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Introduction

Hand, foot and mouth disease (HFMD) is a common illness of infants and young children less than 10 years of age. It is characterized by fever, ulcers in the oral cavity, and rashes with blisters that appear on the palm and sole. The most common causal agents of HFMD are coxsackievirus A16 (CV-A16) and human enterovirus 71 (HEV71), but other enteroviruses, including CV-A5 and CV-A10, can also cause it. When caused by CV-A16 infection, it is usually a mild disease, and patients normally recover without requiring any special medical attention. In rare instances, the disease leads to aseptic meningitis and more serious diseases, such as encephalitis or poliomyelitis-like paralysis. HFMD caused by HEV71 has been associated with the more severe forms of the disease, including a high number of cases of fatal encephalitis during the outbreaks in Malaysia in 1997 and Taiwan in 1998. During these outbreaks, several HEV71 subgenotypes were isolated; two subgenotypes, B4 and C2, were identified as the main causal agents associated with the fatal infections (AbuBakar et al., 1999, Lum et al., 1998, Wu et al., 1999). During the Malaysia 1997 outbreak, HEV71 subgenotype B3 was the most prevalent subgenotype isolated from patients with the milder form of HFMD (Cardosa et al., 2003). The B3 virus was also found in neighboring countries in 1997 and western Australia in 1999; it has since disappeared (Cardosa et al., 2003, McMinn et al., 2001, Herrero et al., 2003).

To elucidate the mechanisms underlying the emergence of the different HEV71 subgenotypes with potentially different pathogenic potentials, we examined the whole genome sequence of representative HEV71 isolates of HFMD patients from the Malaysia 1997 outbreak.

Materials and methods

Virus was isolated and identified from patients’ samples as described earlier (AbuBakar et al., 1999). Initial characterization and construction of phylogenetic trees was performed by using the virus genome 5’ nontranslating region (NTR) sequences. From this initial tree, six isolates (UH1 [GenBank accession no. AJ238455], SHA89 [AJ586873], SHA63 [AJ238456], SHA66 [AJ238457], SHA52 [AJ238531], and SHA71 [AJ238535]) were randomly selected to represent the different HEV71 genotypes and were sequenced in their entirety. Sequence analysis, construction of phylogenetic trees, and potential recombination of the isolates were determined as previously described (AbuBakar et al., 1999, AbuBakar et al., 2002). Similarity plot and bootstrap analyses for the recombination studies were performed with SimPlot version 3.2 (Lole et al., 1999, Salminen et al., 1995). For the analysis, a sliding window of 400 nucleotides was moved in increments of 20 nucleotides at a time. Sequences were not corrected for multiple substitutions, all gaps were stripped, transition-to-transversion ratio of two was used, and 50% consensus files were used to exclude the poorly conserved sites. Recombination was identified when conflicting genome sequence profiles appeared, which suggested acquisition of sequences from a different parental genotype. Phylogenetic trees were then constructed for each of the putative recombinant sequences by using the maximum likelihood method, and support for the tree topology was determined by bootscanning analyses that used the bootstrapping procedures (Salminen et al., 1995) with 100 resamplings. The crossover breakpoints were identified when $\chi^2$ values were maximum (Robertson et al., 1995). In addition, recombinant sequences were confirmed in patients’ samples by using the reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) with primers RECF (5'-
Results and Discussion

Initially, a phylogenetic tree depicting the genetic relationships of the isolates was constructed by using the whole genome sequence of the six isolates and those available in the GenBank (Figure 1a). As expected, the six isolates were placed into three different lineages (genotypes), and as no reports exist on the typing of the different HEV71 isolates with the whole genome sequences, the genotypes established with the virus capsid (VP1) gene sequence were adopted (McMinn et al., 2001). The three HEV71 subgenotypes identified, B3, B4, and C2, represent the HEV71 genotypes found cocirculating in Malaysia during the 1997 outbreak. Sequence analyses performed by using the isolates whole genome sequences initially reaffirmed the genotyping of the isolates (Figure 1a). The isolates remained within the respective genotypes when the P1 and P2 genome regions were used to construct the phylogenetic trees (Figure 1b, 1c). A significant shift in the tree topology, which involved the positions of the two subgenotype B3 isolates, SHA63 and SHA66, was noted when the tree was constructed by using the P3 genome region, a region consisting of the nonstructural protein genes located towards the 3' end of the genome (Figure 1d). At this genome region, these isolates clustered with the other known causal agent of HFMD, CV-A16. This conflicting tree topology raised the possibility that these isolates contained chimeric genome sequences, perhaps as a result of a previous recombination event involving HEV71 and a human enterovirus A (HEV-A). We performed similarity plot analysis using the consensus genome sequence of HEV71 subgenotype B3 isolates against several potential parental genomes, including all available HEV-A, and confirmed conflicting genome profiles resembling a pattern of recombination for the two subgenotype B3 isolates (Figure 2). The isolates showed high sequence similarity (>93%) to subgenotype B4 at the 5' terminus of the genome spanning the 5' NTR region, the whole structural gene sequences, 2A and part of 2B gene (nucleotide 1–3908). A conflict in the genome sequence showing high similarity (>85%) to CV-A16/G10 was noted at the 3' end of the genome involving the 3C protease, 3D polymerase, and 3' NTR region gene sequences. Two estimated crossover points that resulted in a switch from HEV71 genotype B to CV-A16-like sequences (P<0.001, Fisher exact test) were located within nucleotide 3908–5603. However, the B3 genome sequence dissimilarity relative to
subgenotype B2 and B4 viruses began as early as at nucleotide 3617 (part of 2A gene), which does not rule out the possibility that this may be the first crossover point, even though the $\chi^2$ values supporting the crossover point was most statistically significant at position 3908.

