ideas, which are essential in understanding and practicing Islam based on current technologies. Hence, this programme has been specifically designed to focus on Quranic studies such as *Qira’at* and *Tarannum* that are rarely offered at a professional level. The application of science and technology is also given emphasis to produce a dynamic programme which can benefit the society. For this purpose, selected Multimedia courses are combined with Quranic studies courses such as *Qira’at*

and *Tarannum*. With the combination of both fields, a paradigm shift in the learning of Qur'ān is expected to take place towards upholding the Qur'ān in terms of its appreciation and practice at both academic and professional levels. (FPQS n.d.-a)

The Bachelor of Sunnah Studies with Information Management with Honours (SPM) on the other hand, is introduced as follows:

Sunnah studies are closely related to the study of information management and have never been exploited by other higher education institutions in Malaysia, even though the demand for such a combination is high. This is because Sunnah studies relate to the main sources of Islamic studies such as the study of Hadīth, Sirah, and history, which are rich in historical information and related data (bibliography, biography, geography, and judiciary). These sources are needed in understanding and learning about Islam and the Islamic civilisation. On the other hand, the study of information management focuses on data and information management in general. Thus, a special programme that combines these two aspects is seen as essential to produce graduates who are well-versed in Islamic information and library management that can be benefited by various knowledge-based agencies and institutions. (FPQS n.d.-b)

The QM and SPM clearly demonstrate the FPQS master plan to provide an integrative paradigm of academic disciplines for the integration of knowledge. Both programmes are offered or implemented in collaboration with the Faculty of Science and Technology (FST), USIM. Apart from the Quran and *sunah* studies courses, the QM and SPM programmes feature a highly interdisciplinary approach to the study of multimedia and information management, intending to develop strong integrationists in both fields. The fact that these two disciplines are housed under one roof, unlike the curriculum structure of other local universities, reflects USIM's philosophy. Indeed, designing curriculum integration presents a challenge since there is little current practice of what interdisciplinary or curriculum integration is. Therefore, to create an integrated academic programme that will enhance students' understanding of information management or multimedia, a core group of experts from FST teaches courses such as Introduction to Graphic Design, Database Management System, Knowledge Management, and many others. The teaching and learning of Compulsory University Courses (WU), such as Introduction to Islamic Science, Ethics and Civilisation, Creative Thinking and Problem Solving, and others by the Centre for Core Studies also give an excellent bird's-eye view of the method of integration in these academic programmes. Inevitably, it is a synthetic window for post-secondary education students of the religious stream in Malaysia, who can extend and explore their learning opportunities of applied studies of the Quran and *sunah*.

Likewise, concerning the integration of knowledge between the Quran and *sunah* and these modern sciences, particularly in the academic setting, it is not required from the perspective of Islam that all aspects of contemporary science and technology – its concepts, theories, methodological principles, schemes of data interpretation, and many others – be presumed for integration (USIM 2018; Bakar 2019). The task at hand is to evaluate the rejected from the acceptable, or the false from the truth. One will immediately recognise the vision of scholars of *hadīth* to establish a scientific discipline of *hadīth* evaluation for identifying true or authentic *hadīth* (Muhammad Fawwaz 2020). Hence, a similar evaluation through Islamic science demands to be achieved. In fact, this evaluation process notion that can be learned from the science of *hadīth* transmission course for bachelor's degree students (both QM and SPM) is the first stage that requires to be carried out in integration of knowledge. Later, the students will delve into the contemporary science of multimedia and information management to develop the skills necessary to integrate information with Islamic science in the current digital world. Students and lecturers, or those involved in integration, need to equip themselves with a broad knowledge base in the area of study in which the integration is to commence. The task of designing academic programmes through courses or curriculum that experts of FPQS and FST have set out becomes intelligible when the integration to be completed involves two closely related academic disciplines. Essentially, the integration of knowledge mission must be pursued as a mutual and united organisation.

