



**Manuscript Title:** Exploring Family Financial Socialization Activities in Malaysia

**Authors:** Yiing Jia Loke

**Accepted Date:** 13-September-2023

**Please cite this article as:** Yiing Jia Loke. Exploring family financial socialization activities in Malaysia. *Kajian Malaysia* (Early view).

This is a provisional PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article.

## **EXPLORING FAMILY FINANCIAL SOCIALIZATION ACTIVITIES IN MALAYSIA**

**Yiing Jia Loke**

School of Social Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Pulau Pinang, MALAYSIA

Email: yjloke@usm.my

### **ABSTRACT**

*Parents play a pivotal role as the first financial educators for children through family financial socialization. The positive effects of family financial socialization on young adults' financial capabilities are well established in many studies. Given the importance of family financial socialization, less is known about the factors that shape and determine the ways and the extent family financial socialization is carried out in various families. The main purpose of this paper is to explore the association of various factors such as parents' characteristics, family demographics, and parents' financial experience on the types, and extent of family financial socialization activities that take place in Malaysian families. Financial experiential learning, financial discussion, and demonstration of financial activities are the three family financial socialization activities explored in this study. Using a sample study from Penang, it is found that parents' socio-economic status, age, family demographics, and parents' financial sophistication and parents' financial-marital strain have significant associations and effects on types of family financial socialization activities that take place in Malaysian homes.*

**Keywords:** Financial discussion; Financial experiential learning; Financial role-modelling; Parental socialization; Parent-child relationship

### **INTRODUCTION**

The significant role of parents in financially socializing their children and the importance of family financial socialization on children's future financial well-being is well established in the literature. As children's early years are spent closely with parents and since parents are in control of the children's economic resources, parents inevitably will exert influence on the financial attitudes and behaviours of children either through direct and intentional ways or implicitly (Grusec and Davidov, 2007; Gudmunson and Danes, 2011). Robertson-Rose (2019) has shown that the imprint effects of family financial socialization remain

© Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia, 2023. This work is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

significant even after the child has left home. Acquisition of financial knowledge, values and attitudes from parents will persist into adulthood.

In the OECD (2020), across the twenty-six sampled countries, Malaysia ranked second lowest in terms of financial knowledge score (3.7) compared to the average score of 4.4 among the participating countries. Furthermore, while the average financial attitude score among the twenty-six participating countries is 3.0, Malaysia's financial attitude score is 2.7. In addition, the introduction of easy credit access through "Buy Now Pay Later (BNPL)" scheme has resulted in many young Malaysians spending beyond their means. This is particularly of concern as it is reported that two in five youths aged between 18 to 30 years old are "Buy Now Pay Later (BNPL)" users and four out of five BNPL users earn less than RM3,000. Additionally, the share BNPL users who make up the overdue payment have risen to 17% in the second half of 2022 (Bank Negara Malaysia, 2022). Credit Counselling and Debt Management Agency (AKPK) (2023) have also highlighted that as of December 2022, 41.8 percent of those aged between 30 and 40 are facing financial difficulties due to their lack of knowledge in financial management. These current statistics highlight the importance of financial education. Therefore, it is not surprising to see that thirty nine higher learning institutions has begun offering of Personal Financial Management credit module and there are plans to make it compulsory nationwide (AKPK, 2023).

Undeniably, cognitive financial knowledge can be acquired through the attendance of various financial education programs and workshops but, financial values cannot be taught in a one-off formal setting. Financial values and attitude must be nurtured and instilled from a young age just like moral values. Furthermore, healthy, and positive financial behaviour must be repeatedly demonstrated for better reinforcement and as such family financial socialization plays an important role. This is rightfully pointed out by Campenhout (2015) that the pivotal role of parents in financial socialization emerges spontaneously and it is often been neglected in the financial literacy framework despite the well-established significance of family financial socialization in children's financial education.

Parents financially socialize with their children in various form such as rules as a monitoring mechanism, discussions, role-modelling, financial demonstration, and financial experiential learning. Parents may interact with their children on financial matters in distinct ways and some of these ways are carried out purposively while others are quite discreetly or implicitly. Zhu (2019) found that parental teaching, whether intentional or otherwise, effectively establishes confidence, self-control and inspires children to imitate their parents' behaviours. Similarly, all the participants in Robertson-Rose's (2019) study acknowledged

the role their parents played in the development of their financial attitudes. Zhao and Zhang (2020) pointed out that parental financial socialization has stronger influences on financial skills and financial self-efficacy than financial knowledge and this corroborates with Shim and Serido (2011) who also found that parental influence is 1.5 times greater than financial education and doubled that of peers' influence.

In Malaysia, Sabri and Falahati (2012) found that Malaysian parents who showed good financial habits at home will enhance children's financial skills. This is the outcome of modelling and observation. In a later study, Mohamed (2017) found that parents play a more important role as agent of socialization than peers in children's understanding of the financial world. In a more recent study, Hafizah, Ong and Chen (2020) also highlighted that family's financial attitude played the most important role in tertiary students' financial attitude.

As summarized above, the merits of family financial socialization are undisputable. Given the importance of family financial socialization in shaping children's and young adults' financial capabilities and laying the foundation for financial knowledge, it is equally important to understand the factors that significantly affect and are associated with the way parents financially socialize with their children. This paper intends to fill the gap by exploring the factors that play a role on the way parents financially socialize with their children. Moreover, as family financial socialization can take different forms, some factors may enhance while some factors may restrain certain types of family financial socialization activities. Such scope of study is given less attention in the literature including in Malaysia.

