This is a provisional PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article.

THE MARGINALIZED TAMIL INDIANS AND THEIR LIVED EXPERIENCE IN MULTICULTURAL MALAYSIA

S. Maartandan[1*], Normahfuzah Ahmad[1] and Paul GnanaSelvam Pakirnathan[2]

[1]School of Multimedia, Technology and Communication, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Kedah, MALAYSIA
[2]Academy of Language Studies, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Seri Iskandar Campus, Perak, MALAYSIA

*Corresponding author: s.maartandan.suppiah@uum.edu.my

ABSTRACT

The study aims to explore the Tamil Indians' lived experience during the country's economic progress under Mahathir Mohamad's premiership as the fourth Prime Minister of Malaysia. The period was chosen to reflect on the nation's economic success since independence. The study adopts the Acculturation Model to analyze the living conditions of minority groups, particularly in the multiracial context of Malaysia. Using in-depth interviews with 23 informants, ranging from political leaders, NGOs, academics, media organizations and youths, qualitative data was collected. Data for the study were analyzed using the NVIVO 12 software and a thematic analysis approach was adopted for analysis. Two broad themes derived from the findings of this study are the responses to marginalization issues and aspirations of Malaysian Tamil Indians. Findings from this study highlight the existence of the Acculturation Model which Malaysian Tamil Indians encounter as one of the minority groups in Malaysia. The study suggests a need for improvement to the system to avoid institutional failure within multicultural Malaysia in the long term.

Keywords: Marginalization Acculturation Model, minority, multicultural, Malaysia, Tamil Indians

INTRODUCTION

Malaysia is a multicultural society which consists of three main ethnic groups; Malays, Chinese and Indians with a population breakdown of 69.1%, 23.0% and 6.9% respectively (Department of Statistics, Malaysia 2018). According to Appudurai and Dass (2008), the Indians in Malaysia mainly originated from the South Indian state of Tamil Nadu. Out of 755 322 South Indians population in

1957, 634 681 were Tamils from the state of Tamil Nadu (Initisari, 1970) and the number grew to 1 396 480, that is 87.6% in 2000 (Malaysian Census Report 1970, 1980, 1991, 2000, Department of Statistics, 2001). The Indians are referenced to the Tamil Indian community, one of the minority groups in Malaysia. Within the context of this research, the research will refer to the community as Tamil Indians.

The development of Malaysia can be discussed based on the pre-NEP (the New Economic Policy) period (1957-1969) and after-NEP (1971-1990). During the pre-NEP, the economic development of Malaysia was dependent on market forces. The 13 May 1969 racial riots in Malaysia indicated the socio-economic imbalances among Malaysians. It was found that about 86% of rural Malays were in the poverty category and during that point of time, 70% of Malaysian Indians were working in the plantation sector indicating their income is below the poverty category. To ensure the fair distribution of socio-economic opportunities to all races, the New Economic Plan (NEP) was introduced as a 20-year action plan (1970-1990). The plan aims to eradicate poverty regardless of ethnicity and to correct the economic imbalances among Malaysians. Specifically, NEP aimed to raise the income levels of the poor in rural areas regardless of ethnicity and create more job opportunities in urban areas. However, it was found that the plan did benefit the Tamil Indians (Raman, 2009). Based on the New Economic Policy and Malaysian Blueprint (1974), showed that the ownership of share capital of limited companies (1970-1988) of the Bumiputra individuals and enterprises rosed from 1.9% in 1970 to 7.5% in 1982 to 13.0% in 1988, compared to the Chinese at 22.5 % in 1970, rose to 33.4 in 1982 and stood at 32.6% in 1988 (Raman, 2009). This is compared to the Indians which stood at 1.0% in 1970, dropped to 0.9% in 1982, and rose to 1.2% in 1988. Interestingly in 1971, the Indians' stake in the national equity was 1% and it remains the same or static after the implementation of NEP (Fifth Malaysia Plan, 1986-1990). However, the ownership of Bumiputras increased from 1.5% in 1969 to 18.5 in 1990 compared to the Indians' ownership which increased from 0.9 % in 1969 to 1.5% in 2005 (Jomo, 2004; Beng, 2007). Besides that, through the implementation of NEP, many estates were fragmented to allow new developments which affected the Tamil Indians' estate workers (Raman, 2009). In 1971, the bumiputras' share of the national equity was at 1.9% and rose to 19.4% through the implementation of NEP; the Chinese national equity was 22.5% in 1971 and increased to 32.6 at the end of NEP compared to the Indians whereby their stake in the national equity was 1% in 1971 and rose only to 1.2% at the end of NEP (Fifth Malaysia Plan, 1986-1990). This situation indicated the poor development of Tamil Indians compared to other ethnicities in Malaysia.