FIGURE 2 Identification of recombinant sequences in the genome of human Enterovirus 71 (HEV71) subgenotype B3. A) shows the conflicting tree topology at the P3 genome region. B) shows results from similarity plot and bootscan analyses indicating the recombination sites. The window size of 400 nucleotides slides in increments of 20 nucleotides at a time. Positions containing gaps were excluded from the comparison.

Locating a definite breakpoint was not possible within the B3 virus genome region, as the genome region consisting of part of 2B, 2C, 3A, 3B, and part of 3C genes had a more complex genome sequence with weak association (low bootstrap values) to all other HEV71 and CV-A16 isolate sequences. Hence, the genome region served as a distinct signature sequence for the subgenotype B3 isolates. Specific RT-PCR for detecting CV-A16 VP1 (CV-A16-VP1), HEV71 VP1 (HEV71-VP1), and HEV71/CV-A16-like sequence (REC) performed on the patients’ specimens amplified only enterovirus sequences from all the samples by using the generic enterovirus primer sets that amplified the 5’ NTR (Rotbart, 1990). This finding suggests that all the samples, except for the controls, contained enterovirus sequences. In contrast, only HEV71-VP1 and HEV71/CV-A16-like sequences were amplified from SHA63 and SHA66 samples by using the respective PCR amplification primers (data not shown). No CV-A16-VP1 sequence was detected in either SHA63 or SHA66 patients’ samples. These results suggest that the CV-A16-like sequences were not likely to have arisen from a serendipitous sequence amplification artifact involving samples with dual infections with HEV-71 and CV-A16. Furthermore, as both of the B3 isolates were obtained from two different HFMD patients (AbuBakar et al., 1999), the existence of subgenotype B3 HEV71 with CV-A16-like sequences in nature is highly supported.

Further examination of all available 3D polymerase gene sequences (390 nucleotides, subgenotype B3 nucleotide position 6696–7085) of all HEV-A associated with HFMD (Pulli et al., 1995), CV-A5, CV-A10, CV-A16, and HEV71, showed that subgenotype B3 isolates SHA63 and SHA66 had consistently higher nucleotide sequences similarity to CVA-16 (86%) than to all other HEV71 (75%-81%) and HEV-A (76%-78%) (data not shown). The presence of this CVA16-like sequence within the B3 virus genome suggested that a possible recombination event had previously occurred between HEV71 and CV-A16. The finding that the two viruses tend to co-circulate within the same population during most HFMD outbreaks (IASR report, 2004) supported the likelihood that this recombination could have happened. Nonetheless, the parent virus may be a yet-to-be described HEV-A with high sequence similarity to CV-A16. The CV-A16-like protease and polymerase gene within the B3 isolates genomes could influence the pathogenic potentials of the virus in humans. The B3 virus was likely less pathogenic as the B3 isolates, SHA63 and SHA66, were obtained from uncomplicated HFMD cases, and the B3 virus was not the main virus isolated from children with severe diseases during the outbreaks in Sarawak, Singapore, Malaysia, and Perth (Cardosa et al., 2003, McMinn et al., 2001, Herrero et al., 2003).
However, whether all other B3 viruses shared similar characteristics is not known.

Recombination among non-segmented RNA viruses was once thought to be uncommon. However, findings involving HIV, dengue virus, and poliovirus have established that intratypic recombination does occur among non-segmented RNA viruses. Similar to enteroviruses (Santti *et al.*, 1999) and dengue viruses (AbuBakar *et al.*, 2002), recombination could result in the emergence of viruses with altered pathogenic potentials. In our study, the discovery that two B3 isolates could have emerged as a result of a previous recombination event raises the possibility that recombination events among enteroviruses associated with HFMD occur more frequently in nature. These recombination events could be the mechanism driving the emergence of a number of newly described HEV71 lineages in Asia, some with differing pathogenic potentials.
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