



**Manuscript Title:** Political Breakthrough In A Rural Community During The 2011 Sarawak State Election: An Ethnographic Perspective

**Authors:** Poline Bala

**Accepted Date:** 10-December-2023

**Please cite this article as:** Poline Bala. Political breakthrough in a rural community during the 2011 Sarawak State Election: An Ethnographic Perspective. *Kajian Malaysia* (Early view).

This is a provisional PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article.

## **POLITICAL BREAKTHROUGH IN A RURAL COMMUNITY DURING THE 2011 SARAWAK STATE ELECTION: AN ETHNOGRAPHIC PERSPECTIVE**

**Poline Bala**

Institute of Borneo Studies, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Sarawak, Malaysia

Email: bpoline@unimas.my

### **ABSTRACT**

*This paper draws on empirical data and ethnographic evidence as a springboard to explore issues affecting narratives and observations made during the 2011 Sarawak State election. It throws light on ways in which communities and cultures at the periphery of the Malaysian nation state grapple with the potentials and consequences of elections in the process of economic, political and social transformation. Eschewing aspects of candidates' selections, electoral campaign process and actual voting behaviours of individual voters, the main analysis is of the formulation of local understandings and meanings of the state elections in two rural settlements of Ba Kelalan and Bario located close to the border between Sarawak and Kalimantan. This paper suggests that an account of their experiences is a necessity in order to understand what functions and whose interest elections serve, and most importantly what election actually does and means within particular circumstances of local history. As a political phenomenon, elections can look different from the perspective of those close to the center of power in contrast to those with little power within a political hierarchy.*

**Keywords:** Sarawak state election, ethnographic perspective, local understanding and election practices, rural voting behaviour, indigenous communities and national elections

### **INTRODUCTION**

After the polls had closed on Sarawak's 10<sup>th</sup> state election on April 16, 2011, many were surprised by the news that Baru Bian had been elected as the State Assembly (DUN) member for the Ba Kelalan constituency, located in the Highlands of northeastern Sarawak. Ba Kelalan has been a stronghold of Barisan Nasional (BN). During the election cycles of 1996 and 2001, BN won without

© Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia, year. This work is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

contestation (Faisal 2011, pp.176). Although there was a contestation in 2004 by election, BN still won; likewise, the state election in 2006 (see Table 1).

Table 1: Ba Kelalan (N70) Election Outcomes 1996 - 2011

<b>Year</b>	<b>Candidates</b>		<b>Winner</b>
1996 (N62)	Judson Sakai (BN)	No contest	BN
2001	Judson Sakai (BN)	No contest	BN
2004 (by-election)	Nelson Balang Rining (BN)	Baru Bian (Independent)	BN
2006	Nelson Balang Rining (BN)	Baru Bian (SNAP)	BN
2011	Willie Liaw (BN)	Baru Bian (PKR)	PKR

In 2011, there was an increase in turnout voters from 3680 in 2006 to 4585. If in 2006, BN won 56.50% of the votes, and the opposition party, garnered 43.50%, in 2011, BN-SPDP gained only 44.79% while Parti Keadilan Rakyat (PKR) 55.21% of the votes. Table 2 below highlights the outcome. The table indicates a significant deviation from the norm in the Ba Kelalan constituency.

Table 2: N70 Election Results 2006 and 2011

	2006		2011	
	BN	SNAP	BN	PKR
Registered Electors	6,284		6958	
Total Valid Votes	3653		4537	
Turnout %	58.56 (3,680)		65.90 (4,585)	
Total	2064	1589	2032	2505
Percentage (%)	56.50	43.50	44.79	55.21

One thing that made Baru Bian's victory stand out from other PKR colleagues: his riding was far remote and rural. Prior to this PKR held only one seat in the State Assembly and hadn't been able to win a single seat in rural Sarawak. Its caucus was mainly urban with its members drawn from Sarawak's big towns and cities. As will be described, the 2011 state election defined how people voted for in subsequent elections. Baru Bian went on to win the state elections in 2016 and 2021 despite being an opposition candidate.

The 2011 election results raised several questions, yet few explanations have been provided for how people voted in the Ba Kelalan constituency in 2011. There was the question of whether the win represented a crack in the BN shield in rural Sarawak; on another level was also the question whether there was an awakening of new politics amongst two rural communities, namely the Kelabit and Lun Bawang. This is especially with regards to the diminishing effects of politics of development in defining election result in rural Sarawak.

These questions need to be addressed for two reasons. Foremost, to examine the significance of elections for minority groups whose political opportunities and constraints are not only structured by macro level factors such as the electoral system and the organization of political parties but also by their electoral numbers and their remote locations from corridors of power in Putrajaya and Kuching. Second, how do these situations shape the formulation of local understandings and experiences with elections? In what ways do small communities participate in elections and make it work for their interests, and likewise what interests in the communities can it serve? These questions are important to explore in the context of growing concerns that parties and legislatures be inclusive and representative of the broader society.

With that, the paper is organized as follows. The first section sketches a brief literature overview on meanings of election in different contexts. The second section highlights some sociological features common to the Kelabit and Lun Bawang and how these have led to peripherality situations for them. The third part describes the creation of the N70 seat in 1996, and the outcome of subsequent elections until the 2011 Sarawak state election. The next section describes how small communities negotiate partisanship and group appeals that define national and state elections within particular historical, cultural and political contexts. Oftentimes, local based and micro-level factors such as performance of civic-oriented leaders, their personal connections to voters, deep community ties and community powers and norms tend to be more important than the macro level factors. The paper argues that explanations of voting patterns need to consider local level dynamics and social issues for they shape voters' perceptions and electoral behaviors.