In 1974, an economic seminar on NEP and Malaysian Indians, which was sponsored by the Malaysian Indian Congress (MIC) discussed the worsening

situation of Malaysian Indians, specifically the situation of the Tamil Indians plantation workers, the Indians' position in employment, land and capital ownership and education (Raman, 2009; MIC, 1974). It was expected that the National Development Policy (1990-2000)   replaced the NEP to tackle the issues of socioeconomic imbalances among different ethnicities in Malaysia. However, the NDP   did not significantly impact the development of Tamil Indians, especially the plantation workers (Ramachandran & Shanmugam, 1995). Research conducted by Nair (2003) and Anbalakan (2002) showed that at the end of NDP in 2000, the Indians were yet to achieve equal access to economic opportunities.   During the Seventh Malaysia Plan period, rubber-planted areas declined from 1.7 million hectares in 1995 to 1.4 million hectares in 2000 as a result of conversion to oil palm and other uses, which leads to employment in rubber plantations declining from 163,577 in 1979 to 13,366 in May 2004 (Department of Statistics Malaysia, Handbook of Rubber Statistics, 1985: 196; Monthly Rubber Statistics Malaysia, May 2004, 24.). Consequently, more than   300,000 Indians   who   worked and   lived   in   the plantations were displaced during the 20-year period from 1980-2000. The Indians' share in different sectors of employment has also reduced drastically. For instance, in the professional and technical employment sectors, the Indians' share dropped from 10.8% in 1970 to 7.6% in 2000, in the clerical jobs the Indians' share dropped from 17.2% in 1970 to 8.6% in 2000 (Chakravarty & Roslan, 2005). The marginalization process has continued since then.

Discourses on leadership in Malaysia have often exemplified Mahathir Mohamad as a prominent leader not only in the country but also at the international level. Malaysia has succeeded in many sectors under the leadership of Mahathir Mohamad as the fourth Prime Minister of Malaysia (1981-2003). Under his premiership, Malaysia has shown economic growth with the leadership skills of Mahathir Mohamad. Mahathir Mohamad showed his leadership qualities through the ideas, vision and policies that he introduced. He believes that universalism could affect the identity of Malaysian culture and the religious values held by Malaysians (Mahathir & Ishihara, 1995). This research aims to look into the lived experience of a minority community in the multicultural society of Malaysia during the premiership of Mahathir Mohamad as the fourth Prime Minister of Malaysia (1981-2003).

THE ERA OF MAHATHIR MOHAMAD

Mahathir Mohamad had been the longest-serving Prime Minister who led the nation from 1981 to 2003. Upon stepping down as Prime Minister in 2003, he was again chosen to lead the nation in 2018 which made him the fourth and the seventh Prime Minister of Malaysia. The context of this study analyses the policy

imposed during his leadership as the fourth Prime Minister which impacted the Indian Tamil community. This tenure was chosen because Mahathir Mohamad had contributed to the development of Malaysia at that time.

According to Milne and Mauzy (1999), Mahathir provided a rationalization for all actions to stimulate satisfaction and understanding among followers. This is evident in the communication process between Mahathir and his followers. Mahathir initiated the concepts of Asian values due to international democratization, extensive economic activities and political stability in the 1990s, before the economic turmoil in July 1997 which affected most Asian countries (Naisbitt, 1997; Innoguchi & Newman, 1997). This shows that Mahathir has a rationale for each of his actions and had communicated his decisions to the people.

In February 1991, Vision 2020 was introduced by Mahathir to ensure a better future for Malaysia. Mahathir also emphasized the notion of '*Bangsa Malaysia*' which aimed to establish a common identity for all Malaysians (Hassan, 2005). Mahathir put the effort into establishing a vision, guiding and motivating Malaysians to achieve the vision. To further discuss, the notion of '*Bangsa Malaysia*' which was a part of Vision 2020 was seen as a step to foster unity among Malaysians. Mahathir tried to create a stronger bonding among Malaysians and shared the same identity regardless of different ethnic groups. This had been a significant step taken by Mahathir to create a harmonious environment in Malaysia by avoiding conflicts between ethnic groups. Thus, it shows that he tried to create a united Malaysia.

TAMIL INDIANS IN MALAYSIA

The Tamils were brought into Malaya as indentured labourers at the beginning of the 19th century. These labourers were recruited mainly to work for the expansion of colonization and became the foundation for the presence of the Indian community in Malaysia. The demand for Indian labourers in Malaya increased after the introduction of rubber in 1905 (Raman, 2009). More Indians mainly Tamils were brought into Malaya through the *kangany* system to work in the rubber and tea estates and were termed as *coolies (Report of a Meeting of the General Labour Committee: British Malaya, Federated Malay States Government Press, Kuala Lumpur, 1920, p.8, 18-19) in Raman (2009)*. Lal (2006) indicates that the Indian labourers from South India were the most preferred by the British due to their submissive characteristics, 'not demanding or not ambitious' (Raman, 2009). Apart from that, Jackson (2004) also stated that Indian labourers were found to be most adaptive to harsh working conditions and physical labour. However, the labourers found themselves enveloped by dire and squalid living conditions, earning low wages, lacking necessities such as medical

attention, educational and vocational opportunities, death by malaria, anaemia and childbirth, and a bleak hope, all of which continued to shape their lives and the generations after them (Clark, & Stenson, 1983).

To be the voice of the Indian community, the Malayan Indian Congress (MIC) was established amid the chaos of the immediate postwar period in Malaya to represent the interests of the minority Indian community (Rajagopal & Fernando, 2018). According to Willford (2007), while it sits within the bigger political party of *Barisan Nasional*, which was politically strong during Mahathir Mohamad's era of premiership, many issues of marginalization faced by the Tamil Indians remain unresolved as MIC is seen as unrefined, crude and ignorant of the needs of its people (Willford, 2007).

THE ACCULTURATION MODEL

The acculturation model introduced by Berry (1980) focuses on the orientation of immigrants towards a new society. The model is widely used in studies of migrants and their assimilation into new societies. Although Malaysian Tamil Indians are naturally Malaysian citizens, the acculturation model is chosen due to the historical context of generational Tamil Indians as has been described in the earlier section of the study. The model identifies four strategies of acculturation whereby firstly, individuals who are minorities in the society are torn between holding to their own culture and identity, and simultaneously value contact with the majority (integration). Secondly, individuals who value interaction with the majority and wished not to preserve their own culture and ethnic identity (assimilation). Thirdly, individuals who want to hold on to their own culture and identity and simultaneously reject interaction with the majority group (separation). Fourthly, individuals who unwillingly let go of their own culture and identity but simultaneously have no preference to interact with the majority (marginalization).