NAQLĪ AND 'AQLĪ KNOWLEDGE IN AN INTEGRATIVE PARADIGM

As the FPQS approaches the silver jubilee of its continuance in Malaysia, it is presented with various intellectual difficulties, the most important of which is educational philosophy. Furthermore, the issue of contemporary educational theory and practise can never be handled by ignoring it or believing that without an intellectual effort on the side of a tertiary educational institution, modern educational philosophies such as OBE or Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives may coexist peacefully and harmoniously with Islamic studies disciplines, as they did compatibly with modern sciences nurtured within academic programmes (Khairil Husaini 2020). Having shown the countenance of FPQS's academic programmes, we may now look forward to the cross-cutting relations between the disciplines of knowledge and the integrated curriculum. How does *naqlī* knowledge look in the context of the integrated curriculum of OBE? What happens to the disciplines of *naqlī* knowledge? How are they used? As mentioned earlier, in practice, the integration of knowledge in an academic programme begins with the identification of highly interdisciplinary learning experiences. The discipline is drawn from the classification of knowledge such as *farḍ 'ayn* (individual/legal obligation) – *farḍ kifāyah* (communion obligation),

al- 'ulum al-naqliyyah (transmitted sciences) – al- 'ulum al- 'aqliyyah (intellectual sciences), al- 'ilm al-huṣūlī (acquired knowledge) – al- 'ilm al-huḍūrī (presential knowledge), Islamic science – modern science and several others.

Nowadays, in nearly all cases the classifications are developed by academicians; in the most sophisticated instances, they emerge from collaborative and integrated design with several disciplines' experts. Master planning or designing then proceed to outline the course or teaching and learning activities to address the weekly topics, subjects, themes, or related issues. There is no halfway phase in which attempts are made to identify which subject areas might contribute to the course. This is a very fundamental distinction, since the integration of *naqlī* and *'aqlī* knowledge in an academic programme, in theory and practice, exceeds focus-area and disciplinary identifications; the goal is unifying understanding that uses the central theophany, i.e., the Quran and *hadīth* as a 'point of departure' or basis of knowledge. Primarily, throughout the history of Islamic civilisation, the Quran and *hadīth* have created an atmosphere for the cultivation of the sciences by emphasising the virtue of pursuing all knowledge that is in one way or another confirmation of *tawḥīd* (divine unity) (Muhammad Fawwaz 2023). Furthermore, all the principles, and not the branches, theories, or details of all science, are considered by Muslims to be contained in the sacred sources, and there is a conceptuality and universality of interpretation of the Quran and *hadīth*. Thus, it is possible to interpret all the principles of all the sciences freshly.

In addressing its inspiration for the spirit of excellence in knowledge and humanity, the FPQS is principally interested in research that engages with the natural, technological, social, and human sciences substantively and critically, including robust interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary collaborations in which Islamic philosophical or theological knowledge influences and is informed by scientific results and techniques. It is critical to establish the framework for an Islamic paradigm of contemporary research with indirect input from Islamic scholars, Islamic scientists, and philosophers, as well as source materials, in order to successfully construct a religiously suitable framework that grounds major features of the Islamic tradition. The FPQS works across traditional disciplines, animated by constantly evolving research interests. Since this collaborative effort also required the preservation of the unity of the research, the FPQS work individually and together (as well as with faculty from other departments across the USIM, public and private universities in Malaysia, and the Muslim world) on topics, theoretical approaches, and methodological problems. There exists, therefore, a unity of intellectual vision, spiritual perspective, and scholarly attitude among collaborators that has made it possible to produce a unified effort. This effort included a research centre established under the FPQS, namely the Ibnu Ummi Maktum Research Centre (UMMI), focusing on Quran and *sunah* for special needs, and a special interest

group, i.e., Quran and natural science, a contemporary approach to *hadīth* studies, Islamic psychospiritual studies, Malay, and Islamic manuscripts, *tahfiz* education, and many others. The effort aims to address both long-term and short-term issues in the Muslim world, particularly in Malaysia.