## **FRAMING MEANINGS OF ELECTIONS**

Elections, common practices through which leaders are elected to public offices have been a focus of study by many scholars. Janda, Berry and Goldman (1992, pp.259) characterize elections as an institutionalized access to political power and a bolster to state's power and authority. Anifowose (2003, pp.24) describes elections as a means to recruit politicians and public decision-makers, to create governments, to provide representation, to influence policy decisions, to build legitimacy, to strengthen the elites, to provide succession in leadership and to extend participation to many people. For some others, election is simply an institutional mechanism for citizens to choose among candidates or issues (Ojo 2007, pp.7). Recent works by Morgenbesser& Pepinsky (2019) and Chambers& Ufen (2020) highlight the links between elections, democracy and the values of elections to the ruling elites for political legitimacy.

Within this corpus of literature, very little attention is paid to the ways in which indigenous communities and small cultures within nations grapple with the roles and implications of elections in their own cultural contexts and local processes. This might be for a number of reasons. Their number is small and of no consequence in the wider scheme of things. Furthermore, they are physically far removed from the centers of power and development in urban areas. In addition, the sociological characteristics of their constituencies, and their limited power because of the absence of pressure groups or lobbies. On the part of indigenous communities, naturally, their remote locations and fewer numbers can lead to cynicism when it comes to politics: why should they bother to participate in elections when there is little confidence about outcomes of elections? Yet minority groups can be hidden Leviathan of politics, especially in places like Sarawak. The rural areas account for 46% of Sarawak's population. Many still survive on rural economy for daily subsistence. Their rural social and political organizations are capable of representing the diverse interests of rural voices and therefore carry possibilities for grassroots political change.

However, there is relatively little systematic explanations on how Sarawakians in the smaller villages and longhouses cast their votes in national elections. The current explanations of voting behavior, which focus on urban-based areas that often hinge on partisanship, ideology, and group appeals are ill suited for most elections in rural settings. Even though there have been efforts, for instance by Antlov (2021), Taylor (1996) and Nelson (1998), to highlight the crucial differences between local, state and national level elections in Southeast Asia, still distinct styles of electoral politics amongst indigenous communities are generally overlooked and not given credence.

In response, this paper explores some distinct dynamics of politics and society in rural settings in Bario and Ba Kelalan. After Hoskin (1987, pp. 606), it explores variation in meanings, experiences, historicities, debates, and specificities of national and state elections but amongst minority groups located far from the corridors of political and economic power in Kuching and Putrajaya.

In his excellent introduction on the roles of elections in Southeast Asia, R. H. Taylor (1996, pp. 8) noted, “as a political phenomenon, elections can look different from the perspective of those in power at the top and those with little or no power at the bottom of the political hierarchy.” Echoing his sentiments, this paper sheds light on experiences and specificities of indigenous and minority groups—the Kelabit and Lun Bawang—to explore what purpose can national elections serve in their given situations. This is to examine how elections and social-cultural forces such as ethnic background, local history, kinship relations, religious beliefs and faith, existence of competing visions within local communities, characteristics of individual candidates and the nature of their ties to a given ethnic community are negotiated. As noted by Puyok (2006, pp. 214) giving attention to the Ba Kelalan seat provides a window into indigenous politics in Sarawak, and in this case their formulation of local understandings and experiences with general elections.

## **BACKGROUND CONTEXT: THE LUN KELABIT AND LUN BAWANG**

The Kelabit and the Lun Bawang traditionally inhabit the highlands of northeastern Sarawak, located at the frontier borders between Kalimantan and Sarawak. The highlands are surrounded by some of the highest and most rugged terrains in the region. Therefore, within the contemporary political geography of Malaysia, the regions are considered far removed from the centers of power both at the national and state levels.

Linguistically and culturally the Lun Bawang and the Kelabit are two closely related ethnic groups (LeBar1972, pp.153). Over the years due to historical processes, the Kelabit and Lun Bawang have developed into two distinct ethnic groups. Even so, they maintain strong political and economic ties; through which each group continue to contribute to the livelihood of the other (Bala, 2001).

The following are some sociological features of the Kelabit and Lun Bawang, which have set them apart from many other ethnic groups in Sarawak:

1. Traditionally longhouse-based communities, kinship relations play dominant roles in their social and political relations.

2. Until the coming of Christianity, the Kelabit and Lun Bawang practiced a stratification system, which is the basis of leadership structure in the community.
3. The Kelabit and Lun Bawang are farming communities with rice as their main crop. Reciprocal norms and rotation system ensure mutual assistance practices in sustaining their farms.
4. Most members of the communities are devout Christians with the church plays important roles as a mediator in any disagreements that threaten their communal life at the village level.
5. Within Malaysia's cultural and political contexts, the Kelabit and Lun Bawang are numerically inferior to other groups in Malaysia.

It was partly these sociological features which contributed to the creation of the Ba Kelalan constituency in 1996. Puyok (2006, pp.214) noted that the boundary delineation exercise gave the two indigenous groups a majority seat. Prior to the creation of N70, the Kelabit were voting with the Kayan and Kenyah in Telang Usan in Baram, while the Lun Bawang were voting with the Malays in Lawas. Because their numbers are small, there was a slim chance for either of the groups to gain a representative in the state assembly. All this has led to discussions and delineation of a constituency that can provide "majority" status for the Lun Bawang and Kelabit.

The delineation was politically meaningful for them. As pointed out by Welsh (2006, pp.3) "...the most important feature shaping Sarawakian politics is ethnicity, its demography.... [whereby] political parties, political mobilization and campaign issues are organized along these ethnic cleavages, as is the delineation of electoral constituencies, yielding different representation for different communities."