However, marginalization is not always viewed as a strategy but rather, a forced position immigrants (minorities) find themselves in when dealing with majorities who are prejudiced against them (van der Zee & van Oudenhoven, 2022). Immigrants who are minorities arrive in a new country with differing attitudes on assimilating with the new society (majority) and these attitudes are heightened due to the actual and perceived level of acceptance given by the majority group (Phinney et al., 2001). Some neither feel ties with their own nor with the new culture of the majority but still actively engage themselves with the majority with an open mind towards others (van der Zee & van Oudenhoven, 2022). This study does not argue that the minority group of Tamil Indians in Malaysia are being prejudiced against. Rather, foregrounded on the ethnic and national identity formation of Malaysia, this study argues that the extent to which a minority group

is able to adapt to the majority depends on many factors among which include their own attitudes, advocation by the media and policies imposed by authorities which either leads to progression or regression of the group. Ethnic and national identities are formed through the process of acculturation (Phinney et al., 2001) of a nation. Therefore, this study focuses on the acculturation model from the aspect of race and ethnicity (of the minority) in the multicultural nation of Malaysia during the period in which the nation developed economically under the premiership of Mahathir Mohamad (1981-2003).

THE MARGINALIZATION OF TAMILS AND ITS IMPACT ON THE COMMUNITY

Since gaining independence in 1957, the newly formed Malaysian governmental policies were mainly based along ethnic lines (Bhopal & Rowley, 2005). Through its New Economic Policy (NEP) plans, the government mainly aimed at eradicating poverty among the rural and urban Malays to minimize the socio-economic differences among the different races (Gudykunst & Nishida, 2001). In contrast, after the May 13 racial riots in 1969, the manifestation of the inter-ethnic conflict over the distribution of political and economic power became an ethnocentric cause. Often disguised as an effort at maintaining inter-racial harmony, the policies implemented thereafter mainly aimed to please the socio-economical demands of the major ethnic group, the Malays through the institutionalized *Bumiputera* privileges (Bhopal & Rowley, 2005).

Deprived of substantive aid under the NEP, Tamils found themselves mostly neglected from mainstream development by the mid-1980s. To demonstrate the continuity of this trend, Sikri (2013); Karmveer Singh (2013); Teo (2021); Anbalakan (2015) found that the marginalization of the Tamils from the mainstream development happened across all sectors in Malaysia, including the business sectors, opportunities at vocational, pre-university and higher educational institutions, state-sponsored scholarships, job openings, selections and promotions at both public and private sectors, and many more.

Coined as the 'estate fragmentation' phenomena by Selvakumaran (1994), the 1990s brought another era of isolation to the Tamils during Malaysia's transition through rapid urbanization. The estate fragmentation phenomenon refers to the relocation of estate workers without proper planning which was largely made up of Tamils. It was found that a very large number of estate workers' displacement occurred during the leadership of Mahathir Mohamad. As evidenced, in a study conducted by the Centre of Policy Studies, under the Seventh Malaysia Plan, the rubber planted areas in Malaysian declined drastically which in turn,

automatically reduced employment in rubber plantations from 16,3577 in 1979 to 13,366 in 2004 (Eighth Malaysia Plan, pg. 209). This eventually caused more than 300,000 estate workers to lose their jobs and were displaced from the year 1980 to 2000 (Department of Statistics Malaysia, Handbook of Rubber Statistics, 1985: 196; Monthly Rubber Statistics Malaysia, May 2004).

Estate fragmentation became one of the main issues that had a profound effect on the social well-being of the Malaysian Tamils (Raman, 2009). Most estate workers had not only lost their jobs, but also other necessities, such as estate housing, and their social and economic sustenance that depended on the estate community and the estate environment (Raman, 2009). This situation forced many estate dwellers to relocate to the urban areas in search of a new life, which presented an array of social problems for the Indian community, especially for its youths. Furthermore, Raman (2009) indicated that the 10% Indian quota at the public universities set by the Malaysian government was never met during the entire duration of NEP although the National Economic Consultative Council (NECC) which was formed in 1985 reported that the poor progress of the Malaysian Tamils under the NEP had indicated the need for more robust implementation of educational opportunities for Malaysian Tamil Indian youths in Malaysia. In its report, NECC highlighted the failures of NEP to help the Malaysian Tamil Indians who were neglected in many aspects of socio-economic growth (Raman, 2009). Poor educational opportunities for Malaysian Tamil youths have contributed to low levels of literacy. In a survey conducted in 2005, the National Union of Teaching Profession (NUTP) indiscipline and juvenile delinquency among Malaysian Tamil students who came from poor homes were worrying as Malaysian Tamil youths fell within the 30% of the hardcore poor bracket of the Malaysian population (Yayasan Strategic Social, 2005). Lacking academic qualifications, skills and vocational expertise, many turned to a life of committing crimes as a way out from urban poverty and harassing social situations.