Turning research into scholastic bonds, FPQS Quranic experts draw attention to the role of context (Toure et al. 2019) and the suitability of *khawāṣṣ al-Qur'ān* (particularities of the Quran) (Adnan, Muhammad Arif and Ahmad Sanusi 2022) in expounding the meanings and interpretations of the Quran across generations of Muslims. To take this notion a bit further, the context can include historical, cultural, linguistic, and religious factors, as well as the context in which specific verses were revealed. It is understood that Quranic and *sunah* studies' grounding in Islamic studies' heritage can be seen as a discipline with its methodologies, core subjects, and key texts. In other words, to ensure the successful implementation of these studies, the courses in FPQS focus primarily on recitation (Muhammad Lukman et al. 2021), memorisation, and *tadabbur* (contemplation), particularly the study of key Quranic exegeses, including the canonical *hadīth* compendia and other classical works of literature, as well as the acquisition of the Arabic language. There are, nonetheless, courses contributing to Quranic and *sunah* studies located outside the in-depth textual model. For instance, these courses may approach the subject of the Quran and *sunah* from a sociological and educational perspective, like Quran and *tahfiz* teaching methodologies, the study of the Quran and *sunah* in Malaysian education.

One relevant observation worth mentioning here is that the basic thrust of FPQS academic programmes, the study of the Quran and *sunah*, covers a variety of scientific methods, applications, and areas of study that, despite their differences, are linked by the principles that underpin their forms of thought, teaching, learning, research, and behaviour. They appeal to these shared principles in their endeavour to attain their goals, and they are reflected in their different phrases and formulations. Although the incorporation of *naqlī* and *'aqlī* knowledge into these approaches, such as 'interdisciplinary' or 'multidisciplinary,' does not follow a meticulous subject-centred format, integrationists do preserve subject-area, topic content, references, and disciplinary characteristics around an approximately unifying theme. For example, in designing a course outline (CO), one would need to draw heavily from the Quran and *sunah* or Islamic worldview for weekly topical or thematic coverage to be regarded as an integrated course (USIM 2020). This outline is typically demonstrated by the fact that the integration of *naqlī* and *'aqlī* knowledge revolves around topics and teaching and learning activities rather than subjects. In a course, lecturers and students might create simulations of different themes and be able to integrate information and knowledge from several sources, including the Quran, the *hadīth*, human knowledge, and the sciences. As an important note for the educational objectives of MQA's

OBE, specifically the latest MQF 2.0, a student who can integrate sources of information may be classified under Personal and Entrepreneurial Skills–Lifelong Learning Skill. In this case, even though the lifelong learning skill of the affective domain might correspond to the concept of *adab* in Islamic education, there remain significant differences between the two worldviews (Khairil Husaini 2020).

Nevertheless, the formulation and integration of the essential Islamic elements and key concepts to produce an integrated course for deployment in the academic programme are designed to conform to the Quran and *sunah* studies of higher education. Furthermore, by merging the educational objectives of MQA's OBE into learning the *adab* of Quranic pedagogists and approaching *hadīth* as a reference for theology, ethics, and law, they provide a revealed reference or an illustrative application of the revelation. In this integrative paradigm, new courses have been introduced, such as Quran and *hadīth* studies in the era of ICT (Kawaid et al. 2018), Application of braille in Quranic and *sunah* studies (Muhammad Mustaqim, Usman Al-Hamidy and Noornajihan 2013), *al-Tafsīr al-ʿIlmī* (scientific exegesis), science and medicine in the Quran and *sunah* (Muhammad Fawwaz and Nur Izah 2020), and several others. Emphasis was placed on integrating knowledge, specifically the implementation of sacred scripture reading throughout the course. For instance, the chief aim of Quran and *hadīth* studies in the era of ICT and 'Science and medicine in the Quran and *sunah* courses are to combine the traditional tools of Quranic and *sunah* studies with computational tools to discover, depict, and develop new insights into the scientific, cultural, and educational exegesis and commentaries of the Quran and *sunah*. Thus, to contextualise the basic perspective of practice, performance, experience, and appreciation for the Quran and *sunah*, the courses also attempt to shed light on how the various methods and approaches emerging under the umbrella of digital Islamic studies hold great promise for other Muslim graduate students, faculty, and independent researchers in an academic atmosphere.