Welsh's claim is made clear through Table 3 below. Over the years, allocation of seats in the state has been aligned along ethnic lines: only three (3) seats out of 71 seats had been allocated to Orang Ulu and one of which is the Ba Kelalan seat.

The delineation is also significant in light of two 'peripheral situations' they have to grapple with. Foremost is Malaysia's ethnic based competition for resources (Shamsul 1986; Jomo 1985; Jayum and King 2004, Hamid & Ibrahim 2017). Foremost is the essence of Malay-ness as the foundation of the Malaysian nation-state, hence positioning the Malays at the top of the hierarchy (Goh Beng Lan 2002, pp. 186).

Table 3: Sarawak Seat Allocation by Ethnicity

Ethnic Group	1970		1987		1996/2001		2006	
	Seats	%	Seats	%	Seats	%	Seats	%
Malay/Melanau	11	25	12	33.3	22	40.3	16	22.5
Iban	23	58.3	17	37.5	15	27.4	16	22.5
Bidayuh	-		4	8.3	4	6.5	5	7.0
Orang Ulu	-		2	4.2	3	4.8	3	4.2
Chinese	7	16.7	7	16.7	12	20.9	11	15.5
Mixed							20	28.2
Total	48	100	48	100	62	100		100

Source: Welsh, B. (2006:7)

### MULTIPLE PERIPHERALITY AND MEANINGS OF DEVELOPMENT

Arguably the multiple peripherality situations as experienced by many rural indigenous communities are conducive for politics of development, as described by Francis Loh (1997), Aeria (1997) and Faisal (2009), to thrive in Sarawak as Foucauldian forms of power and governmentality. Echoing Tremewan (1994, pp.74-108), development projects and packages can be transformed into means for social control for national goals during election cycles. They can be used instrumentally by regimes to demonstrate strengths and fish for votes.

Concurrently, because of the existing asymmetrical power relations and inter-ethnic disparities there is a desire amongst minority groups for strategies appropriate for political recognition by the government. This has defined their engagement in articulating a collective political agency in the Malaysian state. Election, although a non-indigenous socializing political activity, becomes an occasion to shore up their respective economic, social and political interests as minority groups.

Hence functions required of elections in formal democratic theory could look different from the experiences of those at the margins. Rather than seen as the *sine qua non* of good government in democratic society, elections are means to use voting power to ensure that candidates who bring more development projects will win an election. Small indigenous groups like the Kelabit and Lun Bawang are aware of this and express the sentiment in speeches made during election cycles such as “if we don’t vote for the government [ruling party] then there will



be no development projects given for us,” and, “if the government does not promise to give us development projects then there is no use voting for its candidate.” While development is used to fish for votes, concurrently, elections are used as occasions to hold government accountable for the promises of ‘development.’

These lines were common in 1996, but more so in the 2004 by-election and state election in 2006. The former was held due to the untimely death of its assemblyman, Datuk Dr Judson Sakai. The issue of candidacy placed the Kelabit and Lun Bawang in a quandary. This was because there was doubt over the suitability of the proposed BN candidate. Since a noble background and educational achievements were considered important criteria for a community representative, some claimed the nominated candidate did not fit the communities’ expectations. Yet there was awareness that the candidate’s links with the ruling party were valuable connections for channeling more development into the region. The fact that the candidate won the election, despite being challenged by another candidate who fulfilled all the local requirements but was a member of the opposition party, suggests that the communities had voted in someone who can bring about more economical development. Conversely, ensuring more development projects for the Highlands had a bearing on which candidate and political party won the election. It was on the heels of 2006 Sarawak’s state election that the 10th state election, which is the focus of this study, was carried out.

## **METHODOLOGY**

This paper draws on empirical data and ethnographic evidence as a springboard to examine narratives gathered and observations made during the state election of 2011. Ethnographic evidence from frequent visits over 15 years because of research activities, namely the eBario initiative (1999-2004) in Bario and eBaKelalan project (2009-2019) in Ba Kelalan are also included.

According to Auyero and Joseph (2007, pp.2), “ethnography is uniquely equipped to look microscopically at the foundations of political institutions and their attendant sets of practices, just as it is ideally suited to explain why political actors behave the way they do and to identify the causes, processes, and outcomes that are part and parcel of political life.” Shah made similar arguments. He suggests that since studying micro-level factors requires deep familiarity with local politics, “a fieldworker who knows the community is better equipped to capture that reality (2007, pp.12).”

Arguably, a great strength of ethnography is its ability to generate empirical data, which otherwise would not feed into scientific thinking and political analysis. Tawa Lama-Rewal (2009, pp.3) notes, “anthropological studies are usually focused on a more limited political territory (typically, the village), and more importantly, they are centered on questioning the meaning of the electoral process for voters: why do people vote? More precisely, why do they bother, what is the meaning of voting for them?” Stepputat et al. (2015, pp.6) further clarifies this position: ethnography is “the science of contextualization”. By contextualizing narratives and observations made during Sarawak’s state election of 2011, they clarify and contribute as a lens through which to uncover the qualities of particular political trajectories amongst the Kelabit and Lun Bawang people.

## **OBSERVATIONS AND DISCUSSIONS**

### **Election 2011: A Mixed Bag of Response**

In a conversation with a 26-year-old [P1] male from Ba Kelalan, immediately after the announcement of Baru Bian’s win, he quipped, “Even though PKR has won, I am quite sure BN will win in the next round of election here. It was good that they lost this time round – otherwise our roads will never get built. They will not pay attention to our situations. At least with this loss they now will pay attention to our needs and concerns. We will from now on have better roads.”