Using the data from Malaysia, this study explores the types of family financial socialization activities among Malaysian families and investigates the association between various family financial socialization activities and the parents' socio-economic characteristics, family characteristics and parents' financial experience. Like parenting, parental financial socialization is not easy. The findings could shed insights on understanding the relationship between family and parents' characteristics and type of family financial socialization activities. This could be helpful for financial educators or parenting counsellors when educating parents on ways towards effective financial socialization at home with their children.

## **INSIGHTS FROM THE LITERATURE**

There are plenty of past studies on the effects of family financial socialization activities on children and young adult financial behaviour. As mentioned, the positive effects of parents' role as financial socialization agent on children's and

young adults' financial behaviour and financial well-being are irrefutable. According to the Gudmunson and Danes' (2011) conceptual framework for family financial socialization, financial well-being, financial behaviour and financial knowledge, attitudes and capabilities are categorised as financial socialization outcomes. Furthermore, the conceptual framework has also highlighted that personal characteristics and family characteristics can affect family interaction and relationships and purposive financial socialization in the family. This follows from Danes and Morris (1989) who have highlighted that personal and family characteristics could play a role either in enhancing or restraining family financial socialization processes. Danes and Yang (2014) further explained that, personal and family characteristics in family financial socialization are considered as predictors of family socialization process rather than control variables as these variables can explain differences in socialization patterns. Hence, there is a need to understand the underlying factors such as parents' characteristics, family demographics and dynamics in the family that are likely to affect the types and extent of family financial socialization activities that take place. However, as there are limited studies that investigate and analyse these relationships, the insights for this study are drawn from studies related to financial capability and parenting where studies on the relationship between parents' characteristics, family characteristics on family financial socialization are not available.

Studies have found that children from lower socio-economic status family are less likely to experience financial learning opportunities compared to those from higher socio-economic status (Friedline and Rauktis 2014; Kim, LaTaillade, and Kim 2011). For example, Serido et al, (2020) pointed out that those who are less educated and in lower income households, are more likely to have no bank account. As a result, these parents who are less educated or is a low-income earner, would be less likely to save, use less variety of financial services and products and may also conduct fewer financial activities. This supports Luhr (2018) who highlighted that parents from higher social class are more likely to be proactive and confident in financially socializing their children. Additionally, Zhao and Zhang (2020) highlighted the importance of parents' education in determining parents' financial capability while, Engels et al, (2020) emphasized that parents' education is influential in family financial socialization. In short, parents' capability which is influenced by their socio-economic status is considered as the foundation for family financial socialization process.

Many studies have shown that cultural and ethnic differences have significant effects on individual's financial capability and financial behaviour. In the recent studies drawn from Malaysian data, Mokhtar, Sabri and Ho (2020), Mokhtar et al. (2018), Alidaniah (2015), Loke (2017), Yusof (2015) among others found that Chinese possessed higher financial literacy and have better financial capability

that the other ethnicities. In other recent studies elsewhere, significant differences in terms of financial capability, financial literacy and behaviour were found between the Whites and other ethnic minorities such as Hispanics, Blacks and Asian immigrants (Kim and Xiao, 2020; Al-Bahrani, Weathers and Patel, 2019; Dewees and Mottola, 2017; Lusardi and Mitchell, 2014). However, ethnicity differences on financial parenting are less explored. Serido et al., (2020) found no significant ethnic effects on financial parenting while an earlier study by Dow (2016) found that non-white mothers are more likely to instil the importance of strong financial values in their children.

Other than socio-economic factors and ethnicity, different age groups exhibit different levels of financial capabilities. Generally, it is found that financial capabilities increase with age as individuals grow with their financial experiences and acquired knowledge (Mokhtar, Sabri and Ho 2020; Lowies et al. 2019; Henager and Cude 2016; Xiao, Chen and Sun 2015; Agarwal et al. 2009). Furthermore, as parents of different age groups will use parenting resources differently, it is expected that parental age may affect the way parents financially socialize with their children.

Beutler and Dickson (2008) have pointed out that children's grasp of economic concept progresses as they grow. As a result, the financial socialization process will evolve over various phases as the children grow or as the family demographic structure changes. The evolution of children's knowledge and grasp of economic concepts is evident as Thaichon (2017) found that there exists difference in the Internet shopping behaviours of children between aged 8 to 11 years old and those aged 12 to 15 years old. Drever et al., (2015) pointed out that due to the differences in neurological growth and role of executive function in children of different age groups, the focus of financial socialization process is different too. For example, for children pre-elementary age, their ability to delay gratification is enhanced through frequent practices while for children of elementary and middle school stage, financial modelling in terms of saving, financial planning and frugality and financial communication becomes a central focus. In the case of adolescents and young adults, Drever et al., (2015) recommends that more opportunities should be given for children to make financial decisions through experiential learning.

Apart from personal and family characteristics, the relationship between parents and children play an important role too in family financial socialization. Drever et al., (2015), Gudmunson and Danes (2011) and Kuczynski and Parkin (2007) among others have pointed out that the quality of interpersonal relationships in the family is crucial for successful family financial socialization process to develop. Similarly, Xiao, Chen and Sun (2011) also highlighted that trust and the rapport between parent and children can increase the positive effects of parental

financial socialization. Laible and Thompson (2007) confirmed that the existence of a warm-parent child relationship would make it easier for parents to financially socialize with their children and reciprocally, children will be more receptive to their parent's financial advice. Hanson and Olson (2018) added that young adults who grow up in a family strong conversation orientation possess higher financial knowledge than one who grows up in a conformity family environment. Hence, it is expected that quality and warmth interaction between parents and child may also affect the types of financial socialization activities that take place at home.