In addition, the socio-economic marginalization of Malaysian Tamils could be seen clearly in terms of job opportunities in the public and private sectors. According to Kuppuswamy (2010), Malaysian Tamil participation in the civil service dropped from 40% in 1957 to just 2% in 2007. Raman (2009) stated that even those with appropriate academic qualifications found it difficult to be employed. In contrast, the implementation of the National Development Policy (NDP) did not encourage the growth of Malaysian Tamils. In terms of economic opportunities, the NDP initially incorporated ideals of equality and justice. However, this ideal was practically ignored while it was propagated (Chakraborti, 1996; Anbalakan, 2003). Through the NDP, the idea of *bumiputeraism* essentially provided economic autonomy and governmental aid to the Malays. However, in his study, Chakarborti (2004), two-thirds of the Malaysian Tamils

remained poor labourers; one-fifth of Malaysian Tamils have reached middle-class standing, while only 10 per cent ranked as professionals and entrepreneurs, benefiting from the NDP and NEP.

The marginalisation of Tamil Indians which caused dissatisfaction among Indians became deeper after the premiership of Mahathir. The dissatisfaction can be seen in a more obvious manner from the reaction of Malaysian Indians during the leadership of the fifth Prime Minister, Abdullah Ahmad Badawi and the sixth Prime Minister, Najib Razak. After 50 years of independence, in 2007, the Indian Hindus protested against the economic marginalization of the government through the Hindu Rights Action Force (HINDRAF) rally (Shekhar, 2008). HINDRAF was a coalition of 30 Hindu nongovernmental organisations which aimed to safeguard Hindu community rights in Malaysia (Cangi, 2014). On November 25, 2007, a group of Commission in Kuala Lumpur handed over a 100,000-signature memorandum to the British Embassy. The memorandum was a petition to Queen Elizabeth II of the United Kingdom to appoint a Queen's Counsel representing marginalised Malaysian Indians. Syed Husin (2008) stated that the factor that contributed to the HINDRAF rally was dissatisfaction among the Indian community against the policies of the ruling class, which consists mainly of Malays. This particular rally can be classified as a significant incident because it was one of the biggest rallies in Malaysian history which attracted both local and international media attention. As a result, the Indian community felt that their rights in Malaysia were not protected by the leaders of the nation (Bukhari, 2006).

METHODOLOGY

In general, Creswell (1998) divided qualitative traditions of inquiry into five; biography, phenomenology, grounded theory, ethnography and case study. Each method is different in their purposes and process of inquiry (Patton, 2015). This study adopted phenomenology inquiry. The founding principle of phenomenological inquiry is that experience should be examined in the way that it occurs, and it involves careful examination of human experience (Husserl & Welton, 1999). Despite many arguments about the usage of phenomenology, phenomenology is said to be suitable for examining peoples' lived experiences from an individual perspective (van Manen, 1990). Moustakas (1994) also discussed that in a phenomenological study, a research could build a comprehensive description about people's experience. Consistent with the discussion by van Manen (1990), this study selected the influence of Mahathir's leadership towards marginalization of Indians as the phenomena. Based on the

Indians' responses towards Mahathir's leadership, essential themes that reflect the Indians' responses will be developed.

This study employed a phenomenology approach, specifically using in-depth interviews to explore the lived experience of Tamil Indians on the marginalization in Malaysia during Mahathir Mohamad's leadership as the fourth Prime Minister. The founding principle of phenomenological inquiry is that experience should be examined in the way that it occurs, and it involves careful examination of human experience (Husserl & Welton, 1999). Despite many arguments about the usage of phenomenology, phenomenology is said to be suitable for examining peoples' lived experiences from an individual perspective (van Manen, 1990). This study posited that the qualitative method enables researchers to capture the complexity of leadership and follower dynamics, including the cultural context (Creswell, 2014). Furthermore, qualitative research is a dynamic method focused on getting an insight into the meaning of a phenomenon (Creswell & Poth, 2017). This research involved 23 informants, ranging from political leaders, NGOs, academics, media organisations and youths. The informants were selected using purposive sampling based on the recommendation by Patton (2015). This is consistent with the suggestion of Neuman (2006), who indicated that purposive sampling should be used in exploratory research to select cases that will better contribute information to the research study, generating more insights into the problem statement and the research question. The informants were selected using purposive sampling based on recommendation by Patton (2002). There are two main reasons to select 23 informants. Firstly, it is based on the suggestion of data collection method. According to Neuman (2006), purposive sampling is used in exploratory research to select cases that will better inform the research study, enabling more insightful linking of the problem statement and research question. He added that the maximum purposive sampling should be selected from the qualified pool of candidates is 20. Besides that, Polkinghorne (2005) recommended that a researcher should interview 5 to 25 individuals who have experienced a phenomena and Creswell (2013) also suggested 5 to 25 informants. Interviewees were selected to ensure the pool of researchers had diversity in terms of arguments and detailed explanation from the respondents. This is to ensure the researcher able to analysis the data and develop relevant themes. Purposive sampling also allows the study to elicit information from rich findings (Patton, 2002).

Semi-structured interviews were used for textual data and organised into themes. To ensure that the interviews yielded the depth of information required for the study, interview questions were open-ended, which provided an opportunity for informants to share their responses and perceptions on the issue. Informants were offered the opportunity to add additional information about the research topic that

was not directly solicited (Creswell, 2013). As the data drives the information structure in qualitative research, the form and order of the interview questions were specific to the study phenomenon (Polkinghorne, 2005). The information about the informants' backgrounds is available in Table 1.