Yet another person [P2] with outspoken disappointment said, “I have never liked elections. I don’t like it at all because it is so divisive. It divides families and friends. It divides our communities. It divides the church. It creates confusion among the villagers. Our community life suffers so much because of election.”

Another 54-year-old informant [P3] describes the 2011 election as the most challenging election in his capacity as a ground coordinator for the BN political machinery in the area. He said, “It has been a very unnerving experience because right from the beginning the chances of BN winning the Ba Kelalan seat was 40 – 60. So many VIPs and big guns visited the area. All I wanted was for BN to win so our area can be developed. This is the time. Once our area is fully developed with all the basic amenities – I myself will be an activist – fighting for our land rights.”

An 80-year-old village leader [P4] provides yet another perspective. He said, “Our Yang Berhormats (YBs) are often replaced anyhow they [head of BN] want. Sometimes the candidate is only given two to three days’ notice to prepare. To me this is a sign that there is a lack of respect for us as people, plus it portrays a

form of control by others on small minority groups like ours. People voted to protest this kind of treatment.”

The above statements reflect a mixed bag of response to PKR’s win in Ba Kelalan. The first was expressed by a young man (P1) who has been longing for public goods in the forms of better basic infrastructure (water and electricity supply) and good roads in his rural village in the Highlands. Meanwhile, a 60-year-old pastor (P2) made the second statement. As a spiritual leader, he has been a church pastor for over four decades and has travelled intensively in rural areas to inculcate kindred and caring attitudes amongst Christian communities. His statement suggests that if the political arena overheats there is a tendency for the contest to spillover into local and religious institutions that normally would mediate and soften political disagreement. Meanwhile, the third statement was made by a prominent local and grassroots leader and an entrepreneur who has been involved in local party politics since he was 25 years old (P3). He has been instrumental in mobilizing local communities towards having a common vision and goals for the benefits of the local communities both in Bario and Ba Kelalan. Meanwhile (P4) is a well-known village leader from a prominent family. The family has been involved in state and national politics for many years. In different times his children have been involved as election candidates.

These after-effect vignettes bring into focus a few situations with regards to particular political trajectories amongst the Kelabit and Lun Bawang people and how these issues at play in the 2011 elections. Two main trajectories can be identified. One is “keep BN in power” to ensure material benefits reach the constituency. The other goes beyond infrastructure-based vision. It represented new consciousness and aspirations among some voters for greater democratic practices and for representatives who will play prominent roles in civil society and social movements.

### **Development Promises and Infrastructure-based Vision during the 2011 State Election**

Puyok (2006, pp.14-15) noted that three (3) main issues that have defined the 2006 state election in the Ba Kelalan constituency were still pertinent in 2011, though more nuanced. These are development aspirations, native customary rights over land, and the position of Christianity in the communities. These are mainly to ensure material benefits reach the constituency as expressed by P1 and P3.

The comments suggest that development promises during the election cycle take on particular meanings to the Kelabit and Lun Bawang communities in Bario and Ba Kelalan. That is, elections provide a means to negotiate for development

projects to “reach” the Kelabit and Lun Bawang homelands. This is important because, physically, N70 encompasses a wide spread area as big as Perlis in Peninsular Malaysia, but is sparsely populated and has a relatively small number of voters - 6,958 voters. In a sense, as a constituency, Ba Kelalan presents a challenge to any political representative; it entails more time, energy and resources.

According to Faisal (2012, pp.176), “the allocation of development projects during the election period is varied according to the threat posed by the opposition in the affected communities.” In 2011, this was reflected through promises of development made to the constituency during VIP trips. During his visit, the Prime Minister promised several development projects. Most prominent were road building between Lawas-Ba Kelalan, Bario-Miri and Bario-Ba Kelalan.

Conversely, as inferred from P1 and P3, development promises during election period work both ways: to fish for votes and also used by voters to assess the ruling party. For P1 the loss of BN is a good thing so that the government will build the road; on the other hand, for P3, a win by BN will allow development projects to enter. Both ways, the voting power is used to ensure the coming of development in the form of bigger and better bridges, roads, clinics, electricity supply, etc. Jomo K.S notes, “Elections in Malaysia has ensured a degree of holding leaders accountable for their actions to at least deliver some public goods (1996, pp.97).”

Its failure to fulfill these obligations will lead to the government becoming a target of gossip, criticism and murmuring (Scott 1985); not simply a strategy to resist dominance, but is used as a yardstick to measure the state’s commitment, obligations and its use of power in areas like the highlands. This resonates with Crouch’s claims that “the electoral process in Malaysia does contribute in an important way to making the Malaysian government responsive to some extent at least, to pressures from the community, (1996, pp.113).” In 2011, this was made clear from comments made by P1 and P3.

It was from this perspective that the Ba Kelalan seat, previously seen as peripheral to national politics, took on great significance as a potential ‘swing’ region. This was made clear through the number of visits made to Bario and Ba Kelalan by BN’s “big guns” during the campaign period. The Ba Kelalan constituency became the focus of much campaigning and strategizing by the Barisan Nasional. Most prominent were the visits made by the then Prime Minister to Bario and Ba Kelalan on April 14<sup>th</sup>, 2011 and the Minister of Science,

Technology and Innovation Malaysia Dato Seri Max Ongkili to Ba Kelalan on April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2011.