As financial socialization requires parents to talk, discuss and model activities related to money, parent must be comfortable with the subject on money. Generally, there appears to be a veil of secrecy on the subject of money, and it is not surprising that many are not comfortable to talk about money openly and this includes parents (Atwood, 2012). In an earlier study, Furnham (2001) has revealed that while parents agree the importance of discussing the subject of money with children, many parents expressed uncertainty on whether to talk about financial issues such as income, family budget and purchasing decisions with their children. Romo (2011) explained that parents do not want to burden their children with family's financial matters and discussion on money is on need basis only. In other studies, Almsgeest (2014) and Romo (2014), found that parents do not openly talk about issues such as amount of income earned, debts or financial difficulties to their children. Almsgeest (2014) explained that one of the reasons is that money is considered a taboo topic as it is strongly linked to self-esteem and measurement of success. Some parents keep money as a private issue just as how they were raised while some parents breakaway from the secrecy of money experience which they grew up with and choose to be more open with their children on financial issues (Romo 2011). The latter do so because they believed that it is beneficial for their children to understand about money through them. Hence, whether parents perceive money as taboo or otherwise, may influence types and level of financial socialization activities in the family.

According to Conger, Conger and Martin (2010), financial strain experienced by parents influences the development of children. Furthermore, the psychological distress caused by financial stress have adverse effects on parental relationship and may cause problems in parenting. This is supported by Ponnet et al, (2013) who found that financial stress can affect inter-parental conflict and similarly, Rusu et al. (2017) have also found that economic strain can affect marital relationship and instability. Such conflict between parents may affect the financial socialization style and activities that take place in the family.

The insights drawn from literature discussed above provide the scope for the analysis of this paper on the relationship between parents' characteristics, family

structure and parent's financial experience and family financial socialization activities.

## **METHODOLOGY**

### **Data**

The data used in this study was obtained from a survey carried out in Penang, which is one of the 13 states in Malaysia. Due to budget and time constraint, the study was carried out in only a single state in Malaysia. According to Department of Statistics (2019), Penang's mean monthly household income (RM7,774) and median monthly household income (RM6,619) approximate the national household mean income (RM7,901) and median monthly household income (RM5,873). Furthermore, the household size in Penang (3.6 persons) approximates the national's household size (4.1 persons) in 2019 while the average number of persons in a household who receives income in Penang is the same as the national statistics, which is 1.8 person. Therefore, to an extent, Penang can be considered as a representative of an average household in Malaysia.

The data collection was carried out through face-to-face interview with willing respondents using a structured questionnaire between October 2019 to January 2020. Respondents were solicited from various public places including commercial areas and participation is fully voluntary. Consent is obtained prior to the interview. As Malaysia is a multi-ethnic country made up of three main ethnicities namely Malay, Chinese and Indians, the sample was stratified according to the population ethnicity breakdown of these three major ethnic groups in the state of Penang. Given that there are 448,700 households in Penang and with a 5% margin of error, the minimum sample size required is 400. However, the total sample size of this study is 504 and this meets the required minimum sample size. Each interview took approximately 15-20 minutes. An approval of the Human Research Ethics Committee was obtained before the commencement of data collection.

Targeted respondents were parents with school going children aged from 7 years old to 18 years old. The age range was set at 7 to 18 years old as this is the age where Malaysian children start formal school (Standard One) right up to the age they finish high school (Form Five). A father or a mother could participate in the survey, but the respondent must be staying with the children.



### **Dependent Variable: Types of Family Financial Socialization Activities**

Family financial socialization can take various forms. In this study, the type of activities is divided into two broad categories depending on whether the children or the parents play active role. In the case where children play the active role, the activity is financial experiential learning while in the case where parents play the active role, then the activities would include financial topical discussions and demonstration of financial activities by parents. Although in financial experiential learning, children will play an active role, the decision on the nature and extent of financial experiential learning that children are exposed to is still in the hands of the parents. In summary, three types of family financial socialization activities are explored in this study: i) Financial experiential learning, ii) Financial topical discussions, and iii) Demonstration of financial activities.

#### **a) Financial experiential learning**

In financial experiential learning, the study considers the way in which parents allow their children handle pocket money and gift money. Pocket money are considered regular allowances that school going children receives while gift money is money that are received by children not regularly and it is often received during festive seasons, birthdays or special occasions such as good achievement of academic performance and others. Another difference between pocket money and gift money is the amount of the former is decided by parents and comes from parent's financial resources while the amount of gift money which can be gifted by other family members besides the parents. As such, the amount is beyond the decision of the parents and do not directly come from parent's financial resources in the case where gift money is given by other family members or family friends.

The management of the pocket money and gift money (children's money, hereafter) is divided into 3 categories. The first category refers to the case where, parents who do not give regular pocket money and the receipt of gift money are handed over entirely for parents keeping either in children's bank account or for parents own usage (no financial experiential learning). The third category refers to parents who give children regular pocket money and allow children to keep the gift money partially or the full amount for their own spending (full financial experiential learning). The second category refers to parents who either give regular pocket money or allow children to keep the gift money they received for their own spending but not both (partial financial experiential learning).

**b) Financial topical discussions**

Parents could also financially socialize with their children through financial topical discussions. From a list of financial topics, parents are asked to select the topics that they have discussed with their children. In this study, the financial topical discussions are divided into three categories. The first category refers to parents who did not discuss any financial topics with their children (no discussion). The second category refers to parents had discussed only basic financial topics and issues with their children (basic discussions). The topics include managing allowances, savings, spending within means and budgeting. The third category includes parents who had discussed both basic and advanced financial topics with their children (basic and advanced discussions). The advanced topics relates to investment, loans and credits, payment cards and bills and bills payments.