Table 1: Informants' Background Information

Informants	Type of organization	Role	Age	Working experience	Religion
1(R1)	NGO	President of NGO	44	More than 20 years of experience	Hindu
2 (R2)	NGO	CEO of NGO	43	More than 20 years of experience	Hindu
3 (R3)	NGO	CEO of NGO	45	More than 20 years of experience	Hindu
4(R4)	Political Party	Political leader	50	More than 25 years of experience	Hindu
5 (R5)	Political Party	Political leader	52	More than 25 years of experience	Hindu
6 (R6)	NGO	Founder of NGO	50	More than 25 years of experience	Hindu
7 (R7)	Institution of Higher Learning	Academic with management position	47	More than 25 years of experience	Hindu
8 (R8)	Business	Businessman	49	More than 25 years of experience	Hindu
9 (R9)	Religious	Chairperson of a temple committee & temple priest	55	More than 30 years of experience	Hindu
10 (R10)	Politics	Political leader	53	More than 30 years of experience	Hindu
11 (R11)	Media	Writer	48	More than 30 years of experience	Hindu
12 (R12)	Institution of Higher Learning	Academic with management position	46	More than 25 years of experience	Hindu

13 (R13)	Business	Businessman	46	More than 25 years of experience	Hindu
14 (R14)	Religious	General Secretary of a Hindu religious organisation in Malaysia & Temple Priest	47	More than 25 years of experience	Hindu
15 (R15)	Political party	A member of parliament and state assemblyman	54	More than 30 years of experience	Hindu
16 (R16)	Political party	President of a political party	56	More than 30 years of experience	Hindu
17 (R17)	Political party	A member of parliament	53	More than 30 years of experience	Hindu
18 (R18)	NGO	Chairperson of a Hindu organisation	53	More than 30 years of experience	Hindu
19 (R19)	NGO	Chairperson of a Hindu organisation	54	More than 30 years of experience	Hindu
20 (R20)	Working in a private organization	Youth	24	At the beginning of career	Hindu
21 (R21)	NGO	CEO of NGO	43	More than 20 years of experience	Hindu
22 (R22)	Academic	A researcher who is active in ethnic-based studies	52	More than 25 years of experience	Hindu
23 (R23)	Writer	Youth	26	Active in Indians-based NGO activities	Hindu

Notes: NGO = Non-governmental organisation

The interview provides researchers with rich and detailed qualitative data for understanding informants' experiences, how they describe those experiences and the meaning they make of those experiences (Rubin & Rubin, 2012). Based on the recommendation of Castillo-Montoya (2016), to ensure the reliability and validity of the interview protocol developed, the researcher of the current study adopted the interview protocol refinement (IPR) framework which includes a four-phase process to develop and fine-tune interview protocol. The collected

data were thematically analysed. The data were analysed using NVIVO 12 version software.

The research question derived is as follows:

What is the lived experience of the Tamil Indian community as a minority in the multicultural society of Malaysia?

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Based on thematic analysis, the Malaysian Tamils lived experience was developed (Figure 1).
Based on thematic analysis, two themes were developed which are the responses toward marginalization and the aspiration of Malaysian Tamils. Each of the themes is explained in turn.


Figure 1: Malaysian Tamils Lived Experience

Theme 1: Responses towards marginalization issues

Most of the informants agreed that marginalization has greatly affected the Malaysian Tamils' social and economic development and attributed these drawbacks to the policies implemented by the Malaysian government.

Biased practices

Informants 3 and 12 indicated that Mahathir's leadership led to the marginalization of the Malaysian Tamils which is evident in their responses. Consistently, informant 3 said, "the leaders in Malaysia are more concerned about the *bumiputra* agenda and it has affected the other communities, especially the Malaysian Tamils. They are very committed and determine to bring up the *bumiputra* community", while Informant 12 explained,

> No, Mahathir's leadership was an era where Islamic principles, values, and policies were implemented. The developments of the Bumiputeras were emphasized. Malaysian Tamils were marginalized, and a lot of double-standard practices could be seen. I would say the policies and modernization process by Mahathir have caused a lot of social issues among Tamils such as gangsterism. For example, the displacement of Malaysian Tamils from the estate. When they were displaced, they came to urban areas, but they have no source of income, job, etc. They were helpless and involved in gangsterism and more. Education-wise, during Mahathir's era, the merit system replaced the quota system. The merit system itself is biased as it doesn't serve all the same. If a Bumiputera student gets a CGPA of 3.0, he or she can enter University Malaya however, Malaysian Tamil students must obtain more than 3.0 to enter Universiti Malaya and it resulted in the number of Indian students' intake to University dropping to 4%-5%.

Informant 23 indicated that the policies introduced by Mahathir favoured the Malays and it was clear in the following excerpt:

> His policies were aimed to uplift the Malay community. I would say that Mahathir has managed to uplift the Malay community, especially in economic status. Recent statistics show that 42 per cent of GLC companies' shares are controlled by the Malays. That shows the economic status of Malays. Who led them to this situation? It was Mahathir. (Informant 23)

Estate fragmentation

On estate fragmentation, Informants 8 and 10 further explained:

> The estates were fragmented, they lost their homes, shelter, and the estate which was their security. The Tamils had become a landless community. During the colonial era, the British promised them land, but they didn't get it. The Malaysian Tamils not only have become landless but also jobless and helpless. The Malaysian Tamil youths have become a part of this hopeless community and become gangsters with and without choice. The real danger posts as second-generation gangsterism. (Informant 8)

> The government has fragmented the estates without offering proper compensation or benefits to the Malaysian Tamil such as including them in the housing or businesses of the urbanization projects. Many Malaysian Tamils did buy houses in these new residential areas that sat on their estates, however, they ended up bankrupt as they were not able to keep up with the rising cost of living. They lost their homes, again. This happened because the leaders didn't give the Tamils options that were practical. There were no prospects. (Informant 10)

According to informant 21:

> He contributed to the marginalization of Malaysian Tamils, indigenous communities and rural Malays through his policies. During Mahathir's time, industrialization and development were rapid and the plantation lands or estates were taken away and replaced. Malaysian Tamils lost their lands and were relocated without proper necessities. They ended up as urban pioneers. The majority of those Malaysian Tamils became gangsters today. Most Malaysian Tamils suffered without basic needs. (Informant 21)

Lack of opportunities

Informant 10 also highlighted the disintegration of the Tamils as one of the impacts of Mahathir's policies.