In addition to the curriculum content, one must also understand the fundamental aspects that underlie teaching and learning activities, methods, or pedagogy. Pedagogy, in its complete understanding, is rooted in big questions in education, such as why teach (purpose), whom to teach, what to teach them, when, and where. Yet, the content of every subject requires a pedagogical approach to make it comprehensible to students (Shulman 1986). Regardless of the various possibilities within contemporary frameworks, such as andragogy, heutagogy, critical pedagogy, and dialogic pedagogy, the teaching and learning of Quran and *sunah* studies have traditionally presupposed a venerable 'master-disciple' rapport involving essential prerequisites, including needs, special qualities of vision, and many others. In sustaining this tradition, FPQS introduces one of the most fundamental courses, i.e., *hifẓ al-Quran* course (memorisation

of the Quran). More importantly, the role of *hifẓ* in the Islamic didactic ethos presupposes the concept of knowledge as ‘fixed, memorisable truth.’ By internalising this account, one can assume that the memorisation of the Quran was aimed at attaining both significant visual and oral piety. The two modes of learning, namely ‘learning by listening’ and ‘learning by reciting,’ symbolise the relationship stemming from *ādāb al-ṣuḥbah* (etiquettes of companionship), which provides an oral model of teaching and learning between students and teachers. From another perspective, didactical affirmation in these subjects was perceived as requiring that a potential integrationist have direct experience with the existential integrationist. Perhaps this teacher-centred pedagogy implicitly integrates and balances the paradigm shift of contemporary education towards student-centred learning.

In a two-for-one approach, the majority of individuals engaged in academic work are both educators and members of larger communities. Depending on the audience, each role has a specific objective in conveying the Quranic and *sunah* comportment, which includes not only thought, speech, and actions but also bodily postures and physical faculties. While the precise difference that might make is irrelevant at the moment, it should serve as a reminder that academic scholarship of the Quran and *sunah* is not what one reads in the newspaper or popular magazines, nor is it that one might hear in a public forum such as an open talk at a mosque, and so forth. Such distinction is, of course, one of the key issues confronting Islamic studies in higher education, as it attempts to strike a balance between exclusivity and inclusivity in an environment where publicly supported institutions must meet increased responsibility and access requirements. Hence, the development of Quranic and *sunah* studies continues to be influenced by the social context within which it finds itself. Disciplinary approaches to Quranic and *sunah* studies are evolving and expanding within the backdrop of established faculties at USIM. While the teaching of Islamic studies remains within the legacy of Islamic intellectual heritage, the study of the Quran and *sunah* also takes place on interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary levels.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the overall aim of this article is to fill a significant lacuna within Muslim higher education discourse on the integration of knowledge, academic disciplines, and curriculum design. The foregoing reflects a few broad “brush strokes” or examples of the concept of integration of knowledge in university rather than paint a complete picture. In general, FPQS’s participation in the subject focuses on advancing academic programmes in sync with the MQA’s adoption of the OBE theory, aiming to adapt and further extend towards the worldview of Muslims. By bringing together scholars from different faculties, i.e., Quran and *hadīth* experts, Islamic philosophers, social and intellectual

historians, physicians, technologists, computer scientists, and many others, the collective enterprise seeks to offer a synthetic window for a new generation of the Muslim world. While this is intellectually challenging for a theologically driven educational institution like FPQS, ultimately it reiterates that integration of knowledge in a higher education ambiance between Islamic science and modern science can be achieved. It is feasible to design an account of the integrated discipline of the field of academic programmes, particularly if the epistemology of scholastic traditions of Islam, university and national policies, faculties' aspirations, and practice are substantially contemplated. The consideration of advancing the integration of *naqlī* and *'aqlī* knowledge as a learning outcome domain in parallel to the five clusters of MQF 2.0 (knowledge and understanding, cognitive skills, functional work skills, personal and entrepreneurial skills, ethics, and professionalism) should also be within the faculties' sight. A great starting point is not only developing educational policy but also realigning the learning outcome domain of the faculty. From here, the missing paradigm of today can be seen. Despite Islamic education having a rich and long tradition of classification and hierarchy of knowledge, including concepts such as *'ilm*, *'amal*, and *ādāb*, among others, for constructing a curriculum. Indeed, the triumphant exemplar in the integration of knowledge in Islamic civilisation may serve as a source of inspiration for contemporary integrationists. For Muslims, all matters of life are about integration, in which every single unique creation is harmonised with each other and integrated within an entirety which manifests the majesty of the One and leads to the actualisation of unity or *tawḥīd*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) of Malaysia under the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS/1/2021/SSI0/USIM/02/5).