**c) Demonstration of financial activities**

Apart from communication and discussion, parents can also financially socialize with their children through demonstration of financial activities. Usually, these are done implicitly during family outing activities. This could also be an influential way for parents to teach their children on financial matters especially if these activities are frequently and repetitively observed. In this study, the demonstration of financial activities includes activities by parents to describe differences between needs and wants during shopping trips, comparing items to purchase during grocery shopping, explaining why the child cannot have certain items that they ask for and practising change calculations during payments in restaurants or shops. These activities are classified according to the frequency at which parents carry out these activities. The frequency is divided into three categories: rarely, occasionally and frequently.

**Explanatory Variables**

The explanatory variables are divided into three categories namely parents' socio-economic characteristics, the family characteristics and parent's financial experience. The socio-economic characteristics taken into consideration are parents' age, ethnicity, income, and education. Income is divided into three categories with household monthly income less than RM4,360 are classified as low income while those with household monthly income more than RM9,620 are classified as high income. On the other hand, family characteristics include eldest child's age and quality of parent-child relationship. As each family have different age breakdown for their children, only the eldest child's age is considered. This is used to represent family demographics as in whether a family

is a young family or more matured family. The eldest child's age is divided into four categories with those aged 7 to 9 years old as the youngest age category and those above 18 years old as the oldest age category. For parent-child relationship, parents were asked on the frequency that their children talk to them easily about school and friends, the family having open chat and discussions, parents showing physical affection and parent and child spending time doing activities that the children enjoy. The frequency is divided into three categories: rarely, occasionally, and frequently.

Parent's financial experience factors that are considered are parents' experience with financial products, whether parents exhibit money taboo and whether parents frequently quarrel regarding finances in front of their children. Parents' financial sophistication is proxied by whether parents have investment experience such as holds mutual funds, stocks, and investment in real estates. To capture whether parents exhibit money taboo, parents were asked if they tell or will tell children of their income, loans, family financial difficulties and the amount they spend on items they purchased when children ask them about those purchases.

### **Methods of Analysis**

A bivariate analysis through chi square statistics is first used to examine the relationship between the explanatory variables consisting of the parents' characteristics, family characteristics and parents' financial experience and of the type of financial socialization activities. This is followed by a multivariate analysis using logistic regression model to determine the factors that are influential in parents having strong or active family financial socialization practices.

For the logit model, as the dependent variable is a binary variable whereby, the three types of family financial socialization activities are combined and grouped into two categories namely strong family financial socialization practices or otherwise. Strong family financial socialization practices is defined as: i) parents who gives regular pocket money and allow children to have access to gift money either partially or full amount and have conducted both basic and advanced financial discussions with their children or ii) parents who gives regular pocket money and allow children to have access to gift money either partially or full amount and conduct financial demonstration activities with their children frequently. In other words, where children are given regular pocket money and have access to gift money regardless of partial or full access should be present in the case of strong family financial socialization practices.

Table 1 outlines the classification of the dependent variable based on the combinations of the various family financial socialization activities. Full or

partial financial experiential learning must be present in combination with either or both financial discussion (basic and advance) and financial demonstration activities (frequent). Of the total sample of 504 parents, 276(54.8%) exhibit strong family financial socialization activities whereby, 48 of them conduct all the three financial socialization activities namely, financial experiential learning, have basic and advanced topical financial discussions and frequently engage in financial demonstration activities with their children. 29 of them provide financial experiential learning and have basic and advanced topical financial discussions while 199 of them provide financial experiential learning and engage in financial demonstration activities. There are 194 who provide full or partial financial experiential learning but do not have basic and advanced topical financial discussions and do not engage in any financial demonstration activities. As such, it is classified as not having strong family financial socialization activities (dependent variable is coded as 0).

## **DATA ANALYSIS**

As the main objective of the study is to explore financial socialization activities among Malaysian families, the bivariate analysis results will be discussed first and then followed by the logit analysis results.

### **Analysis On Financial Experiential Learning**

Table 2 presents the breakdown of the parent's and family characteristics and parent's financial experience and the nature of experiential learning that parents extend to their children in terms of handling of pocket money and gift money. Of the total sample of 504 parents, only 6.75% parents who do not extend financial experiential learning to their children. The majority (65.48%) give their children regular pocket money and allow children to access gift money for their own spending. In the bivariate analysis, it is found that age, income, and parents' education have significant relationship with the nature of financial experiential learning that is extended to children.

Majority of the parents in this study belong to the 40 to 49 years old age group, followed by those aged between 30 to 39 years old. Between these two age groups, the older age group (40-49 years old) make up the majority of those who will provide regular pocket money and gift money for children to experience financial transactions and management. On the other hand, almost half of those who do not allow children any financial experiential learning are those who are between 30 to 39 years old. Among low-income parents, majority of them extend partial financial learning experience while parents in the middle-income group, majority of them do not give regular pocket money and do not allow

children to access gift money. On the other hand, for high income parents, the majority of them give regular pocket money and allow children access to gift money. In terms of education, higher educated parents are more resistant towards extending financial experiential learning to their children whereby it is noted that among tertiary educated parents, the majority of them do not extend any financial experiential learning to their children.

The family demographics in terms of age of eldest child is found to have significant association on extent of financial experiential learning. It is evident that families where eldest child is older such as those 12 years old and above are more likely to give regular pocket money and allow access to gift money for children's own spending. On the contrary, parents whose eldest child is below 12 years old make up a larger fraction of those who do not extend any financial experiential learning to their children.