### **Competing vision and candidacy drama**

Having said all that, statements by (P1), (P3) and (P4) reflect a new tangent in 2011 which is the increase of diverse political viewpoints between N70 voters. This is made clear through the emerging voices from community members expressing their aspirations for greater democratic values. They alluded to new concerns, at least amongst the younger generation, about which candidate will be able to represent the interest and values of the people. With increased consciousness, these voters raised questions of leadership, particularly the stature of a candidate to represent values by playing prominent roles in civil society and social movements. It goes beyond infrastructure-based vision which appeared to have displaced the prominent position of development promises as the determining factor.

It was in this context that the choice for candidate was reframed leading to a “candidacy drama” for N70. The farce started around the BN’s choice of candidate. Weeks prior to the nomination day, various names were “floated” around in search of a winnable candidate. Known as the “mystery candidate”, it led to a high level of anticipation among the Lun Bawang and the Kelabit. The intensity of the wait and dilemma resulted in Idris Jala, then a prominent figure with the Prime Minister’s department, making a press statement to say that he was not “the mystery” candidate.

Yet in the discourse to persuade voters, Idris Jala’s name was widely used. His name was invoked to reframe the choice between Baru Bian and the BN candidate, Willie Liaw. Baru Bian was gaining popularity among younger voters as a civic oriented leader. Hence the use of Idris Jala’s name, who like Baru Bian is a widely respected public leader.

Within community powers and norms both men are regarded as good leaders and men of integrity. Considered as committed Christians: they put their faith and values into practice. The younger generation expressed their desire for leaders with deep community ties and personal connections to voters. Both men are highly popular because not only are highly educated, but have proven themselves reliable in doing good things for the wider society. Moreover, both have earned the respect of people from all walks of life in their respective fields.

Baru Bian who was a PKR candidate and a Lun Bawang hailed from Long Semadoh, was also the then de facto leader for Sarawak Pakatan Rakyat (PR). A popular human right lawyer especially with regards to NCR issues in Sarawak, he

successfully challenged the state government and BN leaders over what has been termed by the media as 'land grabbing'. On the wings of growing mistrust of BN state leaders and PKR's promised major changes in governance, Baru has worked hard to build personal networks with voters. Over the years his message of protecting native rights grew stronger; therefore there was wild expectation among Baru Bian's supporters that he would win. For those in Bario and Ba Kelalan his message had been affirmed by the activities of the Land and Survey Department, which a few months prior to the election had turned up in the villages to conduct surveys.

Meanwhile Idris Jala, appointed a Senator, a Minister in the Prime Minister's Office and the Chief Executive Officer of the Performance Management and Delivery Unit (PEMANDU), was considered by some as the 'golden boy.' It represented a powerful position; especially significant for the Kelabit who had yet to seek political power. Up to then, no Kelabit had stood for any political election, both at the state and federal elections. Consequently, some considered Idris' appointment as an indication that it was the season for a Kelabit to lead the two communities.

Because of these varied reasons, the choice was reframed to be between Idris Jala, a development architect, or Baru Bian, a spokesperson for native customary rights. Accordingly a slogan was coined: A vote for BN is a vote for Idris Jala [for all he represented]. It was a slogan targeted at the younger voters because they were deemed to prefer political transformation through voting for PKR. There was also a concern that if the Kelabit decided to vote for the opposition, the image of the Kelabit as a small and minority group would be tarnished. However, some contested the pitting of Idris Jala and Baru Bian, arguing that both were equally important albeit they played different roles in the wider society. An informant noted this by saying "I am not a PKR member but a supporter of Baru Bian. We are not to pit them against each other." This kind of reasoning was prominent amongst Bario voters: many said while their hearts were close to issues championed by Baru Bian, they were appreciative of Idris's position within the corridor of power. Expressing frustration, a voter said, "Using Idris's name and position to beguile us is not fair; it has always been about development. We have enough of development being used to entice us."

The dilemma around candidacy is compounded by kinship relations, which bind contesting candidates to each other. For instance, it is widely known that Willie Liaw and Baru Bian are closely related; in Lun Bawang kinship terminology, the former is a nephew to the latter. From this perspective an uncle to a nephew implies certain lifetime obligations, and vice versa. Furthermore, both candidates are sons of well-respected pastors who have served among the Lun Bawang in Ba Kelalan and the Kelabit in Bario. Conversely their respective supporters are of

the same faith and of the same church, Sidang Injil Borneo (SIB) albeit of different political parties.

### **Fragmented communities and disrupted village life**

The statements by P2 and P3 indicate that there were political polarization leading to the election. P3 for instance considered it to be the tensest and most polarized elections in contemporary Kelabit and Lun Bawang political history vis-à-vis discourse, rhetoric, and the attitude of political leaders toward one another. Voters were divided into two categories: government voters and opposition voters. Understandably the situation created a political concern, reflected in BN's move to declare Ba Kelalan as a "black area." Previously seen as peripheral to national politics, the Ba Kelalan seat drew much national attention. At the height of the election campaigning, the debates over the vision of the future and development issues were quite severe. These debates covered a myriad of issues such as infrastructure and expanding social welfare to questions of rights, privileges, equality and status.

These debates and questions were particularly prevalent among the younger voters who have access to alternative information and have travelled far. As mentioned by a 55-year-old man many of the young people have travelled far, have seen much of the world and therefore think far into the future. Some suggested the older generation to be more concerned about their appointments as village heads, councilors, etc. These village leaders are seen to be spokespersons for the political elites rather than representing the voice of the people. An informant pointed this out to being *puteng linuh* (shallow thinking).