REFERENCES

- Abu Sulayman, A.H. and I. Al-Faruqi. 1989. *Islamization of knowledge: General principles and work plan*. 2nd ed. Herndon, VA: International Institute of Islamic Thought.
- Adnan Mohamed Yusoff, Muhammad Arif Musa and Ahmad Sanusi Azmi. 2022. Khawas Al-Qur'ān as a complementary element in Quranic translation: A study on the wisdom of 'Tafsir al-Rawi'. *Journal of Ma'ālim Al-Qur'ān Wa Al-Sunnah* 18(1): 133-153. <https://doi.org/10.33102/jmq.v18i1.354>
- Al-Alwani, T.J. 1995. The Islamization of knowledge: Yesterday and today. *American Journal of Islamic Social Sciences* 12(1): 81-101. <https://doi.org/10.35632/ajis.v12i1.2390>

- Al-Attas, S.M.N. 1979. *Aims and objectives of Islamic education*. Jeddah: King Abdul Aziz University.
- _____. 1993. *Islam and secularism*. Kuala Lumpur: International Institute of Islamic Thought and Civilization.
- Al-Faruqi, I.R. 1982. *Islamization of knowledge: The problem, principle, and workplan*. Herndon, VA: International Institute of Islamic Thought.
- Arif, S. 2002. Sufi epistemology: Ibn 'Arabi on knowledge ('ilm). *Afkar-Jurnal Akidah & Pemikiran Islam* 3(1): 81–94.
- Bakar, O. 1998. *Classification of knowledge in Islam: A study in Islamic philosophies of science*. UK: Islamic Texts Society.
- _____. 2019. Towards a postmodern synthesis of Islamic science and modern science: The epistemological groundwork. In: *The Muslim 500: The world's 500 most influential Muslims*, 2020, 197–201. Jordan: The Royal Islamic Strategic Studies Centre.
- _____. 2020. The link between coronavirus and Darwin according to Pervez Hoodbhoy: A critical response. *Intellectual Discourse* 28(2): 365–386.
- Bigliardi, S. 2014. The contemporary debate on the harmony between Islam and science: Emergence and challenges of a new generation. *Social Epistemology* 28(2): 167–186. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02691728.2013.782583>
- FPQS (Fakulti Pengajian Quran dan Sunnah). n.d.-a. Bachelor of Quranic Studies with Multimedia with Honours: Program details. <https://fpqs.usim.edu.my/program-details/?pid=17>
- _____. n.d.-b. Bachelor of Sunnah Studies with Information Management with Honours: Program details. <https://fpqs.usim.edu.my/program-details/?pid=18>
- Hassan, M.K. 2017. *Natural Science from the worldview of the Qur'an: An introduction*. Kuala Lumpur: Institut Terjemahan dan Buku Malaysia.
- Kawaid, A.I.S.D., Syed Najihuddin Syed Hassan, Mohd Zohdi Mohd Amin, Shumsudin Yabi, and Mohd Yusuf Ismail. 2018. Integration of ICT in the study of hadīth in Malaysian public universities. *Journal of Ma'ālim al-Qur'ān Wa al-Sunnah* 14(2): 131–138. <https://doi.org/10.33102/jmq.v14i2.133>
- Khairil Husaini Jamil. 2020. Reasserting the maqasid of Islamic revealed knowledge curricula: The experience of the Department of Quran and Sunnah Studies in IIUM. *al-Burhan: Journal of Qur'an and Sunnah Studies* 4(2): 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.31436/alburhn.v4i2.196>
- Lowry, J. 2007. *Early Islamic legal theory: The risāla of Muḥammad ibn Idrīs al-Shāfi'ī*. Leiden: Brill.
- Lumbard, J. 2019. Abū Ḥāmid al-Ghazālī and the art of knowing. In *Light upon light: Essays in Islamic thought and history in Honor of Gerhard Bowering*, eds. J.J. Elias and B. Orfali, 401–419. Leiden: Brill.
- Malkawi, F.H. 2014. *Epistemological integration: Essentials of an Islamic methodology*. Herndon, VA: International Institute of Islamic Thought.
- MQA (Malaysian Qualifications Agency). 2013. *Programme standards: Islamic studies*. Selangor: Malaysian Qualifications Agency.