Parents who had experience with investment products such as bonds, stocks and mutual funds are more likely to extend financial experiential learning to their children as they make up over 70% of those who do so. There is also a significant association between parents who have financial quarrels and the extent of financial experiential learning. Parents who frequently quarrel with their spouse on financial issues in front of their children are less likely to extend full financial experiential learning to their children.

Overall, parents' age, income and education, family demographic, parents' experience with investment financial products and parents' financial quarrel have significant association with the extent of financial experiential learning. Ethnicity, frequency of parent-child interaction, and money taboo were found to have no significant association with extent of financial experiential learning.

### **Analysis On Financial Discussions**

Table 3 presents the breakdown of the parent's and family characteristics and parent's financial experience and the types of financial discussions that parents have with their children. Of the total sample of 504 parents, only a small percentage of 15.87% parents who have discussed both basic and advanced financial topics with their children. The majority (55.16%) have at least discussed basic topics such as savings, spending within means and budgeting with their children. However, 28.97% of parents had not carried out any financial discussions with their children. Similar to financial experiential learning, it is found that age, income and parents' education have significant relationship with the types of financial discussions that parents conduct with their children. There is no association between ethnicity and financial discussions. Generally, parents aged 40 years old and above make up approximately 80% of parents who have carried out both advanced and basic financial discussions with

their children while those aged below 40 years old account for almost half of those who have not conducted any financial topical discussions with their children. Parents of the higher social economic status both in terms of income and education were found to have had advanced financial discussions with their children.

There is a significant association between the eldest age and financial discussions. Parents with eldest child aged 12 years old and above are more likely to have had advanced financial discussion with their children while those whose eldest child are younger than 12 years old, many of them have not had any financial discussions with their children. Like financial experiential learning, frequency of parent child interaction does not have significant association with financial discussion.

In terms of parents' financial experience, only parents' experience with investment products was found to have association with financial discussions. It is not surprising that parents who hold investment financial products are more likely to have had both basic and advanced financial discussion topics with their children. They account for 66.25% of those who have done so. On the other hand, it is interesting to note that parents who exhibited money taboo were found to be more likely to have discussed basic and advanced financial topics with their children than not having discussed any financial topics with their children.

#### **Analysis On Demonstration of Financial Activities**

Table 4 presents the breakdown of the various factors by the frequency of demonstration of financial activities that parents have with their children. Overall, 52.38% of parents frequently demonstrate financial activities with their children, while 42.66% and only 4.96% of parents who occasionally and rarely demonstrate financial activities with their children respectively.

Unlike financial experiential learning and financial topical discussions, among the parents' characteristics considered in this study, only ethnicity is found to have significant association with financial demonstration activities. Between the three major ethnic groups, Malay parents are more likely to have frequent demonstration of financial activities with their children while Chinese and Indian parents account for most parents who rarely demonstrate financial activities with their children.

Family demographics in terms of age of eldest child do not have significant association with demonstration of financial activities. Instead, the frequency of parent child interactions was found to have significant association with demonstration of financial activities. This differs from financial experiential

learning and financial discussions. In fact, parents who frequently interact with their children correspondingly are also more likely to frequently demonstrate financial related activities with their children. In fact, they account for 78.41% of those who frequently demonstrate financial activities with their children.

Financial conflict between couples has significant association with demonstration of financial activities. Parents who frequently quarrelled over financial matters in front of their children were found to account for a higher fraction of those who rarely demonstrate financial activities with their children. Parents' experience with investment products and parents who exhibit money taboo tendencies do not have association with demonstration of financial activities.

### **Logit Estimation Results**

Logit model is used to examine the factors that could significantly influence the presence of strong family financial socialization activities. The logit analysis results are presented in Table 5. From the data collected, 54.76% (276 of the 504 respondents) practice strong family financial socialization activities. The logit analysis findings show that parent's personal characteristics such as age, income and education are found to have significant influence on the likelihood that strong family financial socialization is present. Age between 30 to 39 years old is used as a base and it is found that the other age groups are more likely to have strong family financial socialization compared to those aged between 30 to 39 years old. In addition, higher income results in the higher odds of having strong family financial socialization. Those with tertiary education is also found to have higher odds of having strong family financial socialization compared to those with secondary school education. However, no significant differences are found between parents with diploma education and secondary school education.

The presence of an eldest child who is above 12 years old is also found to increase the odds of having strong family financial socialization in place than parents whose eldest child is below 12 years old. A close rapport between parent and child is found to increase the odds of parents having strong family financial socialization practices with their children. Furthermore, parents who hold investment financial products are also found to increase the odds of having strong family financial socialization. On the other hand, parents who frequently quarrelled over finance matters in front of children are less likely to have strong family financial socialization practices than otherwise. Money taboo factor appears to have no significant influence on the likelihood of having strong family financial socialization.

## **DISCUSSIONS**

Overall, parental age is found to be associated with the extent parents enable financial experiential learning and types of financial discussion topics. However, age does not have significant association with frequency of demonstration of financial activities. In the logit analysis, it is found that age has significant influence on the likelihood of the presence of strong family financial socialization activities. The results appear to support past studies by Mokhtar et al. (2019), Lowies et al. (2019), Xiao et al. (2015) and Agarwal et al. (2009) among others that older parents have more experience with financial matters and have better financial capabilities and financial knowledge. As a result, they are more aware of the importance of family financial socialization. Hence, older parents are more active in financially socializing their children at home.