> He had fragmented the estates. The government didn't give much opportunity to the Tamils. Estates were fragmented without proper benefits given to the Malaysian Tamils such as temples and houses. Then the Malaysian Tamils bought houses in residential areas but couldn't afford to pay and ended up being bankrupt. This happened because Mahathir as a leader didn't give the Malaysian Tamils better options. He didn't show better prospects for them. (Informant 10)

Despite that, informants 9, 20 and 23 indicated that Mahathir's policies brought some developments to the Indian community in Malaysia but on a small scale. They expressed that Mahathir Mohamad communicate his plans well through his speech. As far as the Indian community is concerned, Mahathir Mohamad has helped to progress the nation with many Malaysian Tamils benefiting from his leadership. According to some informants:

> He had done something; we cannot say that he didn't do anything to the Indian community. It was partially successful. Certain policies we can accept. Sixty per cent of his policies can be accepted and 40 per cent we cannot accept because it was against our will. One is education, and the second is the privileges of the Malays that we cannot accept. For instance, the Bumiputera issue. Personal experience: he came and sit in front of me and asked me, do you all want to become a Bumiputera? I was shocked and I answered him; "why not and for your information without the Malaysian Tamils and Chinese, Malaysia would not have developed." For example, rubber plantations, railways, and highways were built by the Malaysian Tamils. Chinese were brought in to do mining work. (Informant 9)

> We must understand Mahathir's policies. During Mahathir's years, some Tamils benefited from the system. For instance, through the contract system, the Tamils received a certain percentage of profit. Mahathir made some billionaires; Indians, Chinese and Malay billionaires. So, the elites emerged. (Informant 20)

> The development of Malaysia was based on the framework that was established by Mahathir Mohamad. Mahathir built confidence among Malaysians to develop or progress. The policies that Mahathir introduced were aimed at the development of the nation. For instance, the development of KLIA and Putrajaya was Mahathir's development plan. (Informant 23)

The next section explains the findings for the second theme on the aspiration of Malaysian Tamils.

Theme 2: Aspiration of Malaysian Tamils

Based on the interview sessions that were conducted, the Malaysian Tamils' responses fell within two themes which consist of improving the overall quality of life and having an increased involvement of the government towards the betterment of the Malaysian Tamils. These are presented in the following section.

Improving the overall quality of life

The participants almost unanimously echoed that they aspired for the Malaysian Tamils to improve their overall life qualities. First, they emphasized that efforts to improve the community should start with the individual itself. Informant 2, said that "the Malaysian Tamils need to be self-motivated and shed the 'i-cannot' mentality." To succeed, the participant mentioned that individuals must work hard and not complain or depend on others. This included becoming competent in their areas of work or professions and not being dependent on government schemes.

Secondly, the informants also hoped that Malaysian Tamils would start embracing a lifelong learning attitude to secure a brighter future. They felt that young people in the community needed to improve their knowledge, skills and talents to possess a competitive adage over the other races. Informant 2 conceded that the "Indian youths are not using the internet and social media to their advantage." She opined that more Indian youths should come forward as young online entrepreneurs or internet celebrities to promote their brands and skills. The participants also felt that most Tamil youths were using technology for the wrong reasons, being absorbed in its 'useless' entertainment features and wasting precious time. Informant 8, contended that "the internet can be put to good use to overcome a lack of proficiency among the Indian youths." He explained that Indian youths needed to improve their English language skills, especially public speaking. He further commented that such soft skills would be essential at the workplace and during interview sessions.

Greater role of the government

The participants also wished for the government to be more proactive in listening and assisting youths to overcome their social obstacles and become a voice for the nation. First, they felt that the government should reduce racism and create a fair level playing field in all aspects of social life. According to informant 20, "Malaysian Tamils would only succeed and excel when opportunities are open or distributed based on equal fairness." This includes the education sector, the job market, and the media. On the other hand, the informants also hoped that the government allocate more seats for Indian students in its public institutions. This includes polytechnics, matriculation and vocational skills centres. Informant 18, reiterated that this is crucial in "providing more avenues for Indian youths to further their studies after SPM."

Secondly, the participants felt that there should be more job openings for Indian youths in the civil service, at governmental administrative centres and the Government Linked Companies. Informant 19 highlighted the effect of racism in the Malaysian job market. According to him, "the tendency of preferring

*bumiputera*s or Mandarin speakers had sidelined the Tamil youths from securing jobs, despite having better qualifications and merits."

DISCUSSION

Although there is a significant development in the Indian community in various sectors during the era of this study, policies implemented have caused issues of social exclusion among the marginalized minority of the Tamil Indians in Malaysia. The implementation of the National Economic Policy (NEP) was imbalanced and does not reflect on a multicultural, multireligious nation of Malaysia. For instance, the idea of National Economic Policy (NEP) initially incorporated national ideals of equality and justice (Chakraborty, 1996). However, in practical it contradicted the national policy it propagated (Chakraborty, 1996). Its implementation had caused two-thirds of Indians in Malaysia to remain as poor labourers and only one-fifth of Malaysian Indians reached the middle-class standing (Chakraborty, 1996).