To a certain level, the polarization was compounded by tactics of fear and threats which were used unsparingly especially on Baru Bian's supporters. From observations, many were plagued with stigma for being in the opposition. They were labeled as anti-government and anti-development. Local leaders at the village level entered into the act too. Although not violent, some young voters were threatened by having their pictures taken when they attended talks by Baru Bian. Reflecting on the quandary, one informant said, "It was very sad for Baru Bian. He has been shunned around here to the point that he had to walk alone along the village path. This was because people were afraid to be seen with him publicly. In some situations, a supporter of an opposition is treated as an outcast. These practices and attitudes are very much against our traditional culture and are not aligned with the values we teach in the churches."

For some, these intimidation tactics did not bear well. To avoid the brunt of the leaders' ire, some have resorted to undercover campaigning and meetings. This is to avoid the scrutiny of the local leadership. In addition, the aggressive campaign

tactics, which have been described as Semenanjung style, did not go down well with many of the locals. This is mainly because it involved a 'screaming marathon' *ceramah* in the multipurpose hall. The breathtaking scale of these campaign tactics generated tensions among the villagers. Some acknowledged that they attended the *ceramah* out of politeness and out of the desire to avert hostility.

One other trend considered by some as distasteful, was the use of strong words on pamphlets distributed in the villages in light of local *adet* in terms of values and practices. According to some in the villages, the choice of words used in the media were unkind, confrontational and even malicious. This trend was in contradiction to local tastes, considerations and feelings. This backfired: some admitted that the written rhetoric against Baru Bian made them resolve to vote for him and to stand with him as a relative, a fellow Lun Bawang and as a Christian.

The intensity of the situation according to a 90-year-old man disrupted their village life. From his perspective, the whole scenario was highly polarized; it left communities fragmented along party lines, and with fractured vision. All of these threatened to affect circles of kinship, religious organizations in the communities, and simultaneously the administrative village as formal organization. Some others noted that the election stimulated village factional strife, family competition, and coordination of conflicts of interests, which not only cut across into kinship relations but also church membership. This left us with a picture that pointed to the risks and uncertainties in the areas of democracy. As expressed by a 68-year-old pastor, the contentions have threatened to spill over into other areas of village life and other important local institutions such as the local church, which normally would mediate and soften any political disagreement within the communities.

### **Election disruption and mediation by the village church**

The impacts of the 2011 election process on the N70 voters has led to fractured vision and fragmented communities, a particularly concerning situation for them as Christian communities. It is widely acknowledged by writers of Kelabit and Lun Bawang life (Deegan 1973; Janowski 1991; Amster, 1998; Robert Lian Saging 1977/1978; Bala 2002, 2008), the great importance of the local church to village life in the Highlands. As observed by Deegan of the Lun Bawang villages: "In many ways, the church was the heart of the community for a community-minded people" (1973, p. 286)<sup>i</sup>. For example, nowadays the church organizes almost all joint social and economic activities in the villages. These include mobilizing informal organizations, which are offshoots of the traditional self-help groups through which villagers provide local mutual assistance; for instance, the organisation of a rotation system to help each other manage their farms (Bala 2008, p. 180). In short, Christianity plays a significant role in the



everyday life of the villagers, including a way to help manage conflict resolutions in the village.

At the outset, the 2011 election presented a particular dilemma for the local church in Bario and Ba Kelalan: to remain apolitical or to engage in collective political mobilization since it affects members of the church. This is an uncomfortable and tricky path to negotiate because the church's mission is not political but religious. Yet some of the electoral issues are closely related to church life – the Bible and the use of certain terms such as *Allah* by Christians in Malaysia. The church was embroiled in issues pertaining to religious freedom, the impounding of the Alkitab (the Bahasa Malaysia Bible) and debates over religious claims about the exclusivity of certain Bahasa Malaysia words in the Alkitab. This is an ongoing issue which came to light in a 1980's policy changes which ban non-Muslims from using several words considered Islamic.

The debates, and concerns surrounding these issues have led to unprecedented polarization on all levels of the two communities. As a result, there were important electoral issues in Bario and Ba Kelalan. In fact, PKR painted BN as anti-Christian (Lee, Yen Mun, 12 April 2011). The scenario threatened the peace among the Christian congregations at the two sites. This was particularly obvious in Ba Kelalan. It raises the question of how one should conduct oneself in a very divisive political climate. The BN candidate, Willie Liau, announced that he was not going down the road of kneecapping, as he would be focusing on development concerns for the constituency. His comments were well received because of the decorum observed.

Nonetheless, Willie Liau's statement did not abate the tension that was building immediately after the nomination day. In order to mediate the intensity of political competition, Pastor Nelson of Buduk Nur had to issue a letter of statement. This was to appeal for peace and to avert political disagreement to fragment their unity as Christians:

May not the differences in opinion destroy the fellowship which we share before God. For me differences in opinion is a very good thing because it helps us in our own limitation since we choose the party from a narrow perspective. We therefore can pray that God who is all knowing will determine for us the person and the party which should govern us. For all your cooperation and the children of the Lord, I give thanks.

In the same vein, the father of the candidate appealed for posters of both candidates to be placed side by side on the church wall. The local churches in the area were asked to pray for God's will to be done in the election. This was to avert further friction in the community. There were other attempts to temper the

tensions at least symbolically whereby posters of both candidates are placed side by side on the walls of the longhouses [see Figure 2].