Corresponding to past studies that found higher social economic status individuals are more likely to have added financial experience and awareness (Serido et al., 2020; Friedline & Rauktis, 2014; Kim, LaTaillade, & Kim, 2011), the findings of this study also show that parents of higher income and with tertiary education are more likely to have strong family financial socialization practices at home. This also supports Luhr (2018) who found that parents of higher social class are more proactive and confident in financial matters and thus, would exhibit strong family financial socialization practices.

Family demographics in terms of the age of eldest child is found to have significant association with the extent parents enable financial experiential learning and family discussions. In fact, it is found that families with eldest child who is above 12 years old are more likely to have greater opportunities of financial experiential learning and advance financial topic discussions. This reflects that children's understanding of money differs according to age and hence, parents are found to financially socialize them according to the children's level of understanding. This is consistent with Beutler and Dickson (2012) and Drever et al., (2015). However, there is no significant association between family demographics and demonstration of financial activities. The non-significant association between family demographics and frequency of demonstration of financial activities is probably because the frequency of demonstration of financial activities may not differ significantly according to the age of children but rather the type of demonstration parents does with their children. For example, for younger children, parents practice change calculations, familiarisation with money while for older children, parents demonstrate comparisons of items to purchase, value for money items to purchase. This could be an aspect to be explored in future research. Overall, the logit analysis indicates that strong family financial socialization is more likely to



present in homes where eldest child is above 12 years old or in families with older children.

The association between the parents' interaction with children and family financial socialization activities is only significant for demonstration of financial activities. This is perhaps parents-child interactions and demonstration of financial activities involves the presence of parent and children and hence, when a family has frequent interaction opportunities, it also enables parents to seize these family moments for demonstration of financial activities too. Overall, it is also found that frequent parent-child interactions increase the odds of a strong family financial socialization. The findings appear to be consistent with Laible and Thompspon (2007) who have pointed out that warm parent-child relationship make it easier for parents to financially socialize with their children.

Parents' financial experience with holdings of investment financial products is found to be significantly associated with the extent of financial experiential learning and financial discussions that take place at home. Furthermore, in the logit analysis, parents' holding of investment financial product increases the odds of the presence of strong family financial socialization activities compared to parents who do not hold any investment financial products. Parents who have investment experience are considered to have higher financial awareness and knowledge and hence, are more likely to understand the positive effects of family financial socialization. This corroborates with Tang and Peter (2015) who found that parents' financial experience helps to narrow the gap in young adults' financial knowledge while in an earlier study, Lusardi, Mitchell and Curto (2010) found that young adults whose parents own stocks are more likely to understand about risk diversification. The positive financial outcomes of young adults are testament of parents who enable strong family financial socialization activities at home.

Parents who experience financial strain that results in them quarrelling over financial matters in front of their children has significant association with financial experiential learning and demonstration of financial activities. Parents who frequently quarrel over financial matters in front of their children are found to be more likely not to enable financial experiential learning and rarely demonstrate financial activities with their children. This relationship is also captured in the logit model whereby, parents who frequently quarrel over financial matters reduces the odds of the existence of strong family financial socialization at home. The results appear to support Ponnet et al., (2013) and Rusu et al. (2017) who have highlighted that financial strain result in marital conflict and instability. As a result, this study shows that parents in a strained relationship are less likely to pay attention on family financial socialization matters.

Parent's money taboo is only found to have significant association with the extent of financial discussions parents have with their children. Interestingly, it is found that parents who have reservations to talk about money openly are more likely to discuss advanced financial topics with their children. As Romu (2011) pointed out, the relationship between money taboo and the way parents approaches the topic of money with their children can go both ways. From the data collected, it appears that while parents exhibit money taboo tendencies, they probably understand the benefits of children learning about various financial topics from them.

## **CONCLUSION**

The purpose of this study is to identify the various factors that will have significant association on types of family financial socialization activities. In this study, family financial socialization activities are investigated in terms of the extent (financial experiential learning), type (financial discussions) and frequency (demonstration of financial activities) of family financial socialization activities. While the importance of family financial socialization activities towards children and young adults' financial outcome is well established, less is known about the factors that results in different emphasis and ways in which parents financially socialize with their children. This also applies to Malaysia where there are more studies on effects of family financial socialization than exploring the factors that could shape or affect family financial socialization activities in Malaysian families.

As indicated from this study, parents from lower social economic status such as lower income and education are less likely to conduct family financial socialization activities. This may stem from them having less financial knowledge and awareness. Also, they may have limited financial experience and less understanding of the importance of family financial socialization. Therefore, financial educators should first equip these parents with financial knowledge. This will enable the parents to engage in various financial services and have wider financial experience which they can then demonstrate and financially educate their children to through family activities. Given that children and young adults from these families are more financially vulnerable, it is important that financial educators assist parents from the lower socio-economic status by educating them and showing them the different ways parents could financially socialize with their children considering their socio-economic status. This will help to reduce the cycle of financial vulnerability among those in the lower socio-economic status group.

In addition, the study highlighted the importance of continuous effort for financial education. Past studies have shown that individuals with stronger financial knowledge are financially more sophisticated whereby they are more likely to engage in stock markets and are also better in coping with financial matters. This would be helpful when financially knowledgeable individuals become parents. Hence, in such circumstances, children will benefit from the financial experiential learning, advanced financial discussions, and demonstration of financial activities from their parents. Parenting counsellors can educate parents of the importance of financial experiential learning and assure them to allow children to make financial mistakes in the process as they can learn through their mistakes within the financial boundaries set by the parents.