The issue of estate worker displacement was one of the major impacts that resulted in issues of social exclusion in the said community. As evidence, in the Seventh Malaysia Plan, the rubber plantation areas were reduced drastically, which saw declines in employment in rubber plantations from 163 577 in 1979 to 13366 in 2004 (Eight Malaysia Plan, pg. 209.). This caused the displacement of more than 300 000 estate workers from 1980 to 2000 (Department of Statistics Malaysia, Handbook of Rubber Statistics, 1985, Monthly Rubber Statistics Malaysia, May 2004). Therefore, the findings suggest displacement brought negative impacts, particularly to the displaced estate workers who lost their jobs and their houses and that led to many social problems in the Indian community.
The modernization plan during Malaysia's prosperous economic progress has caused issues of marginalization, particularly among the Malaysian Tamil Indians. As identified previously, in the process of modernisation, the estate workers were relocated without any compensation and proper necessities which led to issues of exclusion within the community.

Although a few of the nation's policies at the period of this study contributed to the development of the Indian community, it was discovered that the development happened on a small scale and some Indians did not benefit from the policies, especially the lower income group. Findings from this study suggest the struggles of Indians in Malaysia as minorities before and after independence which require better or inclusive policies to improve the condition of the community.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study highlight the Malaysian Tamils' perspective on marginalization lived based on the Acculturation Model of race and ethnicity of the minority in Malaysia. Berry (1992) identified six types of changes to exist in groups going through the acculturation process which are physical (e.g., a new place to live), biological (e.g., new diseases), political (e.g., minority groups being controlled), economic (e.g., new forms of employment), cultural (e.g., alteration of religious, educational institutions or replacements imposed) and social (e.g., alteration of group communication dynamics) (Berry, 1992). This study argues that the process of acculturation is witnessed in this study through several types of changes identified above.

While the nation progressed exponentially during the 1990s, it was found that a large number of estate worker displacements that occurred have led to many social issues among the Malaysian Tamil Indians as reiterated by informants in this study. A strong and supportive ethnic community will lead to positive outcomes (Phinney et al., 2001) for a nation. Some of the key highlights which were repeatedly mentioned by informants revolved around leadership communication which is an integral part of community development. Informants from this study suggest the ideal leader is one who represents the Indian Tamil community in Malaysia. Vital characteristics of a leader include one who is not detached from the community, fulfill the promises to its people by addressing grassroot issues faced by many and able to solve problems raised by the community. Holistic and inclusive policies for all are vital towards better integration and development of a unique and complex nation such as Malaysia. This study recommends changes to policies which would address the needs of the marginalized Tamils. In addition, some Tamils perceived that the MIC has squandered the opportunities provided for them, such as the mismanagement of Maika Holdings, an investment company (Willford, 2007). Thus, an inclusive style of leadership is needed to bring social change and development to the Malaysian Tamils. If there is no substantial effort taken by those in power to care for their community, the issue of marginalization in multicultural Malaysia will continue to escalate and result in the institutional failure of the country in the long run. As Nelson

Mandela once said "Thus shall we live, because we will have created a society which recognizes that all people are born equal, with each entitled in equal measure to life, liberty, prosperity, human rights and good governance (Mandela, 1993).

REFERENCES

Arasaratnam, S. (1970). Indians in Malaysia and Singapore. Institute of Race Relations

Anbalakan, K. (2003). The new economic policy and further marginalization of the Indians. *Kajian Malaysia, 21* (1&2), 379-398.

Anbalakan, K. (2015). Expediencies and The Process of Identity Construction: The Quest for Indian Identity in Malaysia. Kajian Malaysia, 33(1), 1–18.

Appudurai, J. & Dass, D. G. A. (2008). *Malaysian Indian: Looking forward*. Strategic Information and Research Development Centre, p.35.

Beng, O. K. (2007). Malaysia: Abdullah Does it His Own Vague Way' in Daljit Singh and C Salazzar Lorraine (eds), Southeast Asian Affairs, ISEAS, Singapore.

Bhopal, M., & Rowley, C. (2005). Ethnicity as a management issue and resource: Examples from Malaysia. *Asia Pacific Business Review, 11*(4), 553-574. doi: 10.1080/13602380500135869

Bracic, A. (2022). Ethnicity and Social Exclusion. *Nationalities Papers*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1017/nps.2022.72

Castillo-Montoya, M. (2016). Preparing for interview research: The interview protocol refinement framework. *The Qualitative Report, 21*(5), 811-831. Retrieved from http://nsuworks.nova.edu/tqe/vol21/iss5/2.

Chakarborty, T. (2004). Minority under classed: Negating a sociological truism in Malaysia in Lipi Ghosh and Ramakrishna Chatterjee (eds.), *Indian diaspora in Asian and Pacific Regions: Culture, people, interactions* (195-232)*.* Rawat Publications.

Chakraary, S. P & Roslan, A. H. (2005). Ethnic Nationalism and Income Distribution in Malaysia. The European Journal of Development Research, 17(2).

Chakraborty, T. (1996). The New Economic Policy of Malaysia: Its impact on the Malaysian Indians, Jadavpur. *Journal of International Relations, 2*. Jadavpur University.