Figure 2: Posters of Opposing Candidate Placed Side by Side on Longhouse Wall

The reconciliation effort was extended to a service after the election. The aim was to patch up social fractures. As proliferation of discourses increased, the local church aimed to find common ground by emphasizing the importance of their village as a place for community participation, community planning and communal support networks. What this suggests is that while national politics, in this case an election phenomenon, have important bearing on daily life in rural Malaysia, local institutions such as the village churches act to soften political disagreement in a democratic electoral space. This is especially important for communities who are closely knitted but increasingly characterized by a very fragmented vision of their future. This is where non-political organization plays important roles in stabilizing a fractured community and ensures certain principles of democracy are upheld especially during and after elections.

## **CONCLUSION**

This paper has set out to understand the local politics and sentiments that motivated political behaviours in the 2011 Sarawak's state election amongst two ethnic minorities under the Ba Kelalan (N70) constituency. By using ethnographic evidence and empirical data, we are able to capture the influence of micro level factors on the electoral behaviours of small and rural communities.

Previously seen as peripheral to national politics, in 2011, Ba Kelalan took on great significance as a potential 'swing' region. One of the most significant outcomes of the election was the fractured BN shield over Ba Kelalan, and, it became the first PKR seat to be won in rural Sarawak. A pivotal occasion which monumentally shaped the rise of diverse political orientations amongst voters in Ba Kelalan. The opposition went on to win the 2016 and 2021 state elections.

Underlying this phenomenon are the workings of micro-level factors such as ethnic background, local histories, local politics, kinship relations, religious beliefs and faith, existence of fractured vision within local communities, characteristics of individual candidates and the nature of their ties to a given ethnic community. They are important in shaping the way election processes are used to serve local interests and in turn shape outcomes of national elections.

However, as highlighted by this paper, the influence of social cultural factors on the electoral behaviours of small communities can only be effectively captured through ethnographic attention. By putting vignettes, narratives and observations made during the election process in context, they clarify shared ideas about what is ideal for the Kelabit and Lun Bawang. This case provides a glimpse of the interplay of social and cultural elements to point out potential trajectories based on their indigenous experiential understanding of local and national politics.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The author acknowledges the generous support of the villagers in Ba Kelalan where the author spent 10 years to carry out research on information communication technologies for rural development in Sarawak. Also, to the Kelabit community in Bario where the author grew up, and carried out anthropology at home to explore political, economic and social implications of the new information technologies in the Kelabit Highlands for a PhD at Cambridge University. Most importantly, to University Malaysia Sarawak

(UNIMAS) for its tremendous and generous support in making research for this article possible.

## REFERENCES

- Aeria, A. 1997. The Politics of Development and the 1996 Sarawak: State Elections. In Sabah and Sarawak: The Politics of Development and Federalism, ed. Francis Loh Kok Wah, *Kajian Malaysia* Special Issue. 15: 1/2 (June/December): 57-83.
- Amster, M. 1998. *Community, Ethnicity, and Modes of Association among the Kelabit of Sarawak, East Malaysia*. Ph.D. diss., Department of Anthropology, Brandeis University.
- Anifowose, R. 2003. 'Theoretical Perspectives on Elections'. In R Anifowose & T Babawale. *2003 General Elections and Democratic Consolidation in Nigeria*. Lagos: Friedrich Ebert Stiftung and Frankad Publishers.
- Antlöv, H. 2021. National elections, local issues: The 1997 and 1999 national elections in a village on Java. In *Elections in Indonesia* (pp. 111-137). Routledge.
- Auyero, J. 2006. Introductory Note to Politics under the Microscope: Special Issue on Political Ethnography I, *Qualitative Sociology*, 2: 257-9.
- Auyero, J., and Joseph, L. 2007. 'Introduction: Politics under the Ethnographic Microscope', in L. Mahler, J. M. & Auyero, J. (Eds.) *New Perspectives on Political Ethnography*. New York: Springer, pp. 1-13.
- Bala, P. 2001. Interethnic Ties: the Kelabit and Lun Berian in the Kelabit-Kerayan Highlands. *Borneo Research Bulletin*, Vol.32: 103 – 111.
- Bala, P. 2002. *Changing Borders and Identities in the Kelabit Highlands: Anthropological Reflections on Growing Up in a Kelabit Village near the International Border*. Dayak Studies Contemporary Society Series, No. 1. The Institute of East Asian Studies, University Malaysia Sarawak. 2002.
- Bala, P. 2014. Being Christians in Muslim-majority Malaysia: The Kelabit and Lun Bawang Experiences in Sarawak. In: Platzdasch, B. and Saravanamuttu, J. ed. *Religious Diversity in Muslim-majority States in Southeast Asia: Areas of Tolerance and Conflict*. Singapore: ISEAS Publishing, pp. 379-399. <https://doi.org/10.1355/9789814519656-022>
- Brosius, P. 2000. Bridging the Rubicon: development and the project of futurity in Sarawak. Paper presented at the Sixth Biennial Borneo Research 2000 Conference, Kuching July 10-14, 2000.