A close and warm parent-child relationship provides a conducive environment for family financial socialization particularly for parents to demonstrate financial activities. Generally, children are found to learn better through practices and informal learning, and this is best achieved through demonstration of financial activities during family outings. Hence, in educating parents about financial parenting, it is important to not just focus on the financial concepts and behaviour but also to provide parents tips on communication skills and ways to foster closer relationship with their children.

In summary, this study has identified some of the factors that could enhance or restrain family financial socialization. This is important given the benefits of family financial socialization on young adults' financial capability. An insight to these factors could complement financial education and also to incorporate these factors into parenting classes to coach parents on ways to financially socialize with their children while taking into account the factors that could enhance the financial socialization process. Based on the findings of this study, several recommendations have been put forth above on how financial educators and parenting counsellors can help and educate parents to financially socialize with their children.

A limitation of this study is that the information collected is solely from parents without validation from their children. The study acknowledged that family financial socialization activities are inter-related and hence, the relationship established here are more of correlational in nature until further research confirms the causal relationship.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The study benefited from financial assistance from Universiti Sains Malaysia's Bridging Grant 304.SOSIAL.6316298

## REFERENCES

- Agarwal, S., Driscoll, J. C., Gabaix, X., & Laibson, D. 2009. The age of reason: Financial decisions over the life cycle and implications for regulation. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 2: 51–117.
- Al-Bahrani, A., Weathers, J., & Patel, D. 2019. Racial differences in the returns to financial literacy education. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 53(2): 572-599. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joca.12205>
- Alidaniah, N. A. R., Ahmad, S., Noor, M. A. M., & Moi, M. R. 2015. Gelagat hutang isi rumah mengikut kau di Bandar Baru Bangi, Selangor. *Geografia Malaysian Journal of Society and Space*, 11(11): 110-119
- Alsemgeest, L. 2014. Family communication about money: Why the taboo? *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(16): 516-523. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n16p516>
- Atwood, J.D. 2012. Couples and Money: The last taboo. *The American Journal of Family Therapy*, 40(1): 1-19. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1080/01926187.2011.600674>
- Bank Negara Malaysia. 2022. Financial Stability Review Second Half 2022. [https://www.bnm.gov.my/documents/20124/10150236/fsr22h2\\_en\\_book.pdf](https://www.bnm.gov.my/documents/20124/10150236/fsr22h2_en_book.pdf)
- Camphenout, G.E. 2015. Revaluating the Role of Parents as Financial Socialization Agents in Youth Financial Literacy Programs. *The Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 49(1): 186-222. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joca.12064>
- Credit Counselling and Debt Management Agency (AKPK). 2023. AKPK: Thirty-nine institutions of higher learning offer personal financial management course. <https://www.akpk.org.my/akpk-thirty-nine-institutions-higher-learning-offer-personal-financial-management-course>
- Conger, R.D., Conger, K.J., and Martin, M.J. 2010. Socioeconomic status, family processes, and individual development. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 72(3): 685-704. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111%2Fj.1741-3737.2010.00725.x>
- Danes, S., and Yang, Y. 2014. Assessment of the Use of Theories within the Journal of Financial Counseling and Planning and the Contribution of the Family Financial Socialization Conceptual Model. *Journal of Financial Counselling and Planning*, 25(1): 53-68.
- Danes, S.M., & Morris, E.W. 1989. The factors affecting a family's plan to change their financial situation. *Journal Family and Economic Issues*, 10(3): 205-215. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00989507>.
- Department of Statistics. 2019. Report on Household Income and Basic Amenities Survey Report. [https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/cthemByCat&cat=120&bul\\_id=TU00TmRhQ1N5TUxHVWN0T2VjbXJYZz09&menu\\_id=amVoWU54UT10a21NWmdhMjFMMWcyZz09](https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/cthemByCat&cat=120&bul_id=TU00TmRhQ1N5TUxHVWN0T2VjbXJYZz09&menu_id=amVoWU54UT10a21NWmdhMjFMMWcyZz09) (accessed 28 October 2019)
- Deweese, S., & Mottola, G. 2017. Race and financial capability in America: Understanding the Native American experience. Insights: Financial Capability. <https://www.usfinancialcapability.org/downloads/NativeAmerican-Experience-Fin-Cap.pdf> (accessed 18 June 2022)
- Dow, D. M. 2016. Integrated motherhood: Beyond hegemonic ideologies of motherhood. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 78(1): 180–196. doi:10.1111/jomf.1226