- Muhammad Lukman Ibrahim, Anuar Hasin, Zainora Daud and Mohd Azhar Abdullah. 2021. Methodological variations in TARANNUM SCIENCE: A critical discussion. *Journal of Ma 'ālim al-Qur 'ān wa al-Sunnah* 17(2): 84–96. <https://doi.org/10.33102/jmq.v17i2.330>
- Muhammad Fawwaz Muhammad Yusoff. 2020. The authentication of hadīth: Ibn Ḥibbān's introduction to his *Ṣaḥīḥ*. *Al-Masāq* 32(3): 294–310. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09503110.2020.1712546>
- _____. 2023. Tracing the tracts of *Qaṣaṣ*: Towards a theory of narrative pedagogy in Islamic education. *Religions* 14(10): 1299. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel14101299>
- Muhammad Fawwaz Muhammad Yusoff and Nur Izah Ab Razak. 2020. Medieval theoretical principles of medicine in Ibn Sīnā's *al-Qānūn fī al-Ṭibb* and al-Dhahabī's *al-Ṭibb al-Nabawī*. *Afkar-Jurnal Akidah and Pemikiran Islam* 22(2): 119–154. <https://doi.org/10.22452/afkar.vol22no2.4>
- Muhammad Mustaqim Mohd Zarif, A.Q. Usman Al-Hamidy and Noornajihan Jaafar. 2013. *Pembangunan braille al-Qur 'ān Rasm Uthmani*. Negeri Sembilan: USIM.
- Muslim World Science Initiative. 2016. Islam and Science: Muslim responses to science's big questions. Report of İhsanoğlu Task Force on Islam and Science, London and Islamabad.
- Nasr, S.H. 1976. *Islamic science: An illustrated study*. Illinois: Kazi Publications.
- _____. 1993. *An introduction to Islamic cosmological doctrines*. New York: State University of New York Press.
- Pormann, P.E. 2013. Avicenna on medical practice, epistemology, and the physiology of the inner senses. In *Interpreting Avicenna: Critical essays*, ed. P. Adamson, 91–108. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rahman, F. 1988. Islamization of knowledge: A response. *American Journal of Islamic Social Sciences* 5(1): 3–11. <https://doi.org/10.35632/ajis.v5i1.2876>
- Sardar, Z. 1989. Islamization of Knowledge: A state of the art report. In *An early crescent: The future of knowledge and the environment in Islam*. London: Mansell Publishing Limited.
- Sardar, Z. and J. Henzell-Thomas. 2017. *Rethinking reform in higher education: From Islamization to integration of knowledge*. Herndon, VA: International Institute of Islamic Thought.
- Shulman, L.S. 1986. Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher* 15(2): 4–14.
- Stenberg, L. E. 1996. *The Islamization of Science: Four Muslim Positions Developing an Islamic Identity*. Almqvist & Wiksell International.
- Toure, A.K., N. Jaafar, A.M. Yusoff, M. Houqe, S.M.C.S.A. Al Yahya and B. Alassane. 2019. *بين أركان القرآن مدف يفتتال الدوقايي*: Context and its implications in understanding the Qur'anic text. *Ma 'ālim Al-Qur 'ān Wa Al-Sunnah* 15(1): 108–125. <https://doi.org/10.33102/jmq.v15i1.140>
- UNESCO Institute for Statistics. 2012. *International standard classification of education: ISCED 2011*. Canada: UNESCO Institute for Statistics.

- USIM (Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia). 2018. *Polisi pengajaran dan pembelajaran berteraskan integrasi ilmu naqlī dan 'aqlī* Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia. Negeri Sembilan: USIM.
- _____. 2020. *Kaedah penerapan dan pengiraan integrasi ilmu naqlī dan 'aqlī dalam program akademik Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia*. Negeri Sembilan: Pusat Jaminan Kualiti, Dasar dan Kecemerlangan Akademik (QAPEX), USIM.
- _____. 2021. *Integrasi ilmu naqlī dan ilmu 'aqlī di USIM*. Negeri Sembilan: USIM.