- Chambers, P., & Ufen, A. 2020. Causes, Effects, and Forms of Factionalism in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*, 39(1), 3–16. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1868103420916044>
- Crouch, H. 1996. Malaysia: Do elections make a difference? In *The politics of elections in Southeast Asia*, ed. R.H. Taylor, New York: Cambridge University Press and the Woodrow Wilson. Center Press. pp. 114-135.
- Deegan, J. L. 1973. *Change among the Lun Bawang: A Borneo People*, Ph.D. diss., Anthropology Department, University of Washington.
- Faisal, S.H. 2012. *Domination and Contestation: Muslim Bumiputera Politics in Sarawak*. Singapore: ISEAS Press.
- Faisal, S.H., 2009. *The Politics of development in Sarawak*. *Akademika*, 77(1): 91-111. Goh, B.L. 2002. Rethinking Modernity: State, Ethnicity, and class in the Forging of a Modern Urban Malaysia. In *Local Cultures and the New Asia. The Society, Culture and Capitalism in Southeast Asia*, ed. C.J.W. L. Wee, Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.
- Hamid, A.F.A. and Ibrahim, Z., 2017. The governance of religious diversity in Malaysia: Islam in a secular state or secularism in an Islamic state? *The Problem of Religious Diversity: European Challenges, Asian Approaches*, pp.169-1203.
- Hoskins, J. 1987. The headhunter as hero: local traditions and their reinterpretation in national history. *American Ethnologist* 14 (4): 605-622.
- Janda, K., Berry, J. M., & Goldman, J. .1992. *The Challenge of Democracy Government in America*, 3. baskı.
- Janowski, M. 1991. *Rice, Work and Community Among the Kelabits in Sarawak, East Malaysia*. Ph.D. diss., London School of Economics, University of London.
- Jawan, J.A. and King, V.T., 2004. *Ethnicity & Electoral Politics in Sarawak*. Penerbit Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
- Jomo, K.S. 1985. *Malaysia's new economic policies: evaluations of the Mid-term review of the 4<sup>th</sup> Mp*. Kuala Lumpur: Malaysian Economic Association.
- Jomo, K.S.1996. "Elections' Janus Face: Limitations and Potential in Malaysia". In *The Politics of Elections in South East Asia*. Robert Taylor [ed.] Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and Woodrow Wilson Center Press, Washington DC: 90-113.

- Laothamatas, A. (1996) A tale of two democracies: Conflicting perceptions of elections and democracy in Thailand. In *The politics of elections in Southeast Asia*, R. H. Taylor (Ed.) (pp. 201-223). New York, NY: Woodrow Wilson Center Press
- Lee, Yen Mun (12 April 2011). "[DPM: Barisan not anti-Christian](#)". [The Star \(Malaysia\)](#). Archived from [the original](#) on 15 April 2011. Retrieved 16 April 2023.
- Loh, F. 1997. *Sabah and Sarawak: The Politics of Development and Federalism*. Special Issue. *Kajian Malaysia*. 15 (1 & 2)
- Morgenbesser, L., & Pepinsky, T. B. 2019. Elections as Causes of Democratization: Southeast Asia in Comparative Perspective. *Comparative Political Studies*, 52(1), 3–35. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414018758763>
- Ojo, Emmanuel. (2007). Elections: An Exploration of Theoretical Postulations. *Journal of African elections* 6. 4-13. 10.20940/JAE/2007/v6i2a1.
- Puyok, A. 2006. Voting Pattern and Issues in the 2006 Sarawak State Assembly Election in the Ba' Kelalan Constituency, *Asian Journal of Political Science*, 14:2, 212-228, DOI: [10.1080/02185370601063217](https://doi.org/10.1080/02185370601063217)
- Saging, R. 1976/1977. *An ethno-history of the Kelabit tribe of Sarawak: A brief look at the Kelabit tribe before World War II and after*. Graduation exercise submitted to the Jabatan Sejarah, University of Malaya, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Arts.
- Scott, J. C. 1985. *Weapons of the Weak: Everyday forms of Peasant Resistance*, New Haven and London: Yale University Press.
- Shah, A.M. 2007. Introduction. In *The Grassroots of Democracy: Field Studies of Indian Elections*, ed. A.M. Shah. Delhi: Permanent Black, pp. 1-27.
- Shamsul, A.B. (1986) *From British to Bumiputera rule: local politics and rural development in Malaysia*. Singapore: Institute of South East Asia Studies.
- Stepputat, Finn, and Jessica Larsen. 2015. *Global Political Ethnography: A Methodological Approach to Studying Global Policy Regimes*. Danish Institute for International Studies, 2015, [www.jstor.org/stable/resrep13373](http://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep13373). Accessed 6 Sept. 2021.
- Sukumaran, Tashny (13 April 2011). "[NGOs band up against Taib](#)". [Free Malaysia Today](#). Archived from [the original](#) on 16 April 2011. Retrieved 16 April 2023
- Tawa Lama-Rewal, S., 2009. *Studying elections in India: Scientific and political debates*. *South Asia Multidisciplinary Academic Journal*, (3).

Taylor, R. H (1996). Introduction: Elections and politics in Southeast Asia. In *The politics of elections in Southeast Asia*, R. H. Taylor (Ed.) (pp. 1-11). New York, NY: Woodrow Wilson Center Press

Taylor, R.H (1996). Elections in Burma/Myanmar: For whom and why? In *The politics of elections in Southeast Asia*, R. H. Taylor (Ed.) (pp. 164-183). New York, NY: Woodrow Wilson Center Press.

Tremewan, C. (1994). *The Political Economy of Social Control in Singapore*. MacMillan Press and St Martin's Press. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-23510-0>

Welsh, B. 2006. *Malaysia's Sarawak State Elections 2006 Understanding a Break in the BN Armor*. [http://www.ndi.org/files/2118\\_my\\_sarawak\\_090106.pdf](http://www.ndi.org/files/2118_my_sarawak_090106.pdf)

Welsh, B (2011) *The myths of Sarawak Polls results*. Malaysiakini Tuesday April 19, 2011. <http://www.malaysiakini.com/news/161869>

### **Online references**

<http://www.freemalaysiatoday.com/category/nation/2011/04/05/bn-reveals-bakelalan-mystery-man/>

I'm not the Mystery Candidate for Ba' Kelalan <http://www.malaysianmirror.com/media-buzz-detail/6-nation/52714-idris-jala-im-not-the-mystery-candidate-for-bakelalan>