- Drever, A. I., Odders-White, E., Kalish, C. W., Else-Quest, N. M., Hoagland, E. M., & Nelms, E. N. 2015. Foundations of Financial Well-Being: Insights into the Role of Executive Function, Financial Socialization, and Experience-Based Learning in Childhood and Youth. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 49(1): 13–38. doi:10.1111/joca.12068
- Engels, C., Kumar, K. and Philip, D. 2020. Financial literacy and fraud detection. *The European Journal of Finance*, 26(4-5): 420-442. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1351847X.2019.1646666>.
- Friedline, T., and Rautkis, M. 2014. Young people are the front lines of financial inclusion: A review of 45 years of research. *The Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 48(3): 535-602. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joca.12050>
- Furnham, A., 2001. Parental Attitudes to Allowances/Allowances for Children. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 22(3): 397-422. [https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1016/S0167-4870\(01\)00040-X](https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1016/S0167-4870(01)00040-X)
- Grusec, J. E., & Davidov, M. 2010. Integrating different perspectives on socialization theory and research: A domain-specific approach. *Child Development*, 81(3): 687–709. <https://doi/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01426.x>
- Gudmunson, C. G., & Danes, S. M. 2011. Family financial socialization: Theory and critical review. *Journal of Family Economic Issues*, 32(4): 644–667. <https://doi/10.1007/s10834-011-9275-y>
- Hafizah, M.N., Ong, H.S., and Chen, Y.N. 2020. The effects of human capital, financial socialisation agents, and motivation on financial literacy among private university students in Malaysia. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 10(10): 159-168. <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v10-i10/7737>
- Hanson, T.A., and Olson, P.M. 2018. Financial literacy and family communication patterns. *Journal of Behavioural and Experimental Finance*, 19(Sept): 64-71, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbef.2018.05.001>
- Henager, R., & Cude, B. J. 2019. Financial literacy of high school graduates: Long- and short-term financial behavior by age group. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, 40(3): 564–575. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10834-019-09626-2>.
- Kim, J., LaTaillade, J., & Kim, H. 2011. “Family Processes and Adolescents’ Financial Behaviors” *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, 32: 668-679. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jado.1999.0266>
- Kim, K.T., and Xiao, J.J. 2020. Racial/ethnic differences in consumer financial capability: The role of financial education. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 45(3): 379-395. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12628>
- Laible, D., & Thompson, R.A. 2007. Early Socialization: A Relationship Perspective. In J. E. Grusec & P. D. Hastings (Eds.), *Handbook of socialization: Theory and research* (p. 181–207). Guilford Press.
- Loke, Y. J. 2017. The influence of socio-demographic and financial knowledge factors on financial management practices of Malaysians. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 18(1): 33-50.
- Lowies, B., Helliard, C., Lushington, K., & Whit, R. 2019. The financial capability of older people- A final report. University of South Australia Business School. <https://doi.org/10.25954/5c60fc60ab24b> (accessed 18 June 2022)

- Luhr, S. 2018. How social class shapes adolescent financial socialization: Understanding differences in the transition to adulthood. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, 39(3): 457–473. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10834-018-9573-8>.
- Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. 2014. The economic importance of financial literacy: Theory and evidence. *Journal of economic literature*, 52(1): 5-44. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.52.1.5>
- Lusardi, A., Mitchell, O., and Curto V. 2010. Financial literacy among the young. *The Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 44(2): 358-380. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2010.01173.x>
- Mohamed, N.A. 2017. Financial socialization: A cornerstone for young employees' financial well-being. *Reports on Economics and Finance*, 2(1): 15-35. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ref.2017.711>
- Mokhtar, D., Sabri, M.F., Ho, C.S.F. 2020. Financial capability and differences in age and ethnicity. *Journal of Asian, Finance, Economics and Business*, 7(10): 1081-1091. doi:10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no10.1081
- Mokhtar, N., Sabri, M. F., Ho, C.S. F., & Thinagaran, M. D. 2018. Profile and differences in financial literacy: Empirical evidence. *Malaysian Journal of Consumer and Family Economics*, 21: 164-185.
- OECD. (2020). OECD/INFE 2020 International Survey of Adult Financial Literacy.
- Ponnet, K., Wouters, E., Goedemé, T., & Mortelmans, D. 2014. Family financial stress, parenting and problem behavior in adolescents: An actor–partner interdependence approach. *Journal of Family Issues*, 37(4): 574-597. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0192513X13514409>
- Robertson-Rose, L. 2020. “Because my father told me to”: Exploratory insights into parental influence on the retirement savings behaviour of adult children. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, 41: 364-376.
- Romo, L.K. 2011. Money talks: Revealing and concealing financial information in families. *Journal of Family Communication*, 11(4): 264-281. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15267431.2010.544634>
- Romo, L.K. 2014. Much ado about money: Parent-child perceptions of financial disclosure. *Communication Reports*, 27(2): 91-101. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08934215.2013.859283>
- Rusu, P. P., Hilpert, P., Falconier, M., & Bodenmann, G. 2017. Economic strain and support in couple: The mediating role of positive emotions. *Stress and Health*, 34(2): 320-330. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smi.2794>
- Sabri, M.F., and Falahati, L. 2012. Estimating a model of subjective financial well-being among college students. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 2(18): 191-199.
- Serido, J., LeBaron, A., Li, L., Parrott, E., and S. Shim, 2020. The lengthening transition to adulthood: Financial parenting and recentering during college-to-career transition. *Journal of Family Issues*, 41(9): 1626-1648. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513X19894662>
- Shim, S., and Serido, J. 2011. Young adult's financial capability: A Plus Arizona's pathways to life success for university students-Wave 2. The University of Arizona. [https://www.cgsnet.org/ckfinder/userfiles/files/APLUSWave2\\_Final.pdf](https://www.cgsnet.org/ckfinder/userfiles/files/APLUSWave2_Final.pdf) (accessed 18 June 2022)

- Tang, N., & Peter, P. 2015. Financial knowledge acquisition among the young: The role of financial education, financial experience, and parents' financial experience. *Financial Services Review*, 24: 119–137.
- Thaichon, P. 2017. Consumer socialization process: The role of age in children's online shopping behaviour. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 34(C): 38-47. 10.1016/j.jretconser.2016.09.007.
- Xiao, J.J., Chen, C., and Sun, L. 2015. Age differences in consumer financial capability. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 39(4): 387-395. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12205>
- Yusof, S. A. 2019. Ethnic disparity in financial fragility in Malaysia, *International Journal of Social Economics*, 46(1): 31-46. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-12-2017-0585>
- Zhao, H. and Zhang, L. 2020. Talking money at home: the value of family financial socialization. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 38(7): 1617-1634. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-04-2020-0174>
- Zhu, A.Y.F. 2019. School financial education and parental financial socialization: Findings from a sample of Hong Kong adolescents. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 107: 104532. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1016/j.chilyouth.2019.104532>