Clark, M., & Stenson. M. (1983). Class, Race, and Colonialism in West Malaysia: The Indian

Case. Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press. 1980. Pp. xii, 234, *The American Historical Review*, 88 (5), December 1983, Page 1311. https://doi.org/10.1086/ahr/88.5.1311

Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research design, qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approach. Sage

Creswell, J. and Poth, C. (2017). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design*: *Choosing among Five Approaches*. Sage

Day, J. (2021, June 14). *Social Exclusion: Definition, Impact, Examples, Future Opportunities*. Liberties. https://www.liberties.eu/en/stories/social-exclusion/43579

Gudykunst, W. B. & Nishida, T. (2001). Anxiety, uncertainty, and perceived effectiveness of communication across relationships and cultures. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 25*(1), 55-71

Hassan, S. (2005). *Pluralism and national identity in Malaysia.* Keynote address delivered at the Asia–Pacific Conference on Moral, Civic and Citizenship Education: New Vision, New Realities. University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur

Jackson, T. (2004). *Management and change in Africa: A cross-cultural perspective*, Routledge.

Karmveer Singh. (2013). *Challenges to the rights of Malaysians of Indian descent.* Retrieved from http://www.e-ir.info/about/

Key, W., & Culliney, M. (2018). The oldest old and the risk of social exclusion. In *Social Policy and Society*, 17(1), pp. 47–63. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474746416000518

Khoo, B. T. (1995)*. Paradoxes of Mahathirism: An intellectual biography of Mahathir Mohamad*: Oxford University Press.

Kuppuswamy, C. S. (2010) 'Malaysia: Whither the Indians?', IPCS Paper No.4212, 7 December 2010, [Online:web] Accessed on 30 April 2012, URL:http://www.southasiaanalysis.org/%5Cpapers43%5Cpaper4212.html

Lal, V. (2006). Multiculturalism at risk: The Indian minority in Malaysia. *Economic and political weekly, 41*(35), 3764–3765

Mahathir, M., & Ishihara, S. (1995). *The voice of Asia: Two leaders discuss the coming century*. Kodansha International.

Malaysia (2001b). Eighth Malaysia Plan 20012005, Kuala Lumpur: Percetakan Nasional Malaysia Bhd.

Malaysia (2003). MidTerm Review of the Eighth Malaysia Plan 20012005, Kuala Lumpur: Percetakan Nasional Malaysia Bhd.

Mandela, N. (1993, December 10). *Nobel Award Ceremony Speech [Mandela]- 12/10/93*. University of Pennsylvania. https://www.africa.upenn.edu/Articles_Gen/Nobel_Award_15212.html

Naisbitt, J. (1997). *Megatrend Asia: The eight Asian megatrends that are changing the world*.

Nicholas Brealey.

Nair, S. (2003). Fostering National Unity and Enhancing Ethnic Relations in Malaysia: The Role of Development Policies, paper presented at the International Conference on Rethinking Ethnicity and Nation Building: Malaysia, Sri Lanka and Fiji in Comparative Perspective, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Feb 2628.

Rajagopal, S., & Fernando, J. M. (2018). The Malayan Indian congress and early political rivalry among Indian organizations in Malaya, 1946–1950. *Kajian Malaysia*, *36*(1), 25–42. \https://doi.org/10.21315/km2018.36.1.2

Raman, J. (2009). *The Malaysian Indian dilemma*. Kuala Lumpur: Nationwide Human Development and Research Centre

Ramachandran, S. & Shanmugam, B. (1995). Plight of Plantation Workers in Malaysia: Defeated by Definitions Author(s). Asian Survey, 35(4), pp. 394-407. University of California Press Stable URL: https://www.jstor.org/stable/2645803

Renström, E. A., Bäck, H., & Knapton, H. M. (2020). Exploring a pathway to radicalization: The effects of social exclusion and rejection sensitivity. *Group*

Processes and Intergroup Relations, *23*(8), 1204–1229.
https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430220917215

Rubin, H. J., & Rubin, I. S. (2012). *Qualitative interviewing: The art of hearing data* (3rd. ed.). Sage

Sandhu, K. S. (2010). Indians in Malaya: Some Aspects of Their Immigration and Settlement (1786-1957). Cambridge University Press

Selvakumaran, R. (1994). Indian Plantation Labour in Malaysia. S. Abdul Majeed & Co.

Şahin, T. (2009). Social Exclusion and Poverty Relationship. (Unpublished Social Assistance Thesis). T. C. Prime Ministry General Directorate of Social Assistance and Solidarity, Ankara.

Sikri, V. (2013). *India and Malaysia*. Singapore: ISEAS Publishing.

Taher, T. (2005). *Outstanding leadership of Mahathir*. Institut Pemikiran Tun Dr. Mahathir Mohamad.

Teo, S. A. (2021). Marginalized Minorities in Malaysia? A Case Study of a Demolished Estate Hindu Temple in Penang. *Austrian Journal of South-East Asian Studies*, *14*(1), 81–98. https://doi.org/10.14764/10.ASEAS-0053

Teo, S. A. (2018). Demolition and Relocation of Hindu temples in Malaysia: Pragmatic Responses of Indian Hindu communities in Penang. Thesis for: PhD in Religious Studies. Victoria University of Wellington. http://hdl.handle.net/10063/7808

Willford, A. C. (2007). *Cage of Freedom: Tamil Identity and the Ethnic Fetish in Malaysia*. National University of Singapore Press.

Willford, A. C. (2014). Tamils and the Haunting of Justice: History and Recognition in Malaysia's Plantations. National University of Singapore